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Cellular activities are composite responses to stimuli from the surroundings. Materials for biological applications, therefore, must be designed with care such that undesired interactions between cells and the materials will not be elicited while cellular responses that are beneficial to the dedicated applications are promoted. Efforts have been made to construct such materials based on both synthetic polymers and natural polymers including poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) and proteins. In particular, recombinant proteins have drawn great interest for their similar biocompatibility to natural proteins and the uniformity of material properties that is found in manufacturing of synthetic polymers. Recombinant proteins are designed at the DNA level, which allows precise control over the translated protein sequence. By assembling encoded DNA sequences of amino acids with desired functional groups or protein domains conferring desired functionalities, a recombinant protein-based material can be tailored. In this dissertation, works toward developing functional biomaterials based on both synthetic polymers and recombinant proteins are presented.

The first part of this thesis encompasses the development of a new thiol-based crosslinking approach to achieve independent control over degradability and mechanical properties of a hydrogel system. Thiol chemistry was chosen as the focus here because it can easily be incorporated into recombinant protein designs by inserting cysteine residues. In addition, the low frequency of cysteine residues in natural proteins can reduce unwanted reactions between the hydrogel material and encapsulated biomolecules or cells. We utilized divinyl sulfone (DVS) to form thioether crosslinking through thiol-ene addition and ferric ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (ferric EDTA) to make disulfide crosslinking via thiol oxidation. By controlling the ratio between the non-reducible thioether bonds to reducible disulfide bonds, hydrogels with similar mechanical properties can be made with


different degradability in reducing conditions. Accelerated degradation and increased release of encapsulated dextran was observed in response to an extracellular reducing condition. Good viability of encapsulated fibroblasts also suggested high cytocompatibility of the crosslinking approach. This work demonstrated the potential of thiol crosslinking by DVS and ferric EDTA for making redox-responsive drug delivery vehicles and tissue engineering scaffolds.

In the second part, we developed protein adhesives using thiol- or catechol-based adhesion. Every year more than 310 million surgeries are performed around the world, and more than $50 \%$ of these surgeries used sutures or staples for wound closure. ${ }^{1-2}$ Surgical sealants or adhesive can be applied together with sutures and staples to mitigate the risk of infection. Protein-based adhesives could have better biocompatibility than synthetic polymer-based adhesives and have the potential of providing biochemical cues for cellular responses. Many adhesive proteins have been found in nature. Among them, mussel adhesive proteins have been actively studied for their outstanding underwater adhesion. The capability of being able to cure in a wet environment is critical for an ideal surgical sealant and adhesive. Mussels uses both thiols and a catechol, 3, 4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA), to achieve underwater adhesion. Inspired by mussel adhesive proteins and modular recombinant design, we developed two proteins harboring thiol or DOPA groups with highly similar amino acid sequences. The adhesion performance, including curing kinetics, adhesion strength, and cytocompatibility, were compared between the two proteins. The similarity in the protein sequences allows us to focus on the performance difference between thiol- and DOPA-based adhesion. We also showed that a synergistic increase in the adhesion strength can be achieved when the two proteins are combined. This increase indicates a cross-reaction between thiol and DOPA groups. Our results provide insights into selecting the chemistry for designing adhesives based on the needs of the applications.

In the last part, we studied the lower critical solution temperature (LCST) behavior of elastin-like polypeptides (ELPs) with a series of ELPs with rationally designed charge distributions and chain lengths. The LCST behavior of ELPs are controlled by multiple factors including the amino acid composition, ELP chain length, protein concentration, salt identity, salt concentration, and pH of the solution. Fusion of other non-ELP recombinant
protein domains to ELPs have also been shown to influence the LCST behavior of the fusion ELP protein. Inspired by this effect, we explored the use of short non-ELP sequences as a new way to tailor the LCST behavior of ELP-based proteins. We designed the nonELP and the ELP sequences with different pH -dependent charge states and showed that pH sensitivity was introduced to the LCST behavior by electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions between the non-ELP and ELP sequences. The electrostatic interactions can be shielded by the ionic strength in the protein solution. The pH sensitivity was introduced by the non-ELP sequences, and this sensitivity decreased when the relative length of the ELP domain increased. We also found that the hydrophobicity of the non-ELP sequences changes the interactions between the proteins and Hofmeister ions in solution. Our results demonstrated the potential of using non-ELP sequences as a new factor in controlling the LCST behavior of ELP proteins.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Functional materials can be defined as materials possessing capabilities other than bearing loads because of their chemical compositions or physical structures. ${ }^{1}$ Functional materials that show good biocompatibility and interact with surrounding cells can be regarded as functional biomaterials. ${ }^{2}$ The interactions can be as simple as being recognized by cells as a attaching substrate or a degradable matrix, or the interactions can be a composite cellular response to the material such as cell migration, cell proliferation, or cell differentiation. The origin of a material, however, does not define the material being biomaterials. Both synthetic polymers and natural materials have been designed toward functional biomaterials and used in biological applications including tissue engineering, drug delivery, and tissue adhesives.

### 1.1 Tissue Engineering

Damaged tissues due to diseases or injuries needs to be replaced to regain the proper functions of the tissues. Ideally, such replacement is completed by transplantation of a healthy and functional tissue from a compatible new source. However, autologous tissue transplantation introduces additional damages to the harvesting site and can be impractical due to the limited availability of the healthy tissue from the patient. Replacement from a different individual of the same species (i.e., allogeneic transplantation) or from a different species (i.e., xenogeneic transplantation) has the risk of transmitting diseases from the donor to the recipient or across species and requires control over immune responses after transplantation. In the past decade, the number of donors per year had grown from 14,207 by $16 \%$ to 16,473 ; however, the number of patients per year had also grown from 100,775 by $15 \%$ to 115,759 in the U.S. alone, and such unmet demand of donors is also observed worldwide. ${ }^{3-4}$

To circumvent the shortage of tissue donors, tissue engineering has drawn increasing interests as an approach to regenerate tissues. The ultimate goal of tissue engineering in tissue regeneration is to recreate a healthy and functional tissue with minimal autologous cells with the aid of engineered biomaterials that mimic the
extracellular matrix (ECM) of the target tissue by presenting appropriate bioactive signals. Tissue engineering is truly an interdisciplinary field where material science, biology, medicine, and an engineering mindset meet and work together toward the goal.

### 1.1.1 Three Components in Tissue Engineering

There are three components that are essential in all kinds of tissue engineering: cells, bioactive signals, and scaffolds. Cells are used to produce proper ECM in the engineered scaffold and to confer biological function to the engineered tissue. Ideally, autologous differentiated cells will be used as the cell source. However, harvesting enough healthy cells from a damaged-tissue can be impractical, and these cells often cannot be expand in large quantities in vitro. ${ }^{5}$ Pluripotent or multipotent stem cells can be good alternatives autologous differentiated cells because of there ability to differentiate into desired cell types. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) harvested from bone marrow have been extensively studied for their multipotency. With the appropriate stimuli, bone marrow MSCs have been shown to undergo osteogenic, chondrogenic, adipogenic, neurogenic, and myogenic differentiations. ${ }^{6-7}$ Other than the multipotency, bone marrow MSCs also can be easily harvested and expanded to a certain extent in vitro. ${ }^{8}$ In 2006, Takahashi and Yamanaka reported for the first time the induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cells by reactivating four embryonic state-related transcription factors, Oct4, Sox2, c-Myc, and Klf4, through viral transfection. ${ }^{9}$ They later showed that adult human fibroblasts can be reprogrammed into iPSCs using the same approach. ${ }^{10}$ Compared to MSCs, iPSCs have pluripotency similar to that of embryonic stem cells. In addition, the source cells for iPSCs (e.g., fibroblasts) can be harvested much easier than MSCs and embryonic stem cells. iPSCs also do not raise as many ethical concerns as embryonic stem cells. Progresses have been made to produce iPSCs in viral-free and more efficient ways through small molecules, recombinant proteins, or microRNAs. ${ }^{11-13}$ Despite its great potential as the new cell source in tissue engineering, a major concern about iPSCs is the genomic instability. ${ }^{14}$ Efforts are being made to identify and correct such instabilities, and new methods of efficiently producing safer, more defined iPSCs are being developed. It could be expected that iPSCs will be the new alternative cell source to autologous cells and widen the clinical applications of tissue engineering.

In order to direct differentiation of MSCs or iPSCs into desired cell types or to maintain proper cell functionals of autologous differentiated cells, it is necessary to present appropriate biological signals in the engineered scaffold in tissue engineering. These biological signals can be categorized into four types: biochemical stimuli, biophysical stimuli, ECM cues, and cell-cell interactions. ${ }^{15}$ Both biochemical and biophysical stimuli have been widely utilized in tissue engineering to guide cell behaviors. Biochemical stimuli are often growth factors or small molecules that promote certain cellular activities. These molecules can be presented either in the culture media or on the engineered scaffold. For example, the peptide Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) is well known for its function as a cell binding site. The growth factor bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) has been shown to promote bone differentiation of MSCs. ${ }^{16-17}$ Transforming growth factor beta (TGF- $\beta$ ) has been used in cartilage tissue engineering to promote chondrogenesis of MSCs. ${ }^{18}$ Several growth factors have been identified participating in angiogenesis including vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), basic fibroblast growth factor, platelet-derived growth factor, and angiopoietins. ${ }^{19}$ Short peptides derived from whole growth factors have also been reported to have similar efficacy in guiding stem cell differentiations. Examples include the BMP2 peptide derived from the knuckle epitope of whole BMP-2 and the QK peptide derived from VEGF. ${ }^{20-21}$ Some small molecules have also been shown to promote stem cell differentiation. Dexamethasone, for instance, is widely used in the osteogenic media for MSCs.

Biophysical signals include the stiffness of the engineered scaffold and the force being applied during the culture. Scaffold stiffness is the key factor when designing the scaffold. MSC differentiation has been shown to be guided by the stiffness of the scaffold both in 2D and 3D. ${ }^{7,22}$ Although most in vitro cell cultures are performed in a static way, cells do sense fluid shear stress in vivo such as the blood flow in the blood vessels. With proper culture setup, it is possible to induce fluid shear stress during the culture period. Fluid shear stress in culture have been shown to promote osteogenic and cardiomyogenic differentiation. ${ }^{23-24}$ Biophysical signals can also act synergistically with biochemical signals. Zouani et al. reported that the BMP-2 peptide had no effect on MSCs when being presented on a scaffold with stiffness ranging from $0.5-3.5 \mathrm{kPa} .{ }^{25}$ However, increased
efficacy from the BMP-2 peptide was observed when the scaffold stiffness was between $45-49 \mathrm{kPa}$.

Scaffolds provide the microenvironment mimicking the native ECM for cells. Design factors of an engineered scaffold include mechanical properties that matches the targeting or surrounding tissues, a degradation behavior that matches the production of the new ECM by cells, and the capability to provide proper biological stimuli for cell growth and differentiation. ${ }^{26-28}$ Both synthetic and natural materials have been extensively explored for tissue engineering scaffolds and other biological applications. Synthetic materials have the potential to be functionalized though various chemical approaches that are biorthogonal. However, the lack of biocompatibility or interactions with cells also hampers the direct application of synthetic materials. Natural materials, on the other hand, are usually biocompatible and have intrinsic motifs that can interact with cells. Still, natural materials have the drawbacks of limited approaches for further functionalization and difficulties in large-scale production and processing.

### 1.2 Drug Delivery

In the field of drug delivery, another design criterion of the material besides biocompatibility is the capability for a spatially and/or temporally controlled release of the drug. ${ }^{29}$ A controlled drug release can increase the treatment efficiency and efficacy and can reduce the risk of side effects. The controlled release is often achieved by tailoring the material properties. For example, the degradation rate of poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) can be controlled by altering the ratio between the two repeating segments, poly(lactic acid) (PLA) and poly(glycolic acid) (PGA). This difference in the degradation of the material then reflects on the release of the drugs encapsulated in PLGA vehicles. ${ }^{30}$ For controlled release at targeted sites, a common strategy used is to functionalize the vehicle material with ligands and/or receptors that are specific to the targeting site. ${ }^{31}$ These are, however, passive approaches that cannot be activated or tuned on demand after the vehicles are in the body.

In order to achieve more flexible control on the drug release, smart biomaterials have drawn greater interests in the field of drug delivery. Smart biomaterials have the properties that respond to changes in environmental stimuli. ${ }^{32}$ Smart materials that are
responsive to changes in temperature, pH , or redox potential have been investigated for targeted drug delivery. Poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAM) is one example of temperature-sensitive synthetic polymers. PNIPAM has a lower critical solution temperature around $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at which it undergoes a sol-gel transition. ${ }^{33} \mathrm{~A}$ drug-loaded PNIPAN hydrogel will shrink drastically in volume above its LCST and release the encapsulated drugs. Variants of PNIPAM have also been developed with different LCSTs that can utilized for targeted drug release. Recombinant proteins elastin-like polypeptides (ELPs) are another example of temperature-responsive materials that have been explored for controlled drug delivery. The LCST of an ELP can be easily tuned to be in the range of mild clinical hyperthermia $\left(40-44^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ by changing the amino acids at the guest residue positions. ${ }^{34-35}$ Above the LCST, ELPs undergo hydrophobic collapses and aggregate into coacervates. This coacervation allows drug-loaded ELP complexes accumulate at the targeted site. The increase in the hydrophobicity also promotes endocytosis which increase the treatment efficiency. Taking the advantage of modular recombinant protein design, ELPs can also be fused with cell penetrating peptides to further increase the drug delivery efficiency into cells. ${ }^{36}$

It has been known that there is a pH difference between healthy tissues and cancerous tissues with cancerous tissue being slightly acidic. ${ }^{37}$ Organelles such as endosomes and lysomes also have lower pHs than other cellular compartments. Chen et al. developed a poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) variant functionalized with acid-labile carbonate group for target delivery of anti-cancer drugs. ${ }^{38}$ The accelerated degradation of the PEG micelle resulted in a faster release of the drug in acid environments. Callahan and coworkers designed a pH -sensitive ELP such that the formation of coacervation is disrupted with decreased $\mathrm{pH} .{ }^{39}$ They showed that the pH -sensitive ELP can penetrate deeper into the tumor tissue due to the decreasing pH gradient from the surface to the center of the tumor site.

Other than the pH difference, the redox condition between a normal tissue and a tumor tissue is also different. For example, the concentration of the biological-relevant reducing agent glutathione (GSH) is generally four times higher in a cancerous tissue than in a healthy tissue. ${ }^{40}$ With the progress in developing new synthetic or natural materials,
smart materials that utilize the redox difference to achieve target drug delivery and controlled release have a growing interest. ${ }^{41-42}$

With the progress in functional biomaterials, the development of smart materials responding to multiple stimuli can be expected. ${ }^{43}$ New stimuli such as magnetic field are also being explored to achieve targeted and controlled drug release. ${ }^{44}$

### 1.3 Tissue Adhesives

More than one million tissue transplants and about 310 million other surgeries were performed in 2017. ${ }^{45-46}$ Sutures and staples are usually used for wound closure in many of these surgeries. Unfortunately, the use of sutures and staples inevitably damage the surrounding healthy tissue near the wound and increase the risk of infection. ${ }^{47}$ Surgical sealants and tissue adhesives can be applied together with sutures and staples to lower the risk of infection but also have the potential to be used alone for wound closure. ${ }^{\text {4-49 }}$

An ideal material for surgical adhesive has similar criteria as for tissue engineering scaffold. The material needs to have good biocompatibility and have similar mechanical properties to the surrounding tissue. In addition, the adhesive and cohesive strength from the material also needs to match the surrounding tissue. ${ }^{50}$ Most importantly, the material has to have adhesion in a wet environment. There are FDA-approved surgical adhesives, but none of them meets all criteria. FDA-approved cyanoacrylate-based adhesive such as SurgiSeal ${ }^{51}$ and Dermabond ${ }^{52}$ can set under wet conditions and provide closure strength similar to that of sutures. ${ }^{53-54}$ Their brittle nature also reframe the use in areas of tissue that experience tensile stress. In addition, both cyanoacrylate and its degradation products are toxic not only to the patient but also to the surgeons. ${ }^{55}$ As the result, the current approve of cyanoacrylate-based adhesives is limited to topical applications only. ${ }^{56}$

Fibrin-based surgical sealants (e.g., Tisseel and Crosseal) have good biocompatibility and degradability and therefore have been approved as hemostatic agents for internal applications. ${ }^{57}$ Their relatively low adhesion strength, however, prohibit the applications tissue adhesives for wound closure. ${ }^{58}$ The risk of transmitting bloodborne pathogens is also a concern in the production of fibrin-based sealants. The risk of transmitting hepatitis and HIV is about 1 in $10^{15}$ packages and is about 1 in $10^{7}$ packages
for parvovirus. ${ }^{59}$ The manufactures are still improving the process to lower the risk of such transmissions.

PEG-based sealants can be biocompatible with tunable adhesion strength and fast curing. ${ }^{60}$ Different curing mechanisms can be employed due to the synthetic nature of PEG. Coseal utilizes two different PEGs functionalized with glutaryl-succinimidyl esters or thiols. Upon mixing of the two PEGs, the two groups react and form the sealant network. Coseal is approved for sealing vascular grafts. Another product, DuraSeal, uses PEG ester and trilysine amine and is approved for prevent cerebrospinal fluid leakage. PEG-based sealants require, however, a relative dry surface for application in order to get optimal performance. Due to the hydrophilic nature of PEG, PEG-based sealants can swell up to four times by volume. ${ }^{61}$ As the result, cautions must be exerted when applying PEG-based sealants in a confined space to avoid pressing pressure to the surrounding tissue.

A recently approved tissue adhesive, TissuGlu, utilizes urethane chemistry to achieve adhesion in a wet environment. TissuGlu is currently approved in abdominoplasties to reduce fluid accumulation between tissue layers in the abdominal region. The prepolymer with end groups of isocyanate reacts with amines groups in the formulation or in the surrounding tissue and with hydroxyl groups in water. The degradation products of TissuGlu does not show acute and chronical toxicities. ${ }^{62}$ However, the cure time is on the order of tens of minutes, and the patient is often advised to stay still to allow optimal recovery. ${ }^{63}$

Despite the recent advances in developing materials for surgical sealants and tissue adhesives, ideal materials matching the requirements of being biocompatible, being capable of wet adhesion, and have comparable mechanical properties to tissue have not emerged yet. There are, however, new materials being designed mimicking the adhesion mechanisms found in nature and could bridge the gap between the state-of-the-art and the ideal materials for surgical adhesives. ${ }^{64}$

### 1.4 Functional Biomaterials

Both synthetic and natural polymers have been used in designing functional biomaterials. The choice between the two is usually made depending on the intended application. In general, synthetic polymers have the advantage of accessing various chemistries for
functionalization and being ready for mass production. However, synthetic polymers with functionalization are usually also lack of material-cell interactions. Natural polymers, on the other hand, often have good interactions with cells, but the functionalization processes are usually limited within a certain range of conditions (e.g., pH , temperature). Natural polymers are also more difficult to be produced in large quantities and have lower consistency in material quality from batch-to-batch. Recombinant protein-based materials are a special category of natural polymers. Due to their protein nature, material-cell interactions are usually expected and can be further promoted by incorporating specific cell-recognition sequences into the material design. In addition, the protein translation machinery ensures minimal batch-to-batch variation in material qualities (e.g., chain length and chain composition). In the following sections, we discuss the current progress of developing functional biomaterials using synthetic polymers, natural polymers, and recombinant protein-based materials.

### 1.4.1 Synthetic Polymers

PEG is perhaps the most widely used synthetic polymer for developing functional biomaterials. PEG possesses many biocompatible properties including high hydrophilicity and low toxicity. ${ }^{65}$ Due to its very low polymer-water interfacial energy, PEG also shows very low protein binding affinity and is often used as an antifouling material. ${ }^{66}$ This antifouling property also prohibits most of the material-cell interactions. However, PEG can be easily functionalized to promote desired PEG-cell interactions. For example, PEG can be decorated with peptides harboring a cell binding sequence RGD through various of conjugation chemistries. ${ }^{65}$ Peptides with different bioactivities have also been used for PEG functionalization. Peptide sequences that are subjective to matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) cleavage have been incorporated into PEG hydrogel to confer degradability to the hydrogel network. ${ }^{67}$ Growth factors or peptides that promote cell differentiation have also been utilized in PEG functionalization. Saik et al. immobilized platelet-derived growth factor-BB (PDGF-BB) and fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2) into PEG hydrogel to promote angiogenesis both in vitro and in vivo. ${ }^{68}$ In the study of done by Leslie-Barbick and coworkers, VEGF-decorated PEG increased tubulogenesis of human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) in both 2D and 3D culture forms. ${ }^{69}$ Note that a collagenase-
sensitive peptide was also used to confer degradability to the PEG hydrogel in this work. Bioactive peptides such as BMP-2 peptide derived from the knuckle epitope of BMP-2 and VEGF-mimicking QK peptide have also shown success in PEG-functionalization. ${ }^{70-71}$ Great reviews on PEG functionalization are available. ${ }^{65,72}$

PLGA has drawn great interest as a functional biomaterial for its good biocompatibility and intrinsic tunable degradation. ${ }^{73}$ PLGA is a copolymer of PLA and PGA. Both PLA and PGA can be degraded via hydrolysis of ester bonds as well as enzymatic digestions. The degraded products, lactic acid and glycolic acid respectively, can be cleaned through the tricarboxylic acid cycle in aerobic organisms. Due to the methyl side chain in the polymer backbone, PLA shows a slower degradation rate than PGA. As the results, the degradation rate of the copolymer PLGA can be tuned by controlling the ratio between the two components. Because of this intrinsic tunable degradation property, PLGA has been widely used in drug delivery applications and is approved for clinical use by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Due to its hydrophobicity, hydrophobic drugs including paclitaxel, ${ }^{74}$ estradiol, ${ }^{75}$ and haloperidol ${ }^{76}$ have been loaded into PLGA-based particles with high encapsulation efficiency. PLGA particles have also been used for nucleic acid delivery. Both DNA and RNA can be encapsulated in PLGA particle, however, with a relatively low encapsulation efficiency due to the negative charges on PLGA. ${ }^{77}$ Direct-functionalization of PLGA with positively-charged peptides has also been reported to improve DNA delivery efficiency from the functionalized PLGA substrate. ${ }^{78}$ Peptidefunctionalized PLGA has also been used as tissue engineering scaffolds. Yoon et al. showed that bone marrow cells cultured on RGD-conjugated PLGA scaffolds exhibited better attachment and higher alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity than on RGD-conjugated PLGA negative control scaffolds. ${ }^{79}$ Pan and coworker utilized polydopamine-assisted coating method to functionalized PLGA scaffolds with both RGD and BMP-2 derived peptides. ${ }^{80}$ Rabbit-derived bone marrow stromal cells showed greater mineralization on the functionalized scaffold surface in vitro. In a follow up in vivo study, the PLGA scaffold functionalized with BMP-2 derived peptide showed more ectopic bone formation than the plain PLGA scaffold and PLGA scaffold loaded with the peptide. ${ }^{81}$

There are other synthetic polymers show great potentials as functional biomaterials. One example is poly( $\epsilon$-caprolactone) (PCL). PCL is a linear polyester with intrinsic
degradability due to ester hydrolysis. ${ }^{82}$ PCL has similar physical and chemical properties to PLGA but with simpler synthesis. Like PLGA, the hydrophobic nature of PCL prevents good cell attachment and interactions to the polymer. As the results, efforts have been made to functionalize PCL to improve the cytocompatibility including coating with extracellular matrix proteins, ${ }^{83}$ plasma treatment, ${ }^{84}$ or immobilization of biomolecules. ${ }^{85}$ Modal et al. wrote a comprehensive review on recent progress and challenges on PCL-based biomaterials for tissue engineering and drug delivery applications. ${ }^{86}$ Polyurethanes have also found their applications as biomaterials. Unlike the aforementioned polymers which are defined by their monomers, polyurethanes (PURs) are polymers that polymerized through carbamate links, and different monomers can be used to make PURs. As the result, the physical and chemical properties of PURs have a wide range of distribution. Biostable PURs can be used for biomedical devices that require material stability over a long time period. ${ }^{87}$ On the other hand, biodegradable PURs are suitable for tissue engineering or regenerative medicine scaffolds. ${ }^{88}$ Functionalization is also possible to enhance materialcell interactions with PURs. Comprehensive reviews are available focusing on different types of tissues including bone, ${ }^{89}$ cartilage, ${ }^{90}$ and blood vessel. ${ }^{91}$

### 1.4.2 Natural Polymers

Natural polymers are materials found in organisms in nature. Most of the natural polymers have good biocompatibility and can interact with cells. As the result, efforts have been mostly made on increasing the processability of the natural polymers. Two major types of natural polymers are widely used as functional biomaterials: polysaccharides and proteins. Polysaccharides are carbohydrates composed of mono- or disaccharides joined through glycosidic bonds. Cellulose, chitin/chitosan, and hyaluronic acid have all be used as functional biomaterials. Cellulose is the major component of plant and bacteria cell walls and is the most abundant polysaccharide in nature. ${ }^{92}$ Due to the large amount of inter- and intra-molecular hydrogen bonds between cellulose molecules, native cellulose has very low solubility in water and most organic solvents and usually requires modifications to increase its solubility and processability. ${ }^{92}$ The extensive hydrogen bonding networks, however, also make cellulose a very stiff material that finds its potential as tissue engineering scaffolds for bone regeneration. Kumbar et al. reported cellulose acetate-based
scaffolds with compressive moduli ranging from 200-300 MPa that match with native bone and show potential as bone grafts. ${ }^{93}$

Chitin is the second most abundant polysaccharide on earth and is mostly found in cell walls of fungi and exoskeletons of arthropods. ${ }^{94}$ Similar to cellulose, chitin has low solubilities in water and most organic solvents due to the extensive hydrogen bonding network. Chitin can be deacetylated under alkaline conditions and becomes chitosan. Chitosan has superior solvent solubility and modification potential than chitin because of the amine groups generated after deacetylation. ${ }^{94}$ Besides being biocompatible and biodegradable, chitosan also shows antimicrobial activity which makes chitosan a potential material for biomedical implants. Tardajos et al. showed that conjugating chitosan to the surface of PCL-based scaffolds can significantly reduce bacterial growth without compromising cytocompatibility. ${ }^{95}$ In a work by Ressler and coworkers, an injectable chitosan/hydroxyapatite hydrogel system was developed. ${ }^{96}$ Encapsulated porcine bone marrow MSCs showed higher ALP activity and more mineralization after 14 days of culture, suggesting osteogenic differentiation. In another work done by Lu et al., an anticancer drug for bone tumors, zoledronic acid, was incorporated into chitosan/hydroxyapatite composite scaffolds. ${ }^{97}$ The addition of zoledronic acid induced apoptosis in bone tumor cells but not in human MSCs and did not affect the osteogenic effect from hydroxyapatite. The scaffold also significantly reduced colony formation with both Gram-positive and -negative bacteria.

Hyaluronic acid (HA) is a polysaccharide mostly found in connective, epithelial, and neural tissues. Because of its high hydrophilicity, HA regulates water content and functions as a lubricant in the extracellular matrixes. ${ }^{98}$ The hydroxyl and carboxyl groups on HA allow crosslinking of HA directly through these functional groups. Glutaraldehyde and divinyl sulfone have been used to crosslinking HA via hydroxyl groups, ${ }^{99-100}$ and carbodiimide has been utilized for crosslinking through carboxyl groups. ${ }^{101} \mathrm{HA}$ can also be functionalized through the hydroxyl and carboxyl groups without altering its bioactivity. Gramlich et al. modified the hydroxyl groups on HA to norbornene groups that allow photocrosslinking with thiol-based crosslinkers. ${ }^{102}$ They demonstrated that norbornene photocrosslinked HA hydrogels can be further modified with thiol-containing peptides post-gelation without significantly changing the mechanical properties of the hydrogel. In
another work done by Khetan and coworkers, HA was modified with maleimide and methacrylate groups on the carboxyl groups. ${ }^{103}$ This approach allows sequential orthogonal crosslinkings with the primary maleimide-thiol crosslinking providing the hydrogel integrity and the on-demand secondary photocrosslinking between methacrylate groups. They showed that the mechanical properties of the hydrogel can be tuned post gelation and post cell-encapsulation and utilized the system to study the relation between stem cell differentiation and cellular traction.

Proteins are the major macromolecules in the mammalian ECM. ${ }^{104}$ Collagen, elastin, fibronectin, and laminin are the four most abundant ECM proteins. Being the major protein providing structural integrity, collagen has been widely explored as functional biomaterials. Collagens can be divided into two main categories based on their ability to undergo fibrillogenesis. Among all types of collagens, type I and type II fibrillar collagen are the most explored two in the literature. Type I collagen is often found in skin and bone tissues, and type II collagen is mostly found in cartilage tissue. Both type I and II collagens can form hydrogels spontaneously through fibrillogenesis. The resulting mechanical properties of the hydrogels are, however, usually on the soft end. Type II collagen hydrogels have lower stiffness than type I collagen hydrogels due to the higher degree of glycosylation on type II collagen which impedes the formation of higher order fibril structures. ${ }^{105}$ Vázquez-portalatín and Kilmer and coworkers showed that the mechanical properties of type II collagen hydrogel can be increased by blending with type I collagen. ${ }^{106}$ The extent of the increase depended on the ratio between type I and type II collagen. The blending of type I and type II collagens did not alter the fibril dimeter distribution but had an effect on the pore structure in the fibril network.

Chemical crosslinking with glutaraldehyde or 1-Ethyl-3-(3dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide (EDC) and N -hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) have been utilized to increase the mechanical properties of collagen hydrogels. ${ }^{107-108}$ Collagen hydrogels can also be reinforced through photocrosslinking. Zhang and coworkers showed that concentrated collagen solution can be crosslinked directly by $\gamma$-radiation. ${ }^{109}$ The compressive moduli of the crosslinked hydrogels can be controlled by the radiation dosage. The crosslinked collagen hydrogels showed good cytocompatibility both in vivo and in vitro. Implantation of these crosslinked collagen hydrogels into rats did not inflict
observable inflammation, and the extent of cell infiltration and degradation was related to the $\gamma$-radiation dosage used for crosslinking. UV-riboflavin photocrosslinking is another approach that have been used clinically for cornea repair. ${ }^{110}$ Tirella et al. showed that this approach can be applied to collagen hydrogels, and the stiffness of the hydrogels was tunable by varying the collagen concentration. ${ }^{111}$ In another work by Heo and coworkers, UV-riboflavin crosslinked collagen hydrogels showed slower in vivo and in vitro degradation than non-crosslinked collagen hydrogels. ${ }^{112}$ Fibrochondrocytes encapsulated in the crosslinked hydrogels also showed high viability.

Being the major component in the ECM, collagens have multiple intrinsic bioactivities. Type I collagen has intrinsic RGD cell binding motifs in the sequence. ${ }^{113}$ Taubenberger et al. showed that These RGD motifs can be better exposed to cells by partially heat-denaturing type I collagens. ${ }^{114}$ The increased accessibility to RGD motifs not only enhanced initial cell spreading and migration but also promoted mineralization of preosteoblasts. Type II collagen is the major protein component in cartilage and has been explored as the materials for cartilage tissue engineering. Bosnakovski and coworkers showed that bovine MSCs showed higher chondrogenic gene expressions in the type II collagen/alginate hydrogel than in the type I collagen/alginate or alginate hydrogels both in chondrogenic and plain media. ${ }^{115}$ Collagens can also be functionalized to have additional bioactivities. One such example is the work done by Wissink et al. where heparin is immobilized onto crosslinked collagen hydrogels. ${ }^{116}$ Type I collagen has been used as a coating on vascular grafts to promote growth of endothelial cells. However, the thrombogenic activity of collagen can cause premature graft failure before the graft is covered by endothelial cells. This work demonstrated that thrombin activation can be decreased by conjugating heparin onto collagens at an optimal heparin density.

### 1.4.3 Recombinant Proteins

This section is adapted from a manuscript by Lin C-Y and Liu JC published in Current Opinion in Biotechnology, Volume. 40, Pages 56-63, 2016. Reproduced with the permission of Elsevier.

Progress in molecular biology has enabled the facile production of recombinant proteins. Furthermore, maturation in large-scale production techniques makes proteinbased materials more economically feasible. New techniques such as incorporation of noncanonical amino acids further expand the possibilities of protein-based biomaterials.

A recombinant protein is designed at the DNA level, and DNA sequences encoding different protein domains can be assembled in the desired order with a specified number of repeats. This modularity in recombinant protein design enables the production of recombinant protein-based materials with diverse properties. The translation machinery also has high fidelity so that the desired recombinant protein will have the specified amino acid sequence. On the other hand, synthetic polymers or proteins harvested from nature often have dispersity in chain length or composition. Thus, the high fidelity in recombinant protein production promises precise control over material properties.

In general, a recombinant protein designed for tissue engineering applications is composed of modular domains that confer specific functions. For example, structural domains provide mechanical properties, and biological domains facilitate the interactions between cells and the materials. In addition, domains can be used that respond to environmental stimuli or enable spatiotemporal control over material properties. In this section, we focus on domains that are being widely used in protein-based materials or are being incorporated into composite materials for added functionality.

### 1.4.3.1 Structural Domains

Elastin-like polypeptides (ELPs) are based on sequences derived from native elastin and are being actively studied. Elastin is the major component that provides elasticity to the ECM. ELPs are able to mimic the mechanical properties of native elastin and are mostly composed of a repeating amino acid sequence $(V P G X G)_{n}$, where X is a guest residue consisting of any amino acid except proline. ${ }^{177}$ The flexibility in choosing the guest residue expands the functionality of ELPs as a structural domain. One example is the use of lysine as a guest residue. This choice allows crosslinking through the primary amine side chain, and a range of mechanical moduli can be achieved with different degrees of crosslinking. ${ }^{118}$ Another example is the use of cysteine as a guest residue to facilitate surface immobilization of ELPs or crosslinking of free ELPs. ${ }^{119}$ ELPs are also thermo-responsive,
and this feature can be modulated using the guest residues. ELPs exhibit LCST behavior; they are soluble below the LCST and form a coacervate, or a dense polymer-rich liquid phase, above the LCST. The Rodríguez-Cabello group has utilized the LCST behavior of ELPs to facilitate hydrogel formation of ELP-fusion proteins. ${ }^{120-121}$ In addition, spherical structures of ELPs have been triggered by the thermo-responsive behavior. ${ }^{122}$ Structures formed by ELPs include hollow spheres or spheres with a dense core, and their size distributions can be controlled by salt concentration ${ }^{123}$ or by the number of repeating units. ${ }^{124}$ ELP spheres have been used as templates for nanoparticle synthesis ${ }^{125}$ and have been applied to other applications, such as drug delivery vehicles. ${ }^{34}$

Resilin, which is found in insect cuticle, has drawn great interest with its high elasticity, high resilience, and heat stability. ${ }^{126}$ The Elvin group first developed resilin-like polypeptides (RLPs) based on sequences from Drosophila melanogaster (Dros16, (GGRPSDSYGAPGGGN) $)_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) and Anopheles gambiae (An16, (AQTPSSQYGAP) ${ }_{\mathrm{n}}$ ), and both RLPs possess mechanical properties and heat stability that are similar to those of native resilin. ${ }^{126}$ Unlike ELPs, there are no guest residues in RLP sequences; however, RLP amino acid sequences in which lysine residues have been inserted to serve as a crosslinking site still retain resilin-like characteristics. ${ }^{127-128}$ Photochemical crosslinking through tyrosine residues in RLPs can be mediated through Ru(II), and crosslinked RLPs have been explored for various applications. For example, Lv et al. reported a recombinant protein based on Dros16 and an RGD-containing domain from tenascin-C. ${ }^{129}$ Crosslinked hydrogels of this material had tunable mechanical properties controlled by protein concentration and facilitated spreading of human lung fibroblasts. Another example is the use of photocrosslinked RLPs to modify tissue culture polystyrene surfaces. ${ }^{130}$ High coating concentrations prevented fibroblast attachment and spreading, but cell attachment could be restored by incorporating an RGD peptide in the coating.

### 1.4.3.2 Bioactive Domains

One advantage of modular recombinant proteins is that bioactive cues can be directly incorporated among the structural domains at the desired location and valency. In tissue engineering, the following issues have been addressed by the strategic fusion of bioactive
domains: cell-material interactions, cell fate determination, and material response to cellular activities.

Cell attachment to the material is often the first consideration when designing biomaterials. Many cell-adhesive domains are derived from ECM proteins such as fibronectin, collagen, and laminin. The RGD sequence is a classic cell-binding domain that is derived from the $10^{\text {th }}$ domain of fibronectin type III (FNIII). The RGD sequence is often presented with the PHSRN synergy site, which is derived from the $9^{\text {th }}$ domain of FNIII, to increase cell adhesion and target the $\alpha_{5} \beta_{1}$ integrin. The CS5 sequence, with the minimum sequence of REDV, is another cell-binding domain derived from FNIII. Hsueh and coworkers reported that ELP proteins containing the CS5 domain supported murine Schwann cell proliferation. ${ }^{131}$

Another set of cell-binding domains is derived from laminins, which are heterotrimeric proteins found in the basal lamina. The PPFLMLLKGSTR sequence is derived from the laminin-5 $\alpha 3$ chain globular domain 3 (LG3). Including this domain within an ELP facilitated human keratinocyte attachment. ${ }^{132}$ This work also examined keratinocyte attachment with ELPs containing two other binding domains: fibronectin domains containing the RGD and PHSRN sequences and the GEFYFYDLRLKGDK sequence derived from the $\alpha 1$ chain of collagen type IV. Keratinocytes attached more quickly to proteins with the laminin or fibronectin domains compared to the collagen domain. The authors found that keratinocyte attachment to the laminin and fibronectin domains could be reduced with antibodies against the $\alpha_{3}$ and $\alpha_{5}$ integrin subunits, respectively, and thus concluded that the keratinocytes were likely utilizing the $\alpha_{3} \beta_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\alpha_{5} \beta_{\mathrm{I}}$ integrins, respectively, to adhere to those proteins. Many other cell-binding domains have been reported (e.g., DGEA from collagen type I and IKVAV and YIGSR from laminin) and are described in a review. ${ }^{133}$ However, new cell-binding domains are still being identified. Lee and coworkers recently showed that the C-terminal RKRK sequence cannot completely account for cell attachment to human tropoelastin and suggested that there is a new binding domain in domains 17 and 18 of tropoelastin. ${ }^{134}$

Promoting stem cell differentiation into the desired cell lineage and preventing committed cells from de-differentiating are critical to the success of tissue engineering. Because growth factors play an important role in morphogenesis, it is logical that many of
the bioactive domains that are capable of determining cell commitment are derived from the corresponding growth factors. Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) are a family of growth factors that are involved in the development of different tissues, but they are best known for their roles in bone morphogenesis. Recombinant human BMP-2 and -7 are approved by the FDA for clinical applications. The KIPKASSVPTELSAISTLYL peptide is derived from the knuckle epitope of human BMP-2 and has been widely used as a bioactive domain to promote osteogenesis. Kim et al. recently showed that the BMP-2 peptide retained its bioactivity when fused in an RLP backbone and that the fusion protein enhanced osteogenic differentiation. ${ }^{135}$ The peptide has also been reported to promote chondrogenesis of human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) in pellet culture. ${ }^{136}$ Three peptides have been derived from human BMP-7: SNVILKKYRN, KPSSAPTQLN, and KAISVLYFDDS. In a recent work by Tao and coworkers, these three peptides were incorporated into self-assembling peptides and promoted ECM secretion by human degenerated nucleus pulposus cells. ${ }^{137}$ These results demonstrate the potential that these peptides have for intervertebral disc regeneration, which is a major clinical application of recombinant human BMP-7. It is expected that these BMP-7 derived peptides could be easily incorporated into recombinant protein-based materials through the modular design approach.

Growth factors such as VEGF and PDGF play an important role in blood vessel formation in regenerating tissue. The KLTWQELYQLKYKGI sequence (named QK) is based on VEGF. The QK peptide retained its bioactivity and promoted endothelial cell behavior when crosslinked to a protein backbone ${ }^{138}$ or presented as a fusion protein. ${ }^{139}$ Besides its well-known effects on angiogenesis, VEGF also has protective effects on neuronal cells. Verheyen and coworkers showed that the QK peptide also displayed this function and protected neurons from paclitaxel toxicity and hyperglycemic stress. ${ }^{140}$

Biomaterial degradation is an important factor in tissue engineering. Ideally, degradation should synchronize with cellular regeneration so that there will be room for newly formed tissue. Peptide sequences that are sensitive to proteases can be used as degradation domains in recombinant protein-based materials. For example, ELPs are usually used as structural domains; however, they can also serve as degradation domains due to their sensitivity to elastase. ${ }^{141}$ Degradation domains can also be explicitly
incorporated into modular designs. A popular choice is MMP-sensitive sequences. MMPs are a family of endopeptidases that can degrade ECM proteins including collagen, elastin, fibronectin, and laminin. MMP-sensitive sequences have been widely used in applications requiring material degradation. For example, Price and coworkers incorporated an MMPsensitive sequence into a silk-elastin-like protein hydrogel for viral-mediated gene delivery for cancer treatment. ${ }^{142}$ When implanted into mice, MMP-sensitive hydrogels had higher cell invasion compared to MMP-insensitive hydrogels. Tumor-bearing mice treated with MMP-sensitive hydrogels had the highest survival rate.

MMPs also play important roles in many physiological events such as morphogenesis and tissue remodeling. For example, Fonseca and coworkers profiled the in vitro gene expression of hMSCs grown in basal or osteogenic medium and found that in osteogenic medium there was an increase in MMP-14 gene expression levels and ALP activity at one week. ${ }^{143}$ Thus, it is anticipated that by selecting specific MMP-sensitive sequences it is possible to design materials that are selectively degraded by desired cells at specific stages of differentiation. For example, Sridhar et al. used an MMP-sensitive sequence, KCGPQGIWGQCK, as a degradable crosslinker for PEG hydrogels. Cells encapsulated in the degradable gels showed higher glycosaminoglycan (GAG) and collagen deposition compared to those in non-degradable hydrogels. ${ }^{67}$ In general, MMPs share common features in their cleavage sites. A recent study by Kukreja and coworkers analyzed target sequences of 18 MMPs and identified information for predicting and designing MMP-cleavable sequences. ${ }^{144}$ Overall, understanding the specificities of MMPs and identifying their cleavage sequences will expand the possibilities of using degradation domains for targeted applications.

### 1.4.3.3 Domains for Higher-Order Control over Material Properties

In an ideal functional biomaterial, the material properties are precisely controlled, and the material is responsive to dynamic cellular activities and changes in environmental conditions. Therefore, domains that enable material responsiveness and spatial or temporal control over material properties have been explored. The Davies group utilized two MMP sequences with different enzyme specificities (one sequence is recognized by many MMPs whereas the other sequence is recognized by a specific MMP) and successfully modulated
the in vitro invasion rates of fibroblasts and vascular smooth muscle cells into PEG hydrogels. ${ }^{145}$ They also demonstrated control over in vivo cellular invasion by making hydrogels with a mixture of MMP-sensitive sequences. ${ }^{146}$

Leucine zippers have been utilized to control ligand accessibility and density. The stability and oligomerization states of leucine zippers can be easily tuned by changing their amino acid sequences. A heterodimeric leucine zipper pair was used to reversibly enable access to an RGD cell-binding domain. Exposure of gold nanorods to near-infrared (NIR) light resulted in a photothermal effect, which effectively denatured the leucine zippers and enabled access to the RGD sequence. ${ }^{147}$ Leucine zippers have also been utilized to increase ligand avidity. For example, a trimeric leucine zipper, cartilage matrix protein (CMP), was recently used to present a ligand for epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR). The EGFR ligand was recombinantly fused to a CMP domain, and the fusion proteins oligomerized to form trimers through the CMP domain. A monomeric control was constructed by fusing the EGFR ligand to a mutated CMP domain, which was unable to oligomerize to form a trimer. When the ligand was presented as a CMP-facilitated trimer, it demonstrated enhanced binding strength compared to the monomeric ligand. ${ }^{148}$

Conditional-splicing inteins are an intriguing domain in the recombinant protein toolbox. Unlike normal inteins, conditional-splicing inteins only splice after an environmental stimulus. Informative reviews of recent progress on inteins are available. ${ }^{149-}$ ${ }^{150}$ Recently, photoactivatable inteins have been achieved by incorporating non-canonical, photoactive amino acids. For example, both cysteine and serine residues in inteins have been modified with a photocage, and these photoactivatable inteins are promising proteinlabeling tools with exquisite spatiotemporal control that can be directly used in live mammalian cells. ${ }^{151-152}$ Inteins with photoactive tyrosines have also been used as a tool for making cyclic peptides. ${ }^{153}$

In conclusion, biomaterials with sophisticated control over properties are increasingly needed to address questions regarding cellular behavior for tissue engineering applications. These materials need to meet demanding requirements for specific mechanical properties, macromolecular structure, cell-material interactions, and responsiveness towards environmental changes. Protein domains and peptide sequences provide the desired functionality to recombinant proteins or to composite materials. In
particular, recombinant proteins are promising materials because their modularity enables the facile combination of domains that confer the desired structure, bioactivity, and functionality. As we continue to unlock the sequence-structure-function relationship of natural proteins, we can expand the number of domains available as part of our recombinant protein toolbox. Thus, protein domains can be used to design materials that can address a larger variety of questions not only in the field of tissue engineering but also in stem cell and developmental biology, pharmaceutical engineering, and clinical practice.

### 1.5 Thesis Outline and Contributions

The goal of this dissertation was to explore different rational design approaches for functional materials for various biological applications. We show in Chapter 2 that a new thiol-crosslinking approach for hydrogel formation that allows independently tunable mechanical properties and degradation behavior in response to reducing agents. In Chapter 3, we describe the development of two protein-based adhesives utilizing catechol or thiol chemistry and compare their adhesion performances in a wet setting. In Chapter 4, we investigate the effect of electrostatic interactions in the LCST behavior of ELPs and show that such effect can be harnessed as a new factor tailoring the LCST behavior of ELPs. For this dissertation, I designed and produced all proteins, planned and conducted all experiments, and wrote all the contents unless otherwise stated.

Chapter 2 describes a one-pot thiol-ene addition and thiol oxidation crosslinking for redox-sensitive hydrogels. Thiol-functionalized PEG (PEG-SH) was chose as the model polymer for hydrogel formation. Divinyl sulfone (DVS) was used as the crosslinker in thiol-ene additions and formed non-reducible thioether bonds. Ferric ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (Ferric EDTA) was used to promote thiol oxidation to form reducible disulfide bonds. By changing the ratio between DVS and Ferric EDTA, the ratio between thioether and disulfide bonds was varied, which determined the degradability of the hydrogel in response to reducing agents. Hydrogels with different degradability were characterized rheologically with similar storage moduli. Swelling, degradation, and release of the encapsulated dextran in response to reducing agents were assessed. Cytocompatibility of DVS and ferric EDTA were evaluated by live/dead assay on encapsulated fibroblast. Our results show that the hydrogels crosslinked with the
combination of DVS and ferric EDTA have a tunable degradation rate in response to GSH at an ECM concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ while maintaining similar stiffnesses. The crosslinking approach is highly cytocompatibility, which suggests its potential in tissue engineering and drug delivery.

Chapter 3 describes the development and the performance comparison of two protein-based adhesives utilizing catechol or thiol chemistry. Taking the advantage of the flexibility in ELP sequence, two adhesive ELPs were designed to have high consensus in sequence. The catechol-featured ELP was rich in tyrosine residue which was later converted to 3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA) enzymatically. The thiol-featured ELP was abundant in cysteine residue which presents thiol groups. The adhesion strength with porcine skins in a wet setting of both the ELP adhesives were tested with different curing times and with or without the addition of ferric EDTA. The curing kinetic and the tolerance to disturbance during curing of the two adhesives were compared. In addition, we showed that a synergistic increase in the adhesion strength can be achieved by mixing the two adhesives because of the cross-reactions between DOPA and thiol groups. Finally, the cytocompatibility of these ELP-based adhesives was evaluated. Our data show the potential of these ELP-based materials as tissue adhesives and provide insights in designing adhesives with different chemistries.

Chapter 4 describes the investigation of the effect of electrostatic interactions on the LCST behavior of ELPs. Taking the advantage of recombinant protein modular design, we constructed two ELPs with the same ELP domain but with external sequences exhibiting different charge states in response to pH changes. By combining the ELP domain to the external sequence with a pH -sensitive charge state, we demonstrated that an additional pH sensitivity can be introduced to the LCST behavior of the ELP protein. We further showed that the effect from the pH -sensitive external sequence can be reduced by decreasing the ratio between the external sequence and the ELP domain or by increasing the ionic strength in the solution. Last but not least, we reported that the identity of the external sequence alters the interactions between the ELP proteins and Hoffmeister ions and thus changes the LCST behavior in response to different Hoffmeister ions. Our results suggest that external sequences can be harness as a new factor for tailoring the LCST
behavior of ELPs and provide insights in designing ELPs for thermo-responsive and/or pH -responsive hydrogels and drug delivery vehicles.

Chapter 5 contains the summary and major conclusions of this research and outlines future directions.
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## 2. REDOX-RESPONSIVE HYDROGELS VIA ONE-POT THIOLENE ADDITION AND THIOL OXIDATION WITH DECOUPLED DEGRADATION BEHAVIORS AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

This chapter consists of a manuscript by Lin C-Y and Liu JC, prepared for submission in 2019.

### 2.1 Abstract

Disulfide-crosslinked hydrogels have been widely used for biological applications because of their ability to degrade in response to redox stimuli. However, the degradability often depends on the polymer concentration, which also influences the hydrogel mechanical properties. Here we describe a one-pot crosslinking approach utilizing both thiol-ene addition through divinyl sulfone (DVS) and thiol oxidation promoted by ferric EDTA. The thiol-ene addition results in non-reducible thioether bonds, whereas the thiol oxidation forms reducible disulfide bonds. By varying the DVS concentration used, the ratio between the thioether and disulfide bonds in the hydrogel is modulated and and therefore the degradability can be controlled. Hydrogels were created that had similar shear moduli but different degradation rates. These gels also had different release rates of encapsulated dextran when exposed to $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ glutathione. Fibroblast encapsulation suggested good cytocompatibility of the crosslinking reactions. This work shows the potential of combining DVS and ferric EDTA to create thiol-crosslinked hydrogels as redox-responsive drug delivery vehicles and tissue engineering scaffolds with tunable degradability.

### 2.2 Introduction

Hydrogels have generated great interest as scaffolds for tissue engineering applications due to their high water content, good biocompatibility, and tunable mechanical properties. ${ }^{1-2}$ The properties of a hydrogel largely depend not only on the choice of the material but also on the crosslinking reaction. Synthetic polymers, such as poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) and poly(hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (PHEMA), or natural polymers, including alginate and
collagen, have been used for hydrogel fabrication. ${ }^{3}$ The available crosslinking approaches depend on the material used. ${ }^{4}$

Many different crosslinking mechanisms have been used to create hydrogels. For example, crosslinking based on free radicals is often applied to synthetic polymers and requires the use of initiators. However, depending on the type of initiators, the biocompatibility of the resulting hydrogel can be compromised. ${ }^{5-7}$ Physical crosslinking is mostly achieved through electrostatic or hydrophobic interactions but affords less control in tuning the mechanical properties of the crosslinked hydrogels. ${ }^{8-9}$ Chemical crosslinking can be used with both synthetic and natural polymers as long as the polymers have the necessary functional groups for the reaction.

Carboxylic acids and amines are commonly-used functional groups for chemical crosslinking because of their abundance in both synthetic and natural polymers. One robust carboxylic acid-reactive crosslinker is 1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide (EDC) that links these two functional groups by forming an amide bond. Despite its wide application in hydrogel crosslinking, the acidic $\mathrm{pH}(4.0-6.0)$ required for optimal efficiency prevents the usage of EDC for fabrication of cell-encapsulated hydrogels. Hydrogels crosslinked with high concentrations of EDC have been shown to have moderate cytotoxicity and to elicit non-native cellular interactions. ${ }^{10-11} \mathrm{~N}$-hydroxysuccinimide esters (NHS esters) can be used to achieve amine-to-amine crosslinking at $\mathrm{pH} 7-9$. However, it is difficult to directly incorporate biomolecules, such as growth factors, into hydrogel formation with NHS ester crosslinking due to the abundance of amine groups on those molecules. ${ }^{12}$

Chemical crosslinking utilizing functional groups that are not commonly present on biomolecules has also been developed. For example, hydrogels crosslinked by strainpromoted azide-alkyne cycloaddition or by the Diels-Alder reaction have been shown to have good biorthogonality and cytocompatibility. ${ }^{13}$ However, natural polymers need to be functionalized with the corresponding reactive groups before being used for hydrogel formation. ${ }^{14-15}$

Thiol-based crosslinking is another promising choice for fabricating biocompatible hydrogels. Thiols can be incorporated into synthetic polymers ${ }^{16}$ and can also be found in cysteine residues in protein-based materials. Therefore, thiol-based crosslinking can easily
be utilized to form hydrogels of both kinds of polymers. In addition, cysteine is the least abundant amino acid and is often found in buried sites of biomolecules. ${ }^{17}$ Therefore, undesired crosslinking between the hydrogel material and the encapsulated biomolecules or cells is less likely to occur. Thiol-ene addition is one of the most commonly-used thiol crosslinking chemistries. ${ }^{18}$ Maleimide and divinyl sulfone (DVS) are successful examples of compounds used to create thiol-ene crosslinked hydrogels with good biocompatibility. ${ }^{13}$ Thiols can also form disulfide bonds through oxidation, and oxidants, including periodate, hydrogen peroxide, and ferricyanide, can be used to accelerate the oxidation. ${ }^{19-20}$

Disulfide bonds can be reversibly cleaved by reducing agents. This property can be harnessed to make the hydrogels that are responsive to changes in redox condition. Kar et al. synthesized two PEG derivatives with or without disulfide bonds in the backbone. ${ }^{21}$ The hydrogel degradation rates in response to various reducing agents were modulated by changing the ratio between the two PEG variants while keeping the total PEG concentration constant. Faster degradation was observed with hydrogels having higher ratios of the disulfide-containing PEG variant. In experiments performed by Yang et al., disulfide bond formation was utilized directly as the crosslinking method for PEG hydrogel formation. ${ }^{22-}$ ${ }^{23}$ The disulfide-crosslinked hydrogels were responsive to glutathione (GSH), a reducing agent commonly found in the extracellular space, at a physiological concentration of 10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$. The authors demonstrated that these redox-responsive hydrogels could be used for cell encapsulation or as drug delivery vehicles. When bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) was encapsulated during the hydrogel formation, its bioactivity was not compromised and was able to promote bone healing in vivo. However, the degradation behavior of these hydrogels depended on the PEG concentration in the hydrogels and therefore could not be tuned independently from the mechanical properties of the hydrogels.

In this work, we show that the degradation rate of thiol-crosslinked PEG hydrogels can be tuned independently of the mechanical properties by using thiol-ene addition and thiol oxidation simultaneously. DVS was used to crosslink thiol-functionalized PEG by forming non-reducible thioether bonds, and ferric ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (ferric EDTA) was chosen as the oxidant to facilitate disulfide bond crosslinking (Figure 2.1). Hydrogels crosslinked with different ratios of DVS to ferric EDTA were characterized for their gelation times and rheological properties. Swelling behavior and the release of
encapsulated dextran profile were investigated under physiological reducing conditions, and cytocompatibility of cells encapsulated within the dual-crosslinked hydrogels was evaluated. The results demonstrate the potential of a one-pot DVS and ferric EDTA reactions as a new crosslinking approach to generate thiol-crosslinked hydrogels with independently tunable mechanical properties and degradation behavior.

### 2.3 Experimental Methods

### 2.3.1 Materials

All materials and reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) or AMRESCO, Inc. (Radnor, PA) unless otherwise specified. Four-arm PEG thiol (PEG-SH, Mn $10000 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) was purchased from JenKem Technology USA (Plano, TX). Milli-Q water was used in all experiments except for protein expression and dialysis where reverseosmosis purified water was used.

### 2.3.2 Hydrogel Formation

The PEG-SH solution was prepared at $12 \mathrm{wt} \%$ in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) at pH 7.4. The DVS solution was prepared at 80 mM in PBS at pH 7.4 . To prepare the solution of ferric EDTA, ferric sulfate was dissolved in a 0.5 M EDTA solution. The mixture was adjusted to pH 7.4 , and 10x PBS and MQ were added to make final concentrations of 100 mM ferric ion, 110 mM EDTA, and 1x PBS at pH 7.4 . To make a hydrogel, the ferric EDTA solution was first mixed with the DVS solution then with the PEG-SH solution. The final concentration in the hydrogel was $5 \mathrm{wt} \%$ PEG-SH with 50 mM ferric ion and 8 mM DVS. To make hydrogels crosslinked with lower DVS concentrations, the DVS solution was first diluted with PBS before mixing with the ferric EDTA solution. After mixing the solutions, the mixture was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified environment until gelation. The gelation time was determined with three independent samples by the tube inversion test, which is widely used for PEG-based hydrogels. ${ }^{24-25}$

### 2.3.3 Rheological Characterization

To prepare hydrogels for rheological analysis, $170 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of pre-polymer solution was dispensed on a poly(tetrafluoroethylene)-coated slide (Tekdon, Inc., Myakka City, FL,

Slide ID: 1-200). The hydrogels were incubated on the slides at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h in a humidified environment. An AR-G2 rheometer (TA Instruments, New Castle, DE) was used with a 20 mM plate geometry. The bottom plate was maintained at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during the tests. The hydrogel and slide were allowed to reach temperature equilibrium with the plate for 1 min before the rheological test started. Frequency sweeps were performed from 0.1 to 10 Hz at $1 \%$ strain. Strain sweeps were performed from $0.1-10 \%$ strain at 1 Hz .

### 2.3.4 Swelling and Degradation Analysis

To prepare hydrogels for swelling and degradation experiments, 50 uL of pre-polymer solution was dispensed onto an acid-washed $12-\mathrm{mm}$ coverslip. The hydrogel was then incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h in a humidified environment. After incubation, the hydrogel was rinsed extensively in MQ and was lyophilized. The dry weight of the lyophilized hydrogel $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ was measured, and the hydrogel was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 1 mL of PBS or PBS with $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{GSH}$. At predetermined time points, the swollen weight of the hydrogel $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ was measured. The incubation solution was changed every 24 h to maintain a constant GSH concentration. The swelling ratio (S) was calculated using the equation $\mathrm{S}=100 \mathrm{x}$ $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{s}}-\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{d}}\right) / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{d}}$. Complete degradation of the hydrogel was determined when no remaining hydrogel fragments were observed when measuring $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{s}}$.

### 2.3.5 Quantification of in vitro Release of Dextran

Fluorescein isothiocyanate-dextran (FITC-dextran) (average MW 150 kDa or 2 MDa ) was used as a model molecule for in vitro release experiments. The FITC-dextran stock solution (1xPBS, pH 7.4 ) was mixed with the PEG-SH solution then mixed with the DVS/ferric EDTA solution. The final FITC-dextran concentration was $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$. To form hydrogels, $75 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the gel solution was pipetted into a syringe mold with a diameter of 4.5 mm and incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h in a humidified environment.

To detect FITC-dextran release, the FITC-dextran-encapsulated hydrogels were transferred to 1.5 mL PBS with or without $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GSH. The gels were incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with gentle shaking at 100 rpm . At predetermined time points, the incubation solution was collected and replenished with fresh solution. The FITC-dextran concentration in the collected incubation solution was determined by the FITC fluorescence intensity. The
fluorescence reading was performed on a SpectraMax M2 ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ instrument (Molecular Devices, Downingtown, PA) with an excitation wavelength at 492 nm and an emission wavelength at 518 nm with a cutoff at 515 nm . FITC-dextran standards in PBS or in PBS with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GSH were prepared to convert fluorescence readings to mass concentrations.

### 2.3.6 Cell Encapsulation and Cytocompatibility

To investigate the cytocompatibility of the crosslinking chemistry, NIH/3T3 mouse fibroblasts were encapsulated in hydrogels crosslinked with 8 mM DVS. For encapsulation, cells were resuspended in PEG-SH solution first, and the cell resuspension was then mixed with the ferric EDTA and DVS solutions in a $\mu$-Slide Angiogenesis (ibidi GmbH, Martinsried, Germany with a final volume of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). The final cell concentration was $10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$. The cell-encapsulated hydrogels were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified environment for 2 h before the culture medium was added to the hydrogels. Cellencapsulated hydrogels were cultured at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in high-glucose Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) with 10\% fetal bovine serum (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland, Cat. \#: 14-501F) and $100 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin-streptomycin (Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Medium was changed every other day.

Cell viability was assessed 1 and 5 days after encapsulation using a LIVE/DEAD ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Viability/Cytotoxicity Kit (Thermo-Fisher Scientific). On the day of the assay, the hydrogels were rinsed in pre-warmed PBS for 10 min for 3 times and then incubated with $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ calcein acetoxymethyl ester and $4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ethidium homodimer- 1 in PBS for 30 min at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was changed to fresh PBS before the hydrogels were imaged on a Nikon Ti-E C1+ confocal (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). The z-axis scanning was performed over an $800-\mu \mathrm{m}$ thickness with a step size of $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

### 2.4 Results and Discussion

### 2.4.1 Crosslinker Formulation Independent Hydrogel Formation and Shear Modulus

To create thiol-crosslinked hydrogels with tunable degradability independent of mechanical properties, we utilized thiol-ene addition and thiol oxidation in a one-pot reaction as illustrated in Figure 2.1. Thioether bonds formed between PEG-SH and DVS
are not reducible by reducing agents such as GSH and maintain hydrogel integrity under reducing conditions. On the other hand, disulfide bonds formed between PEG-SH through oxidation promoted by ferric EDTA can be reduced and therefore provide hydrogel degradability in a reducing environment.

The gelation times of $5 \mathrm{wt} \%$ PEG-SH crosslinked with 50 mM ferric ion and various concentration of DVS were determined by tube inversion tests. Unless otherwise specified, the concentration of ferric ion was kept constant at 50 mM . As shown in Figure 2.1D, no flow upon tube inversion was observed with $2-8 \mathrm{mM}$ DVS after 10 min of incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. When the test was performed with shorter intervals of 1 min , hydrogels crosslinked with 8 mM DVS did not flow after 4 min of incubation. Five minutes and ten minutes were required for gels with 4 and 2 mM DVS, respectively, to resist flowing during inversion.

The color of the hydrogel mixture changed during gelation (Figure 2.1D). Immediately after mixing the PEG-SH solution with the DVS/ferric EDTA mixture, the color of the solution turned from light brown to a deep purple. Over time, the color changed to dark brown and then back to light brown. This change in the solution color roughly correlated with the extent of gelation. The gel crosslinked with 8 mM DVS had the shortest gelation time and after 10 min of incubation had a lighter brown color than the other two formulations. When the hydrogels were allowed to further crosslink for another 20 min , all three gels showed a similar light brown color.
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Figure 2.1. One-pot crosslinking through thiol-ene addition and thiol oxidation. (A) Chemical structures of four-arm poly(ethylene glycol) thiol (PEG-SH), divinyl sulfone (DVS), and sodium ferric ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (ferric EDTA). (B) Formation of thioether bonds between PEG-SH and DVS through thiol-ene addition and formation of disulfide bonds between two PEG-SH through thiol oxidation promoted by ferric EDTA. (C) Illustration of the hydrogel network with both thioether and disulfide bonds. In the presence of reducing agents, disulfide bonds are reduced and broken. On the other hand, thioether bonds are not reducible and remain intact in the network. (D) Hydrogels crosslinked with 50 mM ferric EDTA with different DVS concentrations showed similar gelation times as determined by tube inversion test. All formulations formed hydrogels within 10 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Color change was observed during the gelation process. The color was initially deep purple and turned into dark brown then light brown. The rate of this color change depended on the crosslinking formulation.

To quantify the mechanical properties of the hydrogels, frequency and strain sweeps were performed using a rheometer. Hydrogels were crosslinked with $0,2,4$, or 8 mM DVS and incubated on poly(tetrafluoroethylene)-coated slides at $37^{\circ}$ for 24 h in a
humidified incubator before the rheological tests. The results of the frequency and strain sweeps are shown in Figure 2.2. All hydrogels showed linear trends in storage moduli (G') over the tested frequency range ( 0.1 to 10 Hz ) at $1 \%$ strain and over the tested strain range $(0.1 \%$ to $10 \%)$ at 1 Hz . At $1 \%$ strain at 1 Hz , the average $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ for hydrogels crosslinked with $0,2,4$, and 8 mM DVS were $357.5 \pm 80.8,364.3 \pm 33.1,494.2 \pm 65.8$, and $463.5 \pm 91.0$ Pa, respectively. ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc tests were performed, and the average G' of the $0-$ and $2-\mathrm{mM}-\mathrm{DVS}$ hydrogels were statistically lower than that of the 4-mM-DVS hydrogel ( $p<0.05$ ).


Figure 2.2. Similar storage moduli of hydrogels crosslinked with $0,2,4$, and 8 mM DVS were observed in (A) frequency and (B) strain sweeps. All hydrogels displayed linear viscoelastic regions from 0.1 to 10 Hz at $1 \%$ strain and from 0.1 to $10 \%$ strain at 1 Hz . Data $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ are presented as the average $\pm$ the standard deviation.

Thiol groups have generated great interest as a reactive group for hydrogel crosslinking. Because 14 of its near-neutral $\mathrm{pKa}(\sim 8)$ and high nucleophilicity, crosslinking via thiols can be performed under mild, physiological conditions. ${ }^{15}$ Moreover, thiols are the least abundant functional group found in proteins and are usually not exposed on protein surfaces. ${ }^{17}$ Therefore, thiol-based crosslinking is less likely to negatively affect biomolecules or cells encapsulated within the hydrogels due to unwanted side reactions. ${ }^{26-}$ 27

Two common mechanisms for thiol-based crosslinking are thiol-ene addition and disulfide formation through oxidation. Thiol-ene addition is often used because of its fast
reaction kinetics. ${ }^{28}$ The reaction rate is largely dependent on the choice of the alkenecontaining compound. When maleimides are used, crosslinking can be complete within seconds. ${ }^{29-30}$ This fast crosslinking, however, results in inhomogeneous hydrogel network structures. DVS, on the other hand, has a slower reaction rate with thiols. The gelation time of thiol-DVS crosslinking depends on the polymer type and concentration but is generally on the order of minutes. ${ }^{31-33}$ The gelation time from thiol oxidation crosslinking depends on whether any oxidants are used and the type of oxidant used. ${ }^{19}$ The gelation times of our hydrogels were in the range of minutes, which is similar to the thiol-DVS crosslinking time, despite the fact that both crosslinking through thiol-DVS and thiol oxidation occurred in one pot. In our system, the gelation time was 25 min when no DVS and only 50 mM ferric EDTA was used for crosslinking, and no gelation was observed at 24 h when neither ferric EDTA nor DVS was used. Note that the gelation time was 8 min when no ferric EDTA and only 8 mM DVS was used. Because of the slower reaction rate and gelation time of ferric EDTA, we expect that thiol groups predominantly react with DVS in the early stage of gelation. Since DVS was the limiting reagent in all crosslinking conditions in this work, crosslinking through thiol oxidation could take place after most of the DVS had reacted.

A color change was observed during the gelation process in our system. Whereas the PEG-SH solution was clear, the ferric EDTA solution was light brown as expected because of the presence of ferric ions. Upon mixing the ferric EDTA solution with the DVS solution (which was also clear), only color change from dilution was observed. Interestingly, after mixing the PEG-SH and the DVS/ferric EDTA solutions, a dark purple color was observed. We further investigated this color change by mixing the PEG-SH solution with only ferric EDTA and still observed the purple color, and this result suggests that formation of the purple color does not require the presence of DVS. Transition metals have been known to show different colors depending on their oxidation or coordination states. ${ }^{34}$ It has also been shown that thiolates can form coordination complexes with iron. ${ }^{35}$ Thus, we hypothesize that the color change into purple was a combined result of the reduction of ferric to ferrous ions and the coordination between thiolates on PEG-SH and the iron ion coordination center. The color of the hydrogel eventually changed back to the light brown color of the ferric EDTA solution as gelation progressed. This result supports our hypothesis that thiolates were involved in the formation of the purple color since, at
the end of gelation, most thiolates had reacted with DVS or formed disulfides. Thus, the observed color change has the potential to be a colorimetric method to determine the extent of gelation in our system.

The rheological analysis showed that hydrogels crosslinked with different concentrations of DVS had similar storage moduli. Despite the statistical difference in storage moduli between the $2-\mathrm{mM}$ - and $4-\mathrm{mM}-$ DVS hydrogels, the difference was within $27 \%$ of each other. The mechanical properties of a hydrogel depend on several factors including the polymer concentration and the crosslinking mechanism. ${ }^{36}$ Because the polymer concentration was kept constant at $5 \mathrm{wt} \%$ for all of our hydrogels, the gels differed only in crosslinking mechanism. Specifically, the ratio between crosslinking through thiolene addition and through disulfide formation was varied based on the concentration of DVS (the limiting reagent) used in hydrogel formation. To minimize the change in mechanical properties from crosslinking through thiol-ene addition, DVS was chosen as the alkenecontaining compound due to its relatively small size. As a result, the crosslinking junctions formed with DVS will be similar in length as the disulfide-crosslinked junctions in the hydrogel network. A similar strategy was used to make hydrogels that were crosslinked with different mechanisms but had similar mechanical properties. ${ }^{37}$ In addition, hydrogel stiffness could still be changed independently by varying the polymer concentration even when multiple crosslinkers were involved. ${ }^{38}$ Therefore, we expect that the stiffness of our hydrogels can be tuned by changing the polymer concentration and proportionally scaling the amount of DVS and ferric EDTA.

### 2.4.2 Tunable Swelling and Degradation of the Hydrogels with Similar Mechanical Properties

To test if hydrogel responsiveness to a reducing environment depends on the DVS concentration used for crosslinking, hydrogels crosslinked with 2 to 8 mM DVS were incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in PBS or in PBS with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GSH. Under non-reducing conditions (i.e., PBS), all hydrogels showed similar swelling behavior regardless of the DVS concentration used for crosslinking (Figure 2.3). An equilibrium swelling ratio of $\sim 22$ was reached within 24 h and maintained up to 248 h . When hydrogels were incubated in reducing conditions with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GSH, different swelling behavior was observed. Hydrogels crosslinked with 6 or 8 mM DVS showed stable swelling ratios that were
slightly higher than those in PBS, and the gels remained intact up to 248 h . On the other hand, hydrogels crosslinked with 2 or 4 mM DVS swelled more significantly in the presence of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GSH than in PBS alone. The swelling ratio of 2-mM DVS gel reached a maximum of 60 at 96 h and decreased afterwards. After 120 h of incubation, the $2-\mathrm{mM}$ DVS hydrogel disintegrated completely, and no further measurements were made. The 4mM DVS hydrogel reached its highest swelling ratio of 49 after 175 h and showed a slow decreasing trend afterwards. However, complete degradation of the hydrogel was not observed at 248 h .


Figure 2.3. Degradation in $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GSH increased with hydrogels crosslinked with lower DVS concentrations. All hydrogels showed similar swelling ratios when incubated in PBS. When incubated in PBS with $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{GSH}$, hydrogels crosslinked with 6 and 8 mM DVS showed no degradation, but swelling ratios were slightly higher than when incubated in PBS. On the other hand, hydrogels crosslinked with 2 and 4 mM DVS degraded and showed significantly higher swelling ratios compared those when incubated in PBS. The 2-mM DVS hydrogel disintegrated completely after 120 h of incubation with $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{GSH}$. Data $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ are presented as the average $\pm$ the standard deviation.

Degradability is an important hydrogel property in biomedical applications. Complete degradation with a tunable rate is often desired in drug delivery vehicles or tissue engineered scaffolds. ${ }^{39-40}$ Hydrogels with disulfide moieties in the network show good degradability through the reduction of the disulfide bond by various reducing agents
including cysteine, dithiothreitol, and tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine. ${ }^{21}$ Glutathione, a more biologically relevant reducing agent, can trigger hydrogel degradation at physiological concentrations by reduction of disulfides. ${ }^{21-23}$ The glutathione concentration in cells ranges from 0.5 to 10 mM , and the extracellular concentration of glutathione ranges from 2 to $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$. ${ }^{41}$

Kar et al. synthesized a PEG derivative with a disulfide moiety to create hydrogels that were degradable by 0.5 mM GSH within 24 h of incubation. ${ }^{21}$ Yang and coworkers used hydrogen peroxide as an oxidant to make PEG hydrogels crosslinked through disulfide bonds and showed that the hydrogels degraded in $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{GSH} .{ }^{22-23}$ By changing the PEG concentration in the hydrogel, they tuned the degradation time from $\sim 3$ days for the $3 \mathrm{wt} \%$ hydrogel and $\sim 2$ weeks for the $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ hydrogel. However, the storage moduli between their $3 \mathrm{wt} \%$ and $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ gels differed by about two orders of magnitude. On the other hand, our hydrogels crosslinked with DVS and ferric EDTA showed similar storage moduli (Figure 2.2) but different degradation behavior in $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GSH (Figure 2.3). The consistency in storage moduli was achieved by keeping the polymer concentration and the number of thiol groups the same, and the degradation was tuned by the ratio of ferric EDTA to DVS. Since both ferric EDTA and DVS crosslinked through thiols and had the same crosslinking stoichiometry, the number of crosslinking junctions in the hydrogel network remained the same. As a result, the increased number of crosslinks with DVS did not significantly change the stiffness of the hydrogel but drastically increased the number of non-degradable crosslinks and hence increased the time needed to completely degrade the hydrogel.

### 2.4.3 Increased FITC-Dextran Release in the Presence of Glutathione

FITC-dextran was used a model molecule for the release of encapsulated cargo from the hydrogels. The cumulative release profiles of both 150 kDa and 2 MDa FITC-dextran from hydrogels crosslinked with 2 to 8 mM DVS in non-reducing (PBS) or reducing (PBS with $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{GSH})$ conditions are shown in Figure 2.4. For both 150 kDa and 2 MDa FITCdextran, more dextran molecules were released from all hydrogels, regardless of the DVS concentration used for crosslinking, in reducing conditions versus non-reducing conditions at all time points. However, the difference in the amount of FITC-dextran released between
the two conditions increased as the DVS concentration used for crosslinking decreased. For 150 kDa FTIC-dextran, the differences in the cumulative release between reducing and non-reducing conditions were $2.4 \%, 6.7 \%, 11 \%$, and $13 \%$ for hydrogels crosslinked with $8,6,4$, and 2 mM DVS after 120 h of incubation, respectively. For 2 MDa FITCdextran, the difference in the release amount between the reducing and the non-reducing environments became more significant as the DVS concentration for the crosslinking decreased from 8 to 2 mM . After 120 h of incubation, the differences in the cumulative release between reducing and non-reducing conditions were $2.1 \%, 13 \%, 27 \%$, and $45 \%$ for hydrogels crosslinked with $8,6,4$, and 2 mM DVS, respectively.


Figure 2.4. Faster and higher release of encapsulated 150 kDa or 2 MDa FTIC-dextrans from the 2- and 4-mM DVS hydrogels in PBS with $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{GSH}$. On the other hand, release profiles from hydrogels crosslinked with 6 and 8 mM DVS were similar when incubated in either PBS only or PBS with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GSH. Data ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) are presented as the average $\pm$ the standard deviation.

Designing redox-responsive vehicles is a major aspect of targeted drug delivery because of the varying GSH concentrations found in different tissue types or between healthy and cancerous tissues. ${ }^{42}$ Disulfides have been harnessed to confer such redoxresponsiveness to materials, and previous studies have demonstrated GSH-sensitive release kinetics with disulfide-containing hydrogels. ${ }^{22-23,43}$ In general, higher release rates were observed with hydrogels undergoing faster degradation. This trend was also observed with the release profiles of encapsulated FITC-dextran from our dual-crosslinked hydrogels. Hydrogels crosslinked with 2 mM DVS showed both the fastest degradation and the fastest release of FITC-dextran (Figure 2.2 and 2.3). On the other hand, hydrogels crosslinked with 8 mM DVS showed no significant degradation by GSH as indicated by the constant swelling ratio (Figure 2.3) and showed nearly identical release profiles with or without GSH (Figure 2.4). Also note that both the 8 - and $6-\mathrm{mM}$ DVS hydrogels showed slightly higher amounts of FITC-dextran released in $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GSH than in PBS. Since both hydrogels also showed slightly higher swelling ratios when incubated in $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{GSH}$, the more swollen and looser network structures could allow faster diffusion of the encapsulated FITC-dextran.

We note that $\geq 80 \%$ of the encapsulated 150 kDa FITC-dextran was released regardless of the extent of DVS crosslinking and the presence of GSH. To understand this finding, we compared the mesh size of our hydrogels to the hydrodynamic radius of FITCdextran. The mesh size was estimated with the Flory-Rehner theory using volumetric swelling as described previously. ${ }^{44-45}$ For hydrogels crosslinked with 8 and 6 mM DVS , the estimated mesh size was $\sim 30 \mathrm{~nm}$ in both $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GSH and PBS. For hydrogels crosslinked with 4 mM DVS, the mesh size increased to a maximum of $\sim 52 \mathrm{~nm}$ after 175 h of incubation in $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{GSH}$. The maximum mesh size of the $2-\mathrm{mM}$ DVS hydrogel was $\sim 58 \mathrm{~nm}$ at 96 h of incubation with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GSH. On the other hand, the reported hydrodynamic radius of FITC-dextran with a molecular weight of 150 kDa is $8.25 \mathrm{~nm} .{ }^{46}$ When the mesh size of the hydrogel network is larger than the hydrodynamic radius of the encapsulated molecules, the diffusion of the molecules is less sterically hindered. However, it has been shown that diffusion of molecules within a hydrogel was influenced by changes in mesh size even when the mesh sizes were larger than the hydrodynamic radii of the molecules. ${ }^{45}$ In our hydrogels, the release of 150 kDa FITC-dextran was also influenced
by the increasing mesh size over the course of degradation, especially with the hydrogels crosslinked with 2 and 4 mM DVS. On the other hand, the hydrodynamic radius of 2 MDa dextran is around $26.89 \mathrm{~nm} .{ }^{47}$ This similar radius to the estimated hydrogel mesh size resulted in the low release amount in the hydrogel crosslinked with 8 mM or 6 mM DVS in both the reducing and the non-reducing environment as well as in the early time points from 4- and 2-mM DVS crosslinked hydrogels. As the hydrogels started to be degraded by GSH, the increase in the mesh size accelerated the release of 2 MDa FITC-dextran.

### 2.4.4 DVS and Ferric EDTA Crosslinking Was Cytocompatible

NIH/3T3 mouse fibroblasts were used to evaluate the cytocompatibility of crosslinking with ferric EDTA and DVS. Cells were encapsulated at $10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ in hydrogels crosslinked with 50 mM ferric ion and 8 mM DVS, which is the highest DVS concentration used in this work. After 1 and 5 days of encapsulation, cell viability was assessed by performing a live/dead assay. As shown in Figure 2.5, most cells were viable on both days 1 and 5. In addition, the majority of the cells were distributed uniformly in the z -direction of the hydrogels. This result suggests that the crosslinking rate of our approach was fast enough to minimize settlement of encapsulated cells or particles.


Figure 2.5. NIH/3T3 mouse fibroblasts encapsulated in the dual-crosslinked hydrogels showed good viability up to 5 days. 50 mM ferric EDTA and 8 mM DVS were used during cell encapsulation. Cells stained green are viable, and cells stained red are dead. Most encapsulated cells were viable on days 1 and 5. The XY projection images are maximum intensity projections from confocal scanned stacks and were created using ImageJ. The unit for the grid in the 3D reconstruction images is $\mu \mathrm{m}$.

Thiol-ene addition through DVS has been widely used to make hydrogels for cell encapsulation. Both free DVS and DVS-functionalized crosslinkers have been shown to have good cytocompatibility. ${ }^{48-49}$ Degradation products of DVS-crosslinked hydrogels also have been reported to elicit minimal immune responses. ${ }^{50}$ Free ferric ions have been shown to be cytotoxic, but the toxicity can be mitigated by chelation. ${ }^{51}$ Our results also suggested that cells can survive with high viability with concentrations as high as 8 mM DVS and 50 mM ferric EDTA used for crosslinking. Furthermore, because of the relatively large mesh size of the hydrogel ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) compared to the hydrodynamic radius of ferric EDTA ( 0.42 nm ), ${ }^{52}$ fast diffusion of ferric EDTA from the hydrogel is expected. The resulting fast decrease in the ferric EDTA concentration in the hydrogel is also expected to lower any cytotoxic effect from ferric EDTA. In fact, ferric EDTA has been widely used as a food additive for iron fortification. ${ }^{53}$ Therefore, the release of ferric EDTA from our hydrogels should not negatively impact the potential for its use in in vivo applications.

### 2.5 Conclusions

We developed a one-pot thiol crosslinking based on thiol-ene addition and thiol oxidation. The thiol-ene addition between thiols on PEG-SH and DVS molecules resulted in thioether bonds that were not reducible by reducing agents. In addition, ferric EDTA was used to promote thiol oxidation that forms disulfide bonds. Unlike thioether bonds, disulfide bonds were reversible by reduction. By varying the amount of DVS used during crosslinking, the ratio between thioether and disulfide bonds in the hydrogel network was tuned without significantly changing the mechanical properties of the hydrogel. The change in the ratio between the two bonds, however, allowed us to create hydrogels with different degradation behavior under reducing conditions. At the same polymer concentration, hydrogel degradation was modulated from extremely low degradability to complete degradation by $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GSH within 5 days by lowering the DVS concentration used for crosslinking. The release rate of encapsulated FITC-dextran was also tunable using the same approach. Finally, we showed that the crosslinking with DVS and ferric EDTA showed good cytocompatibility. Our results suggested that the combination of DVS and ferric EDTA provides a crosslinking method for thiol-based hydrogels with tunable degradability independent of mechanical properties. These gels provide a new platform for investigating
redox-responsive drug delivery and cell-material interactions in tissue engineering scaffolds with decoupled mechanical properties and degradation behaviors in response to reduction stimuli.
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## 3. PROTEIN ADHESIVES BASED ON ELASTIN-LIKE POLYPEPTIDES: A COMPARISON BETWEEN CATECHOLAND THIOL-BASED ADHESION

This chapter consists of a manuscript by Lin C-Y and Liu JC, prepared for submission in 2019.

### 3.1 Abstract

Different chemistries have been utilized for protein-based materials to achieve adhesion in a humidified environment. L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA) found in marine mussel adhesive proteins has generated great interest because DOPA participates in multiple reaction mechanisms that confer the ability to adhere in wet conditions. However, because DOPA is a non-canonical amino acid, it requires additional steps to be incorporated into recombinant proteins. On the other hand, thiol groups can be easily incorporated into recombinant protein design via cysteine residues. Although thiol-based chemistry has been used in developing adhesive materials, its potential in achieving wet adhesion has not been fully explored. In this work, we took advantage of the sequence flexibility in elastin-like polypeptides (ELPs) and designed two ELP-based adhesives with highly similar sequences that are rich in DOPA or thiol residues. The sequence similarity between the two ELP adhesives allowed us to focus on the differences between DOPA- and thiol--based adhesion. Curing kinetics, adhesion strength in a wet setting, capability to recover from disturbance in the curing process, and cytocompatibility of the two adhesives were compared. Finally, a synergistic increase in the adhesion strength was achieved by utilizing both DOPA- and thiol-based chemistry simultaneously. Our results suggested that the performance of an adhesive material depends on the chemistry used, and our work demonstrated the potential of DOPA-thiol chemistry in protein-based adhesives for wet adhesion.

### 3.2 Introduction

More than 310 million surgeries are performed each year worldwide, ${ }^{1}$ and more than half of these surgeries involves would closure using sutures or staples. ${ }^{2}$ However, these
methods inevitably damage the surrounding healthy tissue and have a higher possibility of infection. ${ }^{3}$ Surgical sealants and adhesives can be used together with sutures or staples to mitigate such risks. ${ }^{4-5}$

Two important criteria for surgical sealants and adhesives are to be biocompatible and to not cause additional complications. ${ }^{6}$ Many of the current FDA-approved surgical sealants or adhesives, however, do not fully meet these criteria. For example, BioGlue ${ }^{\circledR}$ is an FDA-approved tissue adhesive using bovine albumin, which is the most abundant protein in mammalian plasma, and glutaraldehyde to achieve sealing. Glutaraldehyde reacts with amine groups on albumin or surrounding tissue, and the reaction is independent of the blood clotting mechanism in the body. However, glutaraldehyde can be cytotoxic and cause inflammation near the application site..$^{7-8}$ The use of bovine albumin can also trigger immune responses. ${ }^{7-8}$ Products that circumvent the use of glutaraldehyde have been developed. One example is ProGel ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$, which replaces glutaraldehyde with poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) functionalized with N -hydroxylsuccinimide (NHS)esters that react with amine groups. However, PEG is hydrophilic and can swell up to four times by volume. ${ }^{9}$ Such swelling can cause pressure to the surrounding tissue. Tisseel and Crosseal ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ use fibrinogen and thrombin to trigger the blood clotting mechanism to achieve hemostasis and sealing action. FloSeal and TachoSil® augment fibrin by adding gelatin or collagen, respectively, to increase the sealing efficiency. Although the probability is low, fibrinbased sealants do have a risk of transmitting bloodborne pathogens. ${ }^{10}$

Other than the blood clotting mechanism, nature has more to offer in creating protein-based adhesion. Drosophila nasuta uses highly glycosylated proteins to secure puparia onto surfaces. ${ }^{11}$ The red-legged salamander Plethodon shermani utilizes a sticky protein solution that hardens within seconds as a way to immobilize predators. ${ }^{12}$ Upon provocation, the Australian frog Notaden bennetti secretes a viscous protein solution as a defense mechanism. ${ }^{13}$ A velvet worm, Euperipatoides rowelli, captures its prey with a similar protein solution. ${ }^{14}$ Proteomic analysis reveals that the amino acid compositions of the last two sticky protein solutions are similar in having high proline/hydroxyproline and charged residues. ${ }^{15}$ Protein segments low in structural complexity are hypothesized to form tandem repeats via supramolecular assembly and thus result in the adhesion of these protein solutions. Some plants also use proteins to stick to and climb onto surfaces. English ivy
(Hedera helix) produces fluid that is rich in spherical hydroxyproline-rich glycoproteins. ${ }^{16}$ In the presence of calcium ions, these proteins can form strong electrostatic interactions with pectic polysaccharides found in the extracellular matrix of English ivy. The spherical structure of the proteins also increase the wetting ability of the fluid and allow stronger adhesion to the surface.

Among all the adhesive materials created by organisms in nature, mussel adhesive proteins have perhaps drawn the most significant interest. Mussels produce proteins that are rich in charged residues (e.g., lysine) and a non-canonical amino acid 3,4dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA) which confers strong adhesion even in a wet environment or underwater. ${ }^{17}$ Such adhesion is achieved through a combination of mechanisms, including oxidation of DOPA to quinone, hydrogen bonding, covalent bonding, and metal chelation (e.g., iron). ${ }^{18}$ Mussels also secrete proteins that have a relatively high thiol content. ${ }^{19}$ In one of these thiol-rich proteins, the majority of the thiols are in the form of disulfide bonds. ${ }^{20}$ These disulfide bonds are proposed to provide the structural integrity of the mussel adhesive protein complex. Meanwhile, thiols on another thiol-rich mussel protein are found to be presented mostly in their reduced form. ${ }^{21}$ These free thiols can participate in the redox reactions of DOPA and form additional covalent bonds with DOPA. ${ }^{21-22}$

Efforts have been made in developing recombinant protein-based adhesives utilizing the DOPA chemistry found in mussel adhesive proteins. ${ }^{23-25}$ However, because DOPA is a non-canonical amino acid, the incorporation of DOPA into recombinant protein production requires post-expression modification to convert tyrosine to DOPA. Direct incorporation of DOPA during recombinant mussel adhesive protein expression in Escherichia coli has been reported with good incorporation rate but low yield. ${ }^{26}$ Recombinant proteins based on different amino acid sequences that feature DOPA to confer adhesion have also been developed. For example, Brennan et al. designed a musselinspired adhesive elastin-like polypeptide (ELP) with good adhesion strength in both dry and humid conditions. ${ }^{27}$ Tyrosine was incorporated into the ELP design to introduce DOPA by tyrosinase conversion. Burke et al. constructed a silk-based adhesive by chemically modifying serine to DOPA. ${ }^{25}$ The DOPA-modified silk showed higher adhesion strength than the non-modified silk and remained cytocompatible to human mesenchymal stem cells.

While DOPA is the key component in many mussel-inspired adhesives, the thiol aspect of the mussel adhesive proteins has not been systematically studied. In this work, we created mussel-inspired ELP adhesives based on DOPA or thiol chemistry. ELPs have garnered great interest as biomaterials because of their elastic mechanical properties and good cytocompatibility. ${ }^{28-29}$ ELPs are composed of a repeating pentapeptide sequence of Val-Pro-Gly-Xaa-Gly, where the identity of the guest residue Xaa can be any amino acid except for proline. ${ }^{30} \mathrm{By}$ inserting tyrosine or cysteine in the guest residue positions, we created a DOPA-containing ELP after tyrosinase conversion of the tyrosine or a thiolcontaining ELP. We compared the adhesion strengths and the curing kinetics of these two ELP adhesives in the presence or absence of iron ions. We found that the thiol-based ELP adhesives showed faster curing and higher adhesion strength compared to the DOPA-based ELP adhesives but were less tolerant to disturbances during early curing. In addition, a higher adhesion strength was achieved when the two ELP adhesives were mixed at a $1: 1$ DOPA:thiol molar ratio. Finally, we assessed the cytocompatibility of our ELP adhesives. We found that more cells attached initially to the thiol-based ELP than DOPA-based ELP; however, cells seeded on both ELP adhesives had high viability after 5 days of culture. Overall, the difference in the performance of these DOPA- and thiol--based adhesives provides insights into the choice of the chemistry used when designing protein-based adhesives.

### 3.3 Experimental Methods

### 3.3.1 Materials

All materials and reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO) and AMRESCO, Inc. (Radnor, PA) unless otherwise specified. Four-arm polyethylene glycol maleimide (4-arm PEG-Mal, MW $10000 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) was purchased from JenKem Technology USA (Plano, TX). Milli-Q water (MQ) was used in all experiments except for protein expression and dialysis where reverse-osmosis purified water was used.

### 3.3.2 Protein Design and Cloning

The tyrosine-containing ELP, [YKV-72], was designed with a total of 72 pentapeptide repeats with a guest residue composition of tyrosine, lysine, and valine evenly distributed
(Supporting Information Figure 3.8). The cloning of [YKV-72] was performed with an encoding fragment from our previous work,,${ }^{27,31}$ recursive directional ligation, ${ }^{32}$ and a cloning scheme previously developed by our lab. ${ }^{33}$ For the cysteine-containing ELP, [CKVYKV-72], a DNA oligo encoding an ELP fragment of six pentapeptide repeats with a guest residue composition of Cys-Lys-Val-Tyr-Lys-Val was synthesized by SigmaAldrich. The cloning of [CKVYKV -72] was achieved by elongating the ELP fragment 12 times as described above.

### 3.3.3 Protein Expression and Purification

Proteins were expressed in the Rosetta2(DE3)pLysS E. coli strain (EMD Chemicals, Gibbstown, NJ) in 2 xYT medium with $35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ chloramphenicol and either $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ kanamycin (for [YKV-72]) or $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ampicillin (for [CKVYKV-72]). The expression was induced by 1 mM isopropyl $\beta$-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG, Corning Inc., Corning, NY) when the optical density at 600 nm was between 0.6 to 0.8 . Three hours after induction, the cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5380 g for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cell pellets were resuspended in a denaturing buffer ( 8 M urea, $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris-Cl, pH 8.0 ) at $4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$ wet pellet; the resuspension was stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

To lyse cells of [YKV-72], sonication (Misonix XL-2000, Qsonica, Newtown, CT) was performed at 25 watts with $15-\mathrm{sec}$ on/off cycles on ice. After 20 cycles, the lysate was centrifuged at 10000 g for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to remove insoluble debris. The cleared lysate was added with $10(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}) \%$ ammonium sulfate. The lysate was incubated on ice for 10 min before centrifugation at 10000 g for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was collected and incubated with another $10(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}) \%$ ammonium sulfate before centrifugation. The centrifuged pellet was resuspended in water at 200 mg wet pellet $/ \mathrm{mL}$, and the resuspension was heated to $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min with constant stirring, vortexed, and heated again as before. The heated resuspension was cooled on ice until ice cold and centrifuged at 10000 g for 25 $\min$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was dialyzed against reverse osmosis water at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The water was changed at least 3 h apart from the previous change until a $10^{6}$ dilution was achieved. The dialyzed solution was centrifuged at 10000 g for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the supernatant was frozen in liquid nitrogen before lyophilization.

For [CKVYKV-72], cells were lysed and salted out as described above with the addition of 200 mM 2-mercaptoethanol (2-ME) throughout the process. The salted-out pellet was resuspended in ice-cold phosphate buffered saline (PBS) with 200 mM 2-ME at 50 mg wet pellet $/ \mathrm{mL}$ and purified using an inverse transition cycling (ITC) method. ${ }^{34}$ Briefly, the resuspension was centrifuged at 10000 g for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was treated with 2 M NaCl and incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min before centrifugation at 10000 g for 15 min at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The pellet was then resuspended and centrifuged again in icecold PBS with 200 mM 2-ME but without NaCl . The supernatant was then collected and incubated with 50 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) for 10 min before dialysis.

Protein expression and purification were confirmed by sodium dodecyl sulfatepolyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and Western blot using an anti-His tag antibody (GenScript, Piscataway, NJ). To quantify purity, gels stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 were analyzed by densitometry using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, NIH, Bethesda, MD). The molecular weights of the proteins were confirmed using electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) (Advion, Ithaca, NY).

### 3.3.4 Tyrosinase Modification

DOPA was introduced into [YKV-72] by converting tyrosine residues in the protein using tyrosinase as described previously. ${ }^{27}$ Briefly, the protein was dissolved at $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in 0.1 M sodium acetate buffer with 100 mM ascorbic acid at pH 5.5 . The solution was mixed with $150 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ of mushroom tyrosinase, and the mixture was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h with shaking at 200 rpm . After incubation, $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 6 N HCl was added per mL of the mixture to quench the tyrosinase conversion. The solution was then dialyzed in $5 \%$ acetic acid and lyophilized. The conversion efficiency was assessed after lyophilization using a difference spectrophotometry method with free DOPA as standards. ${ }^{27,35}$

### 3.3.5 Reduced-Thiol Quantification

The amount of reduced thiol content in [CKVYKV-72] was determined by 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) using L-cysteine as standards. Briefly, protein samples were prepared in 0.1 M phosphate buffer ( pH 8.0 ) with 5 mM
ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) such that the total thiol concentration was 0.5 mM . The L-cysteine standard solutions were prepared from 0.015 to 1.5 mM . The DTNB solution was prepared in the same buffer at $0.08 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$. The protein samples or the standards were mixed with the DTNB solution at a $1: 10$ ratio in a 96 -well plate. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 15 min and then measured for absorbance at 412 nm using a SpectraMax M2 ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ plate reader (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA).

### 3.3.6 Lap Shear Adhesion Test

Lap shear adhesion tests using porcine skin were set up following ASTM standard F2255$05 .{ }^{36}$ Porcine skin sheets (Stellen Medical, St. Paul, MN) were cut into 1.5 by 1.5 mm square pieces and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To set up an adherend, porcine skin pieces were thawed in PBS at room temperature, blotted on a paper towel to remove excess PBS, and affixed to the aluminum substrates with cyanoacrylate glue (All Purpose Krazy Glue® Pen (Elmer's Products, High Point, NC) or Gorilla Super Glue® Brush \& Nozzle (Gorilla Glue Company, Cincinnati, OH )). The affixed porcine skin pieces were then trimmed to the edge of the aluminum substrates. The porcine skin pieces were kept moist during the process.
[YKV-72] and [CKVYKV-72] stock solutions were prepared at the desired concentration in water, and the pH was adjusted to 7.4 . Although the proteins were dissolved in water, the pH remained steady due to the intrinsic buffer capacity of the proteins. A stock solution of ferric EDTA (Fe-EDTA) was prepared by dissolving ferric sulfate in a 0.5 M EDTA solution. MQ and 10x PBS were then added to the solution to make a final concentration of 400 mM ferric ions, 440 mM EDTA, and 1 x PBS at pH 7.4 . To prepare the adhesive formulations, the protein stock solutions were first mixed at the desired ratio and then mixed with the stock Fe-EDTA solution. The final concentrations were $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ protein and 100 mM iron ion. For the adhesive formulations without iron ions, the volume of the stock Fe-EDTA solution was replaced with 1x PBS. All solutions were kept on ice during the preparation.

Immediately after mixing, $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the adhesive mixture was applied to one porcine skin substrate, and the other porcine skin substrate was then overlaid with an overlap area of $1.44 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. The samples were covered by a moistened paper towel and secured by a $50-$
gram weight above the overlap area. The samples were incubated in a humidified chamber at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the predetermined curing time. Before the lap shear adhesion test, the samples were removed from the humidified chamber and allowed to equilibrate for 10 min at room temperature while wrapped with moistened paper towels.

Lap shear adhesion tests were performed on a BOSE Electroforce 3200 series III (TA Instruments, New Castle, DE) by pulling the samples at a constant rate of $0.2 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{min}$ until failure. After the test, the actual overlap area was measured using a caliper, and this area was used to determine the adhesion strength. At least 5 samples were tested for each group. For each set of experiments, a group of [CKVYKV-72] cured for 24 h was always included as an internal control to account for batch-to-batch variation in porcine skin substrates.

### 3.3.7 Cytocompatibility Test

The NIH/3T3 fibroblasts were maintained in high-glucose Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) supplemented with $100 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin-streptomycin (Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum (Lonza, Switzerland, catalog \#: 14501 F ) at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Cells were subcultured at $60-80 \%$ confluency.

Hydrogels with the same compositions of the tested adhesive formulations were made in a silicone mold of $4.5-\mathrm{mm}$ diameter and $200-\mu \mathrm{m}$ thickness placed on an acidwashed $12-\mathrm{mm}$ coverslip as the bottom of the mold. Hydrogels were allowed to polymerize for 6 h in a humidified chamber and were then extensively rinsed in PBS. Cells were then seeded on top of the gels at a density of 3000 cells $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. Medium was changed every other day. On day 3 and day 5 after seeding, cell viability was assessed using a LIVE/DEAD ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Viability/Cytotoxicity Kit (Thermo-Fisher Scientific). After rinsing in PBS, cells were incubated with $1.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ethidium homodimer-1 and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ calcein-acetoxymethyl ester in PBS for 30 min at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After incubation, cells were rinsed three times with PBS and imaged with a Nikon Ti-E C-1 Plus microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). The PBS used in these steps contained $0.01 \% \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ to enhance cell attachment.

### 3.3.8 Statistical Analysis

When more than two groups were compared, Levene's test was used to determine whether variances were equal, and two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed. If the interaction between the two tested factors was statistically insignificant, Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) was used. If the interaction was significant, groups of each factor were analyzed separately by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference or Dunnett's test if they had equal variances. Games-Howell post hoc test was used if the groups had unequal variances. The normality of the ANOVA residuals was tested with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. If the residuals were not normal, the data were subjected to a Box-Cox transformation with an optimal $\lambda$, and the transformed data were analyzed again as described above. When only two groups were compared, an unpaired $t$ test was used instead. A $p$-value $\leq 0.05$ was considered significant for all tests. The analyses were performed with Minitab 18 (State College, PA). Experimental groups that had high failure rates and yielded less than three valid data points were not included in the above statistical analyses.

### 3.4 Results and Discussion

### 3.4.1 Protein Design and Production

The goal of this study was to compare the performance of protein-based adhesives that use either a DOPA-based chemistry or a thiol-based chemistry. We utilized the sequence flexibility residing in the guest residue positions of ELP pentapeptide repeats to create two ELPs, [YKV-72] and [CKVYKV-72], with tyrosine or cysteine residues as the guest residues. The complete amino acid sequences of the proteins are shown in Supporting Information Figure 3.8. The expression of the proteins was confirmed by Western blot, and the purity of the proteins was assessed to be $>95 \%$ by densitometry analysis of SDS-PAGE (Supporting Information Figure 3.9). The molecular weight of the proteins was confirmed by ESI-MS, as shown in Supporting Information Figure 3.10. Tyrosine residues in [YKV72] were converted to DOPA using exogenous mushroom tyrosinase. Difference spectrophotometry of the converted [YKV-72], or m[YKV-72], showed a $67 \%$ conversion
efficiency, which was similar to the efficiency reported with a similar but shorter ELP construct. ${ }^{27}$

To retain thiol groups in [CKVYKV-72] in their reduced form, a reducing environment was maintained during the purification process by adding 2-ME and DTT. To avoid high temperatures, which could oxidize thiol groups, during the ITC purification process, 2 M NaCl was used to decrease the lower critical solution temperature of [CKVYKV-72] below room temperature. The purified [CKVYKV-72] had $82 \%$ of the thiol groups in the reduced form as determined by the DTNB assay. This percentage of reduced thiol groups was lower but comparable to other percentages achieved with similar thiol-containing ELPs using tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) as the reducing agent during purification. ${ }^{37}$ However, it has been reported that the negatively-charged TCEP can bind to proteins with positively-charged residues and cannot be effectively removed. ${ }^{38}$ To avoid interference from residual TCEP, non-charged reducing agents were used for the purification of [CKVYKV-72].

### 3.4.2 Lap Shear Adhesion Strength Depends on Time and Chemistry

We first tested the adhesion strength with varying curing times using $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ of $m[Y K V-72]$ or [CKVYKV-72]. The adhesion strength of $m[Y K V-72]$ with a 1 h cure time could not be determined due to a $100 \%$ failure rate of the adhesive bond when the samples were transferred from the humidified chamber to the mechanical testing system. Statistically similar adhesion strengths were obtained with m[YKV-72] at curing times of 6 and 24 h (Figure 3.1). On the other hand, the adhesion strength from [CKVYKV-72] was less dependent on curing time. Statistically similar adhesion strengths were obtained from samples cured for only 1 h compared to those cured for 6 and 24 h . When comparing the adhesion strengths between the two proteins at the same curing time, [CKVYKV-72] showed statistically higher strengths than m[YKV-72] at 6 and 24 h . [CKVYKV-72] also seemed to have lower failure rates than $m[Y K V-72]$, especially at shorter curing times.


| Formula | $\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{YKV}-72]$ |  |  | [CKVYKV-72] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cure time (h) | 1 | 6 | 24 | 1 | 6 | 24 |
| Failure (\%) | 100 | 20 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Replicates | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Base (kPa) | $5.1 \pm 1.0$ |  |  | $5.5 \pm 1.4$ |  |  |

Figure 3.1. Curing kinetics depend on the adhesive chemistry used. The graph shows the adhesion strength of m[YKV-72] and [CKVYKV-72] cured for 1, 6, and 24 h . m[YKV-72] showed an increased strength with longer cure time. All samples of $m[Y K V-72]$ cured for 1 h failed during the test setup. [CKVYKV-72] showed similar adhesion strengths among all three cure times. Within each protein group, identical letters indicate statistically similar data as determined by Tukey's HSD test. * indicates a statistical difference ( $p<0.05$ ) between proteins at the same time point with an unpaired $t$-test. The table shows the failure rate of each group and the absolute adhesion strength of the normalization base group ([CKVYKV-72] cured for 24 h ) for each set of the tests.

DOPA-based adhesion to tissue surfaces involves several mechanisms with different reaction kinetics. ${ }^{39}$ Two main mechanisms are hydrogen bonding between DOPA and hydrogen bond donors in the system and covalent bond formation between dopaquinones (i.e., oxidized DOPA) or between dopaquinones and amine groups. ${ }^{39}$ While adhesion from hydrogen bonding can occur immediately after applying the adhesives to the substrates, adhesion from covalent bond formation requires the oxidation of DOPA to take place first. In the case of thiol-based adhesion, the main mechanism is the oxidation of thiols to form disulfide bonds. Both oxidation reactions have similar redox potentials at pH 7.0 with -0.22 V for DOPA oxidation ${ }^{40}$ and -0.24 V for cysteinyl thiol oxidation ${ }^{41}$ and therefore should be thermodynamically favorable. However, the difference in the oxidation
kinetics between DOPA and cysteinyl thiol oxidations also plays a role in the curing process and can affect the adhesion strength formed with short curing times.

The adhesion strengths of [CKVYKV-72] were higher than those of m[YKV-72] at all curing times tested. Since the mass concentrations used were the same, the performance of [CKVYKV-72] is better than m[YKV-72] on a mass basis. The adhesion strength is expected to be proportional to the number of reactive DOPA or thiol groups present in the adhesives. With a $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ mass concentration, the DOPA molar concentration in $\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{YKV}-72]$ was $\sim 45 \mathrm{mM}$, and the thiol molar concentration in [CKVYKV-72] was $\sim 29 \mathrm{mM}$. Therefore, [CKVYKV-72] still outperformed m[YKV-72] when comparing on a molar basis of the reactive groups. Because DOPA can form not only covalent bonds but also hydrogen bonds, we hypothesize that some of the DOPA groups in $m[Y K V-72]$ formed hydrogen bonds to the porcine skin substrates. Since the bond energy of a hydrogen bond is generally lower than that of a covalent bond, less energy would be required to break the adhesion created from hydrogen bonds than that from covalent bonds. ${ }^{42-43}$ As a result, lower adhesion strengths were obtained from m[YKV-72] even with higher molar concentrations of DOPA compared to the case of [CKVYKV-72].

To the best of our knowledge, our work is the first one to compare the adhesion strength of DOPA- and thiol-containing ELPs with high sequence similarities. Direct comparisons to other systems also utilizing DOPA or thiol chemistries are difficult due to the differences in protein sequences, material properties, formulations, and curing and testing setups. Ninan et al. reported that adhesive protein extracts from mussel feet yielded an adhesion strength of 0.93 MPa on porcine skin substrates when cured for 24 h in humid conditions. ${ }^{44}$ The authors also reported that a significant increase in the adhesion strength from 12 h to 24 h curing time. Longer cure time ( 48 h ) did not result in a significantly higher adhesion strength ( 0.95 MPa ). The DOPA content in the extract was not specified, but 200 mg of the extract was applied on to an area of $\sim 0.35 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{x1} \mathrm{~cm}$. Wang and coworkers synthesized DOPA-containing polyaspartamides with different overall hydrophobicity. ${ }^{45}$ They reported adhesion strengths ranging from 4 to 19 kPa on porcine skins following the trend of increasing hydrophobicity of the polyasparamides. The polyaspartamides had DOPA contents between $47-52 \mathrm{~mol} \% .50 \mathrm{mg}$ of the polyaspartamides was applied to an area of $2.0 \mathrm{~cm} x 1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. The curing conditions were
not specified. The adhesion strength from our m[YKV-72] was on the low end compared to the above to works. The DOPA content in m[YKV-72] was $\sim 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$, and 10 mg m [YKV-72] was applied on a $1.2 \mathrm{~cm} \times 1.2 \mathrm{~cm}$ area. The lower value of adhesive/area could contribute to the lower adhesion strength observed. Granskog et al. developed a PEG-based adhesive utilizing thiol-ene click chemistry and reported adhesion strengths ranging from $3.7-21 \mathrm{kPa}$ with porcine skins. ${ }^{46}$ This adhesive was a two-component system with a alkenefunctionalized dendritic PEG and a thiol-containing dendritic crosslinker. Another twocomponent adhesive based on thiol-ene reaction reported adhesion strength from $\sim 16-48$ kPa on rat skin substrates. ${ }^{47}$ Light-activated curing was used in the above two studies on moistened skin substrates in dry conditions which was different from our curing of [CKVYKV-72] in humidified condition.

### 3.4.3 Iron Ions Enhanced the Performance of m[YKV-72]

Iron ions were utilized as mild oxidants to accelerate the curing process of both $\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{YKV}$ 72] and [CKVYKV-72]. To maintain a minimum of $100 \%$ molar excess of the oxidant compared to the DOPA or thiol groups, 100 mM of iron ions were used in the adhesive formulations. At 1 h of curing time, $\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{YKV}-72]$ with iron ions showed an adhesion strength of $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{kPa}$ (Figure 3.2). The adhesion strength increased at longer cure times and were statistically similar at cure times of 6 and 24 h . On the other hand, there were no statistical differences in obtained adhesion strengths for [CKVYKV-72] among the three curing times tested. This result was similar to the case when no iron ions were present (Figure 3.1). When the two proteins were compared at each curing time, m[YKV-72] with iron ions showed a statistically lower strength only at 1 h when compared to that of [CKVYKV-72]. When compared to groups of m[YKV-72] without iron ions with the same curing time, the adhesion strengths of m[YKV-72] cured for 6 and 24 h with iron ions were statistically higher (Supporting Information Figure 3.11A). Interestingly, [CKVYKV-72] with iron ions showed statistically lower adhesion strengths at 1 and 6 h curing time when compared to those without iron ions; however, statistically similar adhesion strengths were observed at 24 h (Supporting Information Figure 3.11B).


| Formula | m[YKV-72] w/ <br> Fe-EDTA |  |  | [CKVYKV-72] w/ <br> Fe-EDTA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cure time (h) | 1 | 6 | 24 | 1 | 6 | 24 |
| Failure (\%) | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| Replicates | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| Base (kPa) | $5.1 \pm 1.0$ |  |  | $5.5 \pm 1.4$ |  |  |

Figure 3.2. Addition of iron ions accelerates the curing kinetics of $m[Y K V-72]$. The graph shows the adhesion strengths of $\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{YKV}-72]$ and [CKVYKV-72] with iron ions cured for 1,6 , and 24 h . Lower failure rates and measurable adhesion strengths were obtained at 1 h cure time with m[YKV-72] with iron ions compared to that the results without Iron ions. [CKVYKV-72] showed similar adhesion strengths among three cure times. Within each protein group, identical letters indicate statistically similar data as determined by Tukey's HSD test. * indicates a statistical difference with $p<0.05$ as assessed with an unpaired $t$ test. The table shows the failure rate of each group and the absolute adhesion strength of the normalization base group ([CKVYKV-72] cured for 24 h ) for each set of the tests.

Iron ions have been shown to oxidize DOPA to dopaquinones ${ }^{48}$ and to oxidize thiols to disulfides. ${ }^{49}$ The addition of iron ions thus accelerated the curing process of m[YKV-72] by promoting the formation of dopaquinones and enabling the subsequent covalent bond formation between dopaquinones and other molecules. As a result, a non-zero adhesion strength was achieved with m[YKV-72] in the presence of iron ions. Since [CKVYKV-72] had already reached a stable adhesion strength at a 1 h cure time in the absence of iron ions (Figure 3.1), iron ions did not appear to accelerate the curing process. However, iron ions could have an effect at curing times less than one hour. Iron ions also increased the adhesion strengths of $m[Y K V-72]$ at 6 and 24 h . These results suggest that due to more DOPA being oxidized into dopaquinone, more covalent bonds were formed and
contributed to the increased adhesion strengths. Note that the adhesion strengths of $\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{YKV}-72]$ at 6 and 24 h were comparable to those of [CKVYKV-72] at 6 and 24 h respectively in the presence of Iron ions even though more DOPA molecules were present in m[YKV-72] than that the number of thiols in [CKVYKV-27]. It is possible that some of the DOPA in the system still formed hydrogen bonds to the porcine skin substrate and did not participate in covalent bond formation. DOPA-iron ions complexes could also form and contribute to the adhesion. Since both hydrogen bonds and chelation bonds have lower bond energies than covalent bonds, the resulting adhesion strength would be lower than when only covalent bonds are formed.

Iron ions have been used to improve adhesion of adhesives based on mussel adhesive proteins extract or other DOPA-containing materials. Doraiswamy et al. extracted adhesive proteins from mussel feet and showed an increase in adhesion strength on porcine skin from $\sim 0.25$ to $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{MPa}$ when at a $1: 1$ iron ions:DOPA ratio. ${ }^{50}$ The iron ions were from $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$. Increasing the iron ions:DOPA ratio to $10: 1$ did not significantly change the resulted adhesion strength, but a further increase to $100: 1$ yielded a statistically similar strength to that with no iron ions. In our work, the ratio was about $2: 1$ iron ions:DOPA with m [YKV-72], which was within the optimal ratio suggested by Doraiswamy et al. Shi and coworkers developed a DOPA-containing polyester and showed an increase in the adhesion strength on porcine skin substrates from 6.2 to 13 kPa when iron ions were included in the formulation. ${ }^{51}$ The iron ions source was ferric acetylacetonate, but the ratio between iron ions and DOPA was not specified. Meredith et al. compared the efficacy of different iron compounds on increasing the adhesion strength of DOPA-containing polystyrene. ${ }^{52}$ Potassium ferrate showed an improved adhesion strength over that of the polymer alone, but ferric nitrate did not significantly increase the observed strength. The addition of ferric acetylacetonate resulted in a strength drastically lower than that of the polymer alone. Their results suggested that both the oxidation potential of the iron ions and the availability of the iron ions for DOPA-iron complexation can affect the efficacy of iron ions on increasing the adhesion strength. Based on our results with m[YKV-72], ferric EDTA seems to be a potential candidate for increasing DOPA-based adhesion. Thiols can be oxidized by strong oxidants such as hydrogen peroxide; however, the oxidation can go further beyond disulfide into sulfonic acid. ${ }^{53}$ Transition metal ions, including iron ions, can oxidize thiols
to disulfides. ${ }^{54}$ Asai and coworkers reported that potassium ferricyanide can crosslink thiolcontaining ELPs into hydrogels within hours. ${ }^{37}$ Our results with [CKVYKV-72] suggested that ferric EDTA can also be a potential compound for thiol oxidation.

### 3.4.4 Combination of Thiol and DOPA Chemistries Increased the Adhesion Strength.

Marine mussels utilize both DOPA and thiols to achieve their strong adhesion to rock surfaces. ${ }^{55}$ Inspired by mussels, we combined our m[YKV-72] and [CKVYKV-72] proteins to create a protein-based adhesive utilizing both DOPA and thiol groups. Three mass ratios of m[YKV-72]:[CKVYKV-72] were tested: 1:0.75, 1:1.5, and 1:3 (corresponding to molar ratios of DOPA:thiol 1:0.5, 1:1, and 1:2, respectively). All groups were cured for 24 h with iron ions. Negative controls of each ratio were made with [YKV72] replacing either m[YKV-72] or [CKVYKV-72]. The results are shown in Figure 3.3A (with negative controls of [YKV-72] substituting for [CKVYKV-72]) and Figure 3.3B (with negative controls of [YKV-72] replacing m[YKV-72]). The adhesion strength from a ratio $1: 1.5$ was statistically higher than both of its negative controls and was also statistically higher than [CKVYKV-72] cured under the same conditions with Iron ions. The other two ratios showed statistically higher adhesion strengths compared to their respective negative control groups consisting of m[YKV-72]:[YKV-72] but were statistically similar to the negative control groups containing [YKV-72]:[CKVYKV-72]. These results suggest that the thiol groups contributed more to the observed adhesion than the DOPA groups in the combination of m[YKV-72] and [CKVYKV-72].
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Protein mass ratio

| Formula | m[YKV-72]:[YKV-72] <br> w/Fe-EDTA |  |  | m[YKV-72]:[CKVYKV-72] <br> w/ Fe-EDTA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratio | $1: 0.75$ | $1: 1.5$ | $1: 3$ | $1: 0.75$ | $1: 1.5$ | $1: 3$ |
| Failure (\%) | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| Replicates | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Base (kPa) | $6.5 \pm 1.2$ |  |  |  |  |  |

B
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { [YKV-72]:[CKVYKV-72] } \\ \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{Fe}-E D T A & \mathrm{~m}[\mathrm{YKV}-72]:[C K V Y K V-72] \\ w / F e-E D T A\end{array}$


| Formula | YKV-72]:[YKVKC-72] <br> w/ Fe-EDTA |  |  | m[YKV-72]:[CKVYKV-72] <br> w/ Fe-EDTA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratio | $1: 0.75$ | $1: 1.5$ | $1: 3$ | $1: 0.75$ | $1: 1.5$ | $1: 3$ |
| Failure (\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Replicates | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Base (kPa) | $5.7 \pm 1.1$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3.3. Mixing m[YKV-72] and [CKVYKV-72] at a mass ratio of 1-1.5 resulted in a significant increase in adhesion strength. m[YKV-72] and [CKVYKV-72] were mixed at three different mass ratios and were cured for 24 h in the presence of iron ions. The lap shear adhesion test results of each group were shown in (A) using m[YKV-72]-[YKV-72] as the negative control groups (DOPA only) and in (B) using [YKV-72]-[CKVYKV-72] as the negative control groups (thiol only). In both panels, the graphs show the normalized adhesion strengths, and the table shows the failure rate of each group and the absolute adhesion strength of the normalization base group ([CKVYKV-72] cured for 24 h ) for each set of the tests. In panel (A), because two-way ANOVA indicated significant interaction between the two factors (formula and ratio), one-way ANOVA was performed on each formula separately with Dunnett's test. \& indicates a significant difference to the control group of $\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{YKV}-72]$, iron ions, 24 h from Figure. 2. with $p<0.05$. \# indicates a significant difference to the control group of [CKVYKV-72], iron ions, 24 h with $p<0.05$. * indicates a statistical difference with $p<0.05$ with an unpaired $t$-test. In panel (B), two-way ANOVA was performed using formula and ratio as the two factors with Tukey's HSD post hoc test. @ indicates the two group does not share the same Tukey group with a $p<0.05$. \# indicates a significant difference to the control group of [CKVYKV-72], iron ions, 24 h with $p<$ 0.05 with Dunnett's test.

It has been shown that mussels produce adhesion proteins that are rich in free thiols, ${ }^{19}$ and the free thiols have been shown to act as sacrificial reductants to reduce oxidized DOPA. ${ }^{22}$ Furthermore, as free thiols being consumed by the reductions of oxidized DOPA, the remaining ones have been suggested to form thiol-DOPA adducts.

The formation of thiol-DOPA adducts have been explored to enhance the adhesion performance of DOPA-based adhesives, ${ }^{56-59}$ and therefore we hypothesize that the formation of thiol-DOPA adducts is one of the contributor to the synergistic increase in the adhesion strength observed with m[YKV-72]:[CKVYKV-72] at 1:1.5.Ryu and coworkers reported that the storage moduli of their chitosan/pluronic hydrogels increased as the thiol-to-DOPA ratio increased. ${ }^{56}$ On the other hand, Sparks et al. reported a peak Young's modulus with an intermediate DOPA content in their hydrogel system. ${ }^{57}$ Because DOPA can participate in multiple bonding mechanisms including DOPA-Iron ions complexation, hydrogen bonding, and the redox reactions between thiols and dopaquinones, it is difficult to predict the optimal ratio between DOPA and thiols that reaches the optimal balance between all the bonding mechanisms and result in the optimal adhesion strength. Nonetheless, we speculate that, in our systems, at a 1:0.75 ratio of m[YKV-72]:[CKVYKV-72], there were more DOPA residues than thiol groups, and therefore, it was possible that not every DOPA could form a thiol-DOPA adduct. At the ratio of 1:3, there were more thiol groups than DOPA, and excessive thiols could drive the redox of DOPA to the reduced form. As a result, less dopaquinone was formed and fewer covalent bonds were formed, and the major contribution to the adhesion strength from DOPA was shifted to be from the hydrogen bonds and/or complexes with Iron ions. The 1:1 molar ratio between DOPA and thiols achieved at a protein mass ratio of 1:1.5 allowed the majority of DOPA to be in a thiol-DOPA adduct and hence resulted in the most significant increase in adhesion strength observed among the three ratios tested.

### 3.4.5 Similar Curing Process between m[YKV-72]-[CKVYKV-72] Mixture and [CKVYKV-72] Along

Based on the above results, we decided that m[YKV-72]-[CKVYKV-72] at 1-1.5 had the most significant synergistic effect from combining DOPA and thiol chemistries. and were interested in assessing the curing kinetics of this formula. As shown in Figure 3.4, in the absence of iron ions, a statistically higher adhesion strength was observed with a 1 h cure time, and then the adhesion strength stabilized at 6 and 24 h . A similar trend towards a higher adhesion strength at 1 h was also observed with [CKVYKV-72] (Figure 3.1), but the effect was not statistically significant. When the 1-1.5 ratio was cured with iron ions,
statistically similar adhesion strengths were observed among three curing times, and this result was again similar to the curing kinetics of [CKVYKV-72] with iron ions (Figure 3.2).


| Formula | $1: 1.5$ |  |  | $1: 1.5 \mathrm{w} /$ Fe-EDTA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cure time (h) | 1 | 6 | 24 | 1 | 6 | 24 |
| Failure (\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Replicates | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Base (kPa) | $3.5 \pm 0.75$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3.4. m[YKV-72]-[CKVYKV-72] 1-1.5 showed fast curing kinetics that were similar to that of [CKVYKV-72]. The graph shows the adhesion strengths with or without iron ions at three curing times. Within each formula group, identical letters indicate statistically similar data as determined by Tukey's HSD test. The table shows the failure rate of each group and the absolute adhesion strength of the normalization base group ([CKVYKV-72] cured for 24 h ).

The decreasing adhesion strength of m[YKV-72]-[CKVYKV-72] from 1 h to 6 h was not expected from our results in Figure 3.1 and Figure 3.2. It has been suggested that a reversible intermediate forms early in the formation of thiol-DOPA adducts. ${ }^{60}$ Such a reversible intermediate could explain the peak adhesion strength observed at a 1 h cure time with m[YKV-72]-[CKVYKV-72] 1-1.5. We hypothesize that, in the absence of iron ions, some of the thiol and DOPA groups initially participated in the formation of thiolDOPA adduct intermediates could undergo the reverse reaction and participate in other reaction mechanisms contributing to the adhesion. Further studies on how the increased oxidation propensity induced by iron ions and DOPA-metal chelation alter the balance
among all possible reactions would benefit designing adhesives utilizing DOPA and thiol chemistries.

### 3.4.6 Adhesion Recovery after a Disturbance Depends on the Protein and the Curing Conditions.

The ability of our protein-based adhesives to self-heal were tested. Adhesives were applied to the substrates and allowed to cure for the designated time and then were separated with the mechanical tester. For the sample that failed during setting up for the mechanical tester, adherends were separated manually to ensure complete separation between two porcine skin pieces. The substrates were then carefully overlapped again and allowed to cure further until a total cure time of 24 h was achieved (

Figure 3.5A). The adhesion strengths after this second application and additional cure time were then measured on the mechanical tester as before.

In

Figure 3.5B, the adhesion strengths of m[YKV-72] from the first and second applications were compared. When no iron ions were used during the cure, m[YKV-72] showed a statistically similar adhesion strength after the second application at 6 h . Furthermore, both groups reapplied after 1 and 6 h showed statistically similar strengths to $\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{YKV}-72]$ cured for 24 h without a disturbance (Figure 3.1 and

Figure 3.5B). On the other hand, m[YKV-72] with iron ions did not tolerate disturbances well during curing (

Figure 3.5B). Both of the second applications at 1 and 6 h showed statistically lower strengths compared to m[YKV-72] cured for 24 h with Fec ion (Figure 3.2 and

Figure 3.5B). The statistical difference in strengths between the first application and the second application at 6 h also suggests that the adhesion did not recover from the disturbance (

Figure 3.5B).

Figure 3.5. (A) An illustration on the curing and test process. (B) In the absence of iron ions, the adhesion strength of m[YKV-72] recovered from disturbances. The graph shows the adhesion strengths of $\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{YKV}-72]$ from both the first and second applications. When no iron ions were used, the adhesion strengths after the second application were statistically similar to that of m[YKV-72] cured for 24 h undisturbed (Figure 3.1). With iron ions, the adhesion strengths were lower after the second applications compared to that of m[YKV72] with iron ions cured for 24 h undisturbed (Figure 3.2). \& indicates a significant difference to the control group of m[YKV-72], Iron ions, 24 h in Figure 3.2 with $p<0.05$ in Dunnett's test. * indicates a statistical difference with $p<0.05$ as determined by an unpaired $t$-test. The table shows the failure rate of each group and the absolute adhesion strength of the normalization base group ([CKVYKV-72] cured for 24 h ). Data for the first applications are from Figure 3.1 and 2. (C) The adhesion strength of [CKVYKV-72] did not recover from disturbance after long curing times. The graph shows the adhesion strengths of [CKVYKV-72] after both the first and the second applications. Regardless of the presence of Iron ions, the adhesion strengths of the second applications at 1 hr were statistically similar to the strengths of the respective first applications and to their respective undisturbed groups that were cured for 24 h . The second application without Iron ions at 6 h showed statistically lower strength compared to the strengths after the first application and of the undisturbed 24-h group. The second application with Iron ions showed a markedly lower strength compared to the strength after the first application and had a high failure rate; however, statistical analysis was not performed due to insufficient sample size. \# indicates a significant difference as assessed by Dunnett's test to the control group of [CKVYKV-72], 24 h in Figure 3.1 with $p<0.05$. * indicates a statistical difference as determined by an unpaired $t$-test with $p<0.05$. The table shows the failure rate of each group and the absolute adhesion strength of the normalization base group ([CKVYKV-72] cured for 24 h ). Data for the first applications are from Figure 3.1 and Figure 3.2.


m[YKV-72]

| Formula | m[YKV-72] |  |  |  | m[YKV-72] w/ Fe-EDTA |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | 1 h |  | 6 h |  | 1 h |  | 6 h |  |
|  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ |
| Failure (\%) | 100 | 17 | 20 | 0 | 17 | 33 | 0 | 0 |
| Replicates | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Base (kPa) | $5.1 \pm 1.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

C $\quad \square 1^{\text {st }}$ application $\quad \square 2^{\text {nd }}$ application

[CKVYKV-72]

| Formula | [CKVYKV-72] |  |  |  | [CKVYKV-72] w/ Fe-EDTA |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | 1 h |  | 6 h |  | 1 h |  | 6 h |  |
|  | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ |
| Failure (\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 67 |
| Replicates | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & \text { (kPa) } \end{aligned}$ | $5.5 \pm 1.4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The adhesion strengths of [CKVYKV-72] after reapplication are shown in

Figure 3.5C. In the presence or absence of iron ions, the second applications of [CKVYKV72] showed statistically similar adhesion strengths to their corresponding 24 h group when the adherends were separated 1 h after curing (Figure 3.1, 3.2, and 5C). The second applications at 1 h also showed slightly lower yet comparable strength to the corresponding strength after the first application (

Figure 3.5). However, when the second application was performed 6 h after curing without iron ions, the adhesion strength was statistically lower than the strengths of both the 24 h group and the first application that cured for 6 h (Figure 3.1 and 5C). In the presence of iron ions, the adhesion strength of the second application at 6 h showed a higher failure rate than that of the corresponding first application or the 24 h group (Figure 3.2 and 5C). Statistical analysis could not be performed on this group because of the low sample size due to a high failure rate (67\%) upon setting up the samples for the mechanical tester.

Figure 3.6 shows the comparison between the first and the second applications of m[YKV-72]-[CKVYKV-72] 1-1.5. In the absence of iron ions, the adhesion strengths from the second applications were statistically lower than the corresponding strengths after the first applications at 1 and 6 h . Both second applications were also statistically weaker than the group cured for 24 h without disturbance (Figure 3.4 and 3.6). These results suggest that the adhesion from $\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{YKV}-72]-[C K V Y K V-72]$ 1-1.5 did not recover well from separation during the curing. Interestingly, curing with iron ions seemed to improve such recovery for $\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{YKV}-72]-[C K V Y K V-72]$ 1-1.5. Even though there was a statistically significant difference between the strengths after the first and second application at 6 h , the adhesion strengths after the second applications at 1 and 6 h were statistically similar to the group cured undisturbed for 24 h with iron ions (Figure 3.4 and 3.6).


Figure 3.6. In the presence of iron ions, the adhesion strength of m[YKV-72]-[CKVYKV72] 1-1.5 recovered from a disturbance. The graph shows the adhesion strengths of $\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{YKV}-72]-[C K V Y K V-72]$ 1:1.5 after both the first and second applications. Without iron ions, the adhesion strengths were statistically lower than the corresponding strengths after the first application and for the control group of m[YKV-72]-[CKVYKV-72] 1-1.5 cured for 24 h undisturbed. With iron ions, the adhesion strengths after the first and second applications at 1 h were similar. With iron ions, the second application at 6 h showed a statistically lower strength compared to that of the first application but was similar to $\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{YKV}-72]-[C K V Y K V-72] 1-1.5$ cured for 24 h undisturbed (Figure 3.4). \# indicates a significant difference as assessed by Dunnett's test to the control group of m[YKV-72]-[CKVYKV-72], 24 h with $p<0.05$. * indicates a statistical difference as determined by an unpaired $t$-test with $p<0.05$. The table shows the failure rate of each group and the absolute adhesion strength of the normalization base group ([CKVYKV-72] cured for 24 h ). Data for the first applications are from Figure 3.4.

DOPA chemistry, hydrogen bonding and iron complexation in particular, has been explored for self-healing hydrogels. ${ }^{61}$ Iron-crosslinked DOPA-based hydrogels seem to have a near- $100 \%$ recovery in the mechanical properties. For example, Holten-Andersen and coworkers reported a self-healing PEG hydrogel system crosslinked by DOPA-iron
complexation. ${ }^{62}$ The fractured hydrogel recovered within minutes to its original storage modulus. Krogsgaard et al. also reported a similar recovery in the storage modulus of their polyallylamine-based hydrogel with a longer self-healing time at $\sim 45 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{63}$ In our m[YKV-72] adhesive system, DOPA groups on the protein not only can interact with iron ions but also with other reactive groups on the porcine skin substrate. As the result, some of the DOPA groups can participate in reactions other than the DOPA-iron complexation that contributes to the self-healing behavior, which resulted in a lower recovery in adhesion strength with our m[YKV-72]. Thiol-crosslinked hydrogels have been shown to have selfhealing capability as well through disulfide exchange. ${ }^{64} \mathrm{Yu}$ and coworker reported a selfhealing thiol-crosslinked hydrogel using cyclic disulfides. ${ }^{65}$ The tension in the cyclic disulfide promoted disulfide exchanges under mild condition. Full recovery in storage modulus was observed when the gel was disrupted under shear strain. Pepel et al. investigated the self-healing capability of different thiol-crosslinked polymers and proposed that the self-healing process depends on the pKa of the thiol groups and the $\mathrm{pH} .{ }^{66}$ They also reported that the rate of self-healing depended on the time between the material production and the material destruction. To the best of our knowledge, both DOPA or thiol self-healing capabilities have not been fully investigated for the applications of adhesives. Based on the above results, the adhesion of m[YKV-72] appears to have a higher tolerance to disturbances during the curing process, and this phenomenon may be because of the slower curing kinetics. [CKVYKV-72] adhesion, on the other hand, only recovered from disturbances early in the curing process, and this result may be because most of the reactive thiols are quickly consumed. The poor adhesion recovery of m[YKV-72]:[CKVYKV-72] 1-1.5 without iron ions can also be explained by its fast curing process. However, the good recovery of m[YKV-72]-[CKVYKV-72] 1-1.5 with iron ions was unexpected since the addition of iron ions appeared to accelerate the curing process. Because iron ions can participate in multiple reaction mechanisms with DOPA and thiol groups, it is possible that the capability of the adhesion to recover from disturbances is not a simple correlation to the curing kinetics. For example, the reaction extent on formation of thiol-DOPA adducts can be affected due to the complexation between DOPA and iron ions. Further experiments on elucidating the reaction mechanisms involving DOPA, thiols, and iron ions that occur
during the curing process would provide insights into devising adhesion with these molecules.

### 3.4.7 [CKVYKV-72] with Iron Ions is More Cytocompatible than m[YKV-72] with Iron Ions.

To investigate the cytocompatibility of our m[YKV-72] and [CKVYKV-72] adhesives, NIH/3T3 fibroblasts were seeded on top of hydrogels made with the same compositions as the adhesives tested in the lap shear adhesion tests. Three adhesive formulations were tested: [CKVYKV-72], m[YKV-72], and m[YKV-72]:[CKVYKV-72] 1:1.5 all with iron ions. The representative images of the live/dead assays of each group on days 3 and 5 are shown in Figure 3.7. Cells showed good attachment and proliferation with high viability on the [CKVYKV-72] gel. On the other hand, very few cells were observed on the m[YKV72] gel surface on day 3. More cells were on the gel surface on day 5, but the overall density was still lower than that on the [CKVYKV-72] gel. Nonetheless, the cells on the m[YKV72] gel were still viable. The m[YKV-72]:[CKVYKV-72] 1:1.5 hydrogel on day 3 showed cell counts and viability similar to those on the [CKVYKV-72] surface. However, the proliferation appeared to be slower than that on the [CKVYKV-72] surface as can be seen by the slightly lower cell density on the gel.


Figure 3.7. [CKVYKV-72] showed higher cytocompatibility than m[YKV-72]. Live/dead images of NIH/3T3 fibroblasts cultured on hydrogels of [CKVYKV-72], m[YKV-72], and $\mathrm{m}[Y K V-72]:[C K V Y K V-72]$ 1:1.5 all with iron ions show good viability on all three surfaces up to day 5 of culture. Cells stained green are viable, and cells stained red are dead. No cells were observed on $\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{YKV}-72]$ at day 3, and these results suggest that the cell attachments were not strong enough to withstand the washing steps during the medium change and live/dead staining steps. Scale bar represents $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

The poor initial cell attachment on the m[YKV-72] gel at day 3 was consistent with previous results with a shorter version of m[YKV-72]. ${ }^{27}$ In the previous study, normal cell morphology with less spreading of fibroblasts was observed when the protein was coated on a cell culture surface without iron ions, but the cells did not attach to the surface firmly. Together with our live/dead result on m[YKV-72] gel on day 5 that cells were able to proliferate, $\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{YKV}-72]$ with DOPA-iron ion complexes seems to be cytocompatible with suboptimal cell attachment property. The cytocompatibility of the complexes of iron ions with DOPA has not been fully investigated yet, but previous works suggested no negative effect on cell attachment and metabolism. ${ }^{67-68}$ Cell attachment depends on both the chemical and the physical properties of the substrate surface. ${ }^{69}$ New material designs such as the incorporation cell-binding domains or altering the guest residue composition in ELP designs ${ }^{70}$ could also improve the cytocompatibility of these ELP-based adhesive materials. Future studies investigating the other mechanical properties (e.g., porosity, elasticity) of
[CKVYKV-72] and m[YKV-72] would be beneficial in understanding the interactions between these materials and the cells.

### 3.5 Conclusions

We designed two protein adhesives, [CKVYKV-72] and m[YKV-72], based on thiol or DOPA chemistries, respectively. Their performance results were compared based on curing kinetics, adhesion strengths, recovery after disturbance, and cytocompatibility. [CKVYKV-72] exhibited faster curing kinetics and higher adhesion strengths than m [YKV-72] when cured in a humidified environment. On the other hand, m[YKV-72] showed better recovery from disturbances during the curing process than [CKVYKV-72]. In the presence of iron ions, which acts as a mild oxidant to both DOPA and thiol groups and as a chelation center for only DOPA groups, the curing kinetics of m[YKV-72] were significantly accelerated, and the resulting adhesion strengths were comparable to those of [CKVYKV-72] with iron ions. However, the addition of iron ions decreased the ability of $\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{YKV}-72]$ to recover from disturbances. By mixing m[YKV-72] and [CKVYKV-72] at a 1:1.5 protein mass ratio without Iron ions, the achieved adhesion strength with 1 h cure time increased by $\sim 300 \%$ compared to [CKVYKV-72] cured for 24 h . The adhesion strength of this formula decreased with longer cure times but was still about $170 \%$ of the strength of [CKVYKV-72] cured under the same condition. The formula of m[YKV-72] and [CKVYKV-72] at a $1: 1.5$ protein mass ratio with Iron ions also showed an increased adhesion strength regardless of the curing time by on average $\sim 130 \%$ compared to [CKVYKV-72] cured for 24 h under the same conditions. This formula also showed good recovery from disturbances and had a recovered adhesion strength statistically similar to that without any disturbance. Cell culture results showed that m[YKV-72] alone could have suboptimal cell attachment than [CKVYKV-72] or the combination of m[YKV-72] and [CKVYKV-72] in the presence of iron ions. All three formulations showed good cell viability on day 5 . Overall, our results suggest that, in addition to the choice of the base material, the chemistry used to create adhesion should be considered when designing adhesive materials.
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### 3.7 Supporting Information

(A) [YKV-72]

M-MASMTGGQQMG-HHHHHHH-DDDDK-LDGTL-(PGYGVPGKGVPGVGV)24PVADRGMRLE
(B) [CKVYKV-72] PVADRGMRLE-HHHHHH

Figure 3.8. Amino acid sequences of [YKV-72] and [CKVYKV-72]. (A) Each repeat of (PGYGVPGKGVPGVGV) contains three ELP pentapeptide sequences with guest residues being Y, K, and V. There are 24 repeats, and thus there is a total of 72 pentapeptide sequences. (B) Each repeat of (PGYGVPGKGVPGVGVPGCGVPGKGVPGVGV)) contains six ELP pentapeptide sequences with guest residues being Y, K, V, C, K, and V. There are 12 repeats that result in a total of 72 pentapeptide sequences


Figure 3.9. SDS-PAGE and Western blot results of (A) [YKV-72] and (B) [CKVYKV-72]. Densitometry of SDS-PAGE images showed $>95 \%$ purity for both proteins. Western blot confirmed the presence of the T7-tag on purified [YKV-72] and the His-tag on purified [CKVYKV-72]. The expected molecular weights of the proteins are 32 kDa for [YKV-72] and 34 kDa for [CKVYKV-72].


Figure 3.10. Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry of (A) [YKV-72] (expected MW: 32160 Da ) and (B) [CKVYKV-72] (expected MW: 34006 Da ).


Figure 3.11. Adhesion strengths in the presence or absence of ferric ions for (A) m[YKV72] and (B) [CKVYKV -72]. With m[YKV-72], there were statistical difference between groups with and without ferric ions at 6 and 24 h . Statistical analysis was not performed at 1 h due to the $100 \%$ failure rate of the group without ferric ions. In the case of [CKVYKV -72], adhesion strengths without ferric ions were statistically higher than those with ferric ions at 1 and 6 h . However, there was no statistical difference at $24 \mathrm{~h} . *$ indicates statistical difference as determined by a $t$-test with $p<0.05$. Data are replotted from Figure 1 and 2 in the main text.
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## 4. TAILORING THE TEMPERATURE RESPONSIVENESS OF POSITIVELY-CHARGED ELASTIN-LIKE POLYPEPTIDES WITH EXTERNAL SEQUENCES

This chapter consists of a manuscript by Lin C-Y and Liu JC, prepared for submission in 2019.


#### Abstract

4.1 Abstract

Elastin-like polypeptides (ELPs) are recombinant protein domains exhibiting lower critical solution temperature (LCST) behavior. This LCST behavior not only is controlled by intrinsic factors including amino acid composition and polypeptide chain length but also is affected by external fusion domains. Here, we demonstrate that short external sequences can be harnessed as a new variable for designing the LCST behavior of ELP proteins. pH sensitivity near a neutral range is introduced to a positively-charged ELP fusion protein with small external sequences. The expanded pH sensitivity results from both electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions between the external non-ELP sequence and the positivelycharged ELP domain. The hydrophobicity of the external non-ELP sequences also alters the ELP interaction with Hofmeister salts by changing the overall hydrophobicity of the fusion protein.


### 4.2 Introduction

Because of applications in various fields such as tissue engineering, ${ }^{1}$ biosensors, ${ }^{2}$ and drug delivery, ${ }^{3}$ there is great interest in understanding how to modulate the responsiveness of temperature-sensitive polymers. Poly( $N$-isopropylacrylamide), or PNIPAM, is a representative temperature-responsive polymer with a lower critical solution temperature (LCST) of $32{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .4^{4}$ The PNIPAM network is extended and hydrated below its LCST but collapses at temperatures above the LCST due to hydrophobic interactions. Based on this property, PNIPAM-coated surfaces have been used to harvest cultured cell sheets without disturbing the secreted extracellular matrix or the cells themselves. ${ }^{5}$ Variants of synthetic
polymers based on PNIPAM have also been developed with different LCSTs to broaden the possible applications. ${ }^{4}$

Certain natural polymers also display temperature responsiveness. For example, elastin, which is found in mammalian extracellular matrix, shows a similar hydrophobic collapse above $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{6}$ Elastin-like polypeptides (ELPs) are recombinant protein domains derived from the elastin amino acid sequence. ELPs are composed of the pentapeptide repeats Val-Pro-Gly-Xaa-Gly where Xaa is referred to as a guest residue that can be any amino acid except proline. ${ }^{7-8}$ ELPs also exhibit LCST behavior in solution similar to that of PNIPAM. ${ }^{9-11}$ Below the LCST, the ELP chain is unstructured and hydrated. When the solution temperature is above the LCST, the ELP chain collapses and expels its waters of hydration. The collapsed ELP chains further aggregate due to hydrophobic interactions, and there is macroscopic phase separation into ELP-poor and ELP-rich phases. Upon cooling to the LCST, the solution goes back to a single phase, and the collapsed ELP chains become extended and hydrated. The LCST of an ELP has a strong dependence on the guest residue composition and the resulting hydrophobicity. ${ }^{12}$ Hydrophobicity can be tuned by increasing the mole fraction of hydrophobic guest residues or by incorporating amino acids with higher hydrophobicity. Increasing the hydrophobicity lowers the LCST.

Other factors can influence ELP LCST behavior as well, including ELP chain length, protein concentration, salt identity, salt concentration, and pH of the solution. ${ }^{13}$ For a fixed guest residue composition, both longer ELP chains and higher ELP concentrations result in lower LCSTs. ${ }^{14}$ Hofmeister salts also affect the LCST behavior of ELPs, and both the type of salt and its concentration determine the effect on the LCST. ${ }^{15-16}$ The LCST behavior of ELPs is sensitive to pH when ionizable amino acids are incorporated at guest residue positions. For example, MacKay and coworkers constructed two series of ELPs containing either Glu or His as guest residues and showed that the LCST behaviors were pH sensitive near their respective pKa values. ${ }^{17}$ This pH sensitivity is a result of protonation or deprotonation of the ionizable amino acids. When the ionizable amino acids bear charges, the ELPs exhibit higher LCSTs due to a decrease in the overall hydrophobicity. At the pH where the ionizable residues are uncharged, the increased hydrophobicity leads to lower LCSTs.

ELP-based fusion proteins exhibit LCST behavior, which has been utilized for facile purification. ${ }^{18}$ However, the LCST observed with the fusion protein can be different from the LCST of the ELP domain alone. The Chilkoti group compared the LCSTs of different ELP fusion proteins and found that the shift in LCST correlated with the surface hydrophobicity of the fusion partners. ${ }^{19}$ In a follow-up study, the surface-exposed residues on the fusion partners were categorized into nonpolar, polar, and charged groups to compare their effects on the LCST shift. ${ }^{20}$ The results showed that the charged amino acids have the most significant impact on the shift in the fusion protein LCST. In recent studies by Qin et al., the relative position between the ELP domain and the fusion partner was found to have an effect on the LCST behavior and self-assembly of mCherry-ELP fusion proteins. ${ }^{21-22}$

Inspired by the shift in LCST behavior caused by non-ELP domains, we investigated the use of short non-ELP sequences as a new method to tailor the LCST behavior of ELP-based proteins. Previous studies clearly established that non-ELP domains were involved in the LCST behavior of ELP fusion proteins; however, the majority of the non-ELP domains studied were highly structured, and the effect on the LCST shift from non-ELP domains could be a result of both amino acid composition and domain structure. In this study, we extended the utility of using non-ELP sequences to alter LCST by choosing short sequences that are rich in charged amino acids. These external sequences have been shown to have no significant effect on the overall protein structure ${ }^{23}$ or have been frequently incorporated for protein crystallization. ${ }^{24-26}$ Therefore, the effect on the LCST shift from amino acid composition can be decoupled from structural changes. In addition, small non-ELP sequences can be more easily incorporated into modular recombinant protein designs and thus are a more favorable method for controlling the LCST behavior of ELP fusion proteins. In this paper, small non-ELP sequences introduced pH sensitivity into the LCST behavior of a positively charged ELP. We also report for the first time that external non-ELP sequences can alter the ELP interaction with Hofmeister salts. These results have important implications for rational design of pH - and temperatureresponsive ELP-based proteins.

### 4.3 Experimental Methods

### 4.3.1 Materials

All materials and reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise stated. Milli-Q water was used in all experiments except for protein expression and dialysis where reverse-osmosis purified water was used.

### 4.3.2 Protein Design and Cloning

S-tag[YKV-48] was previously described and referred to as ELY ${ }_{16}$ or $\operatorname{ELP}\left[K_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}-48\right] .{ }^{27-}$ ${ }^{28}$ S-tag[YKV-72] and S-tag[YKV-96] were cloned using the encoding fragment of the YKV domain, recursive directional ligation, ${ }^{29}$ and a cloning scheme previously developed by our lab. ${ }^{30}$ To construct I-tag[YKV-48], pET21b plasmid (a gift from Dr. Chongli Yuan, Purdue University) was first modified by removing the T7-tag and His-tag followed by inserting a DNA oligo encoding a leading sequence with the amino acid sequence Ser-Lys-Gly-Pro-Gly. The previously cloned YKV-encoding DNA fragment was then inserted into the modified $\mathrm{pET21b}$ after the leading sequence.

### 4.3.3 Protein Expression and Purification

S-tag[YKV] proteins and I-tag[YKV-48] were produced as described previously. ${ }^{27}$ Briefly, both proteins were transformed into the Rosetta2(DE3)pLysS E. coli expression host (EMD Chemicals, Gibbstown, NJ). 2xYT medium was inoculated with appropriate antibiotics. For the S -tag[YKV] proteins, $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ kanamycin and $35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ chloramphenicol were used, and, for the I-tag[YKV-48] protein, $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ampicillin and $35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ chloramphenicol were used. Cells were grown for $16-18 \mathrm{~h}$ at 275 rpm and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The overnight culture was used to inoculate $0.5-1 \mathrm{~L}$ of 2 xYT medium with appropriate antibiotics in a 4-L flask at 1:100. The culture was grown at 300 rpm and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and induced with 1.25 mM isopropyl $\beta$-d-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG, EMD Chemicals) when the optical density at 600 nm was between 0.6 to 0.8 . The cells were harvested 5 h after induction by centrifugation at 5380 g for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cell pellets were resuspended in Buffer B ( 8 M urea, $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris- $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0$ ) at $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$ wet pellet; the resuspension was stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Cells were lysed by 1-2 freeze-thaw cycles and sonication (Misonix XL-2000, Qsonica, Newtown, CT) at 15 watts with 30 sec on/off cycles on ice. After 30 cycles, the lysate was centrifuged at 10000 g for 25 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to remove insoluble debris. The cleared lysate was collected, $10(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}) \%$ ammonium sulfate was added, and the solution was incubated on ice for 10 min before centrifugation at 10000 g for 25 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Another 10 (w/v)\% ammonium sulfate was added to the cleared supernatant before a second centrifugation. The pellet was collected and resuspended in water at 200 mg wet pellet $/ \mathrm{mL}$. The resuspension was heated to $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min with constant stirring, vortexed, and heated again as before. The heated resuspension was cooled on ice until ice cold and centrifuged at 10000 g for 25 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was diluted by half with ice-cold water before being dialyzed against reverse osmosis water at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The water was changed at least 3 h apart from the previous change until the total reverse osmosis water volume exceeded $10^{6}$ of the supernatant volume. The dialyzed solution was centrifuged at 10000 g for 25 min at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the supernatant was frozen at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for overnight before lyophilization.

Protein expression and purification was confirmed by sodium dodecyl sulfatepolyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and Western blot. SDS-PAGE gels were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250, and purity was quantified by densitometry using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, NIH, Bethesda, MD). The molecular weights of the proteins were confirmed using electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (Research Instrumentation Center, Purdue Univserity) (Supporting Information Figure 4.9). The amino acid compositions of I-tag[YKV-48] was confirmed by amino acid analysis (Supporting Information Figure 4.10) by the Molecular Structure Facility at the University of California, Davis.

### 4.3.4 LCST Measurement

A tri-buffer system (APT buffer) was prepared by combining 10 mM acetate buffer, 10 mM phosphate buffer (at pH 7 ), and 10 mM Tris buffer. Stock APT buffers with different salts were prepared similarly with the addition of $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaI}$, or $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. APT buffers with different salt concentrations were prepared by mixing the stock solutions.

Proteins were dissolved in ice-cold APT buffer, and the solutions were incubated on ice for at least 10 min to ensure complete solubilization. To prepare solutions for LCST measurements at different protein concentrations, a $400 \mu \mathrm{M}$ stock solution of the protein was prepared first. Solutions with lower protein concentrations were then prepared by sequential dilution. The solutions were warmed to room temperature before adjusting to the desired pH with concentrated acids and bases. Acids and bases were chosen based on the identity of the salt in the buffer and were HCl and NaOH for solutions with NaCl , acetic acid and NaOH for solutions with NaI , and sulfuric acid and NaOH for solutions with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.

The LCSTs of the solutions were determined using a Crystal16 (Technobis Group, Alkmaar, the Netherlands) by measuring transmission over a temperature range. Solutions were held at least $15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ lower than estimated LCSTs for 10 min , and the temperature was increased at $1{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ until temperatures at least $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ higher than the estimated LCSTs were reached. For solutions with LCSTs higher than $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the temperature ramp was terminated at $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to avoid the boiling point of water. After the temperature ramp, solutions were cooled back to $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to check the reversibility of the LCST behavior. For solutions that had LCSTs lower than $15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solutions were held at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min to avoid the freezing point of water. The LCST was determined as the temperature at which the minimum of the first derivative of the transmission curve $\Delta$ (transmission)/ $\Delta \mathrm{T}$ occurred. Three samples were prepared independently and measured separately to report an average LCST for each condition. All numerical calculation and regression were performed using Matlab (MathWorks, Natick, MA).

### 4.4 Results and Discussion

### 4.4.1 Design and Production of the S-tag and I-tag[YKV] Proteins

We designed a set of recombinant proteins based on the same ELP domain with a guest residue composition of Tyr, Lys, and Val (YKV domain). A short sequence comprised of Ser-Lys-Gly-Pro-Gly (SKGPG) was inserted to the N-terminal of a YKV domain with 48 pentapeptide repeats (Figure 4.1A). The charge state of this short sequence was expected to be insensitive to changes in pH near neutral and was named I-tag. The protein was
designated as I-tag[YKV-48]. The SKGPG sequence has been reported to improve the expression yield of other ELP-based recombinant proteins ${ }^{31-32}$ and has been incorporated in many ELP-based recombinant proteins used to investigate LCST behavior. ${ }^{17,33}$

Another recombinant protein, S-tag[YKV-48], was created, and its amino acid sequence differed from that of I-tag[YKV-48] at the N-terminus. Specifically, the non-ELP leading sequence of S-tag[YKV-48] was composed of a T7 tag (Met-Ala-Ser-Met-Thr-Gly-Gly-Gln-Gln-Met-Gly), a His tag (7xHis), and an enterokinase cleavage site (Asp-Asp-Asp-Asp-Lys) (Figure 4.1A). This leading sequence was expected to have pH sensitive charge states because of the abundance in ionizable amino acids and was thus named S-tag. We chose these sequences because of their broad application in recombinant protein design. The T7 tag is a short epitope tag that allows identification of recombinant proteins using immunoblotting. In addition, the T 7 tag has the potential to enhance recombinant protein expression in E. coli. ${ }^{24,}{ }^{34}$ The His tag has been widely used as a purification tag for immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC). Although ELPbased recombinant proteins can be purified by an inverse transition cycling (ITC) method utilizing the LCST behavior, ${ }^{35}$ chromatographic purification could be beneficial for applications where removal of endotoxin is necessary. ${ }^{36-37}$ To address this need, removal of endotoxin using His-tag-based IMAC has been developed. ${ }^{38-39}$ The enterokinase site is often harnessed to remove epitope and purification tags. The advantage of the enterokinase site is that the cleavage occurs after the Lys position and leaves no trace of the cleavage site in the protein of interest. ${ }^{40}$ In addition to S-tag[YKV-48], we constructed two more Stag proteins with longer YKV domains (i.e., S-tag[YKV-72] and S-tag[YKV-96] composed of 72 and 96 pentapeptide repeats, respectively).

Both the I-tag and S-tag[YKV] proteins were successfully purified using a previously described salting and heating method (Figure 4.1B). ${ }^{27}$ Based on densitometry analysis of the SDS-PAGE gels, the purity of the lyophilized product was $>95 \%$ for all proteins. Western blot using an anti-T7 tag antibody confirmed the presence of the T7 tag on the purified S-tag[YKV] proteins (Figure 4.1B). Western blot was not applicable for detecting I-tag[YKV-48] because there was no epitope tag for antibody recognition. The typical yield was $100-120 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ culture for the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{tag}[\mathrm{YKV}]$ proteins and $60-80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$
culture for I-tag[YKV-48]. The difference in yields is consistent with previous reports that the T 7 tag can improve recombinant protein expression in E. coli. ${ }^{34,41}$


Figure 4.1. Design and production of the I-tag and S-tag[YKV] proteins. (A) Illustration of the differences between the I-tag and S-tag[YKV] proteins. All proteins shared a common ELP YKV domain. I-tag included the sequence of SKGPG. The S-tag was composed of a T7 tag, a 7x His tag, and an enterokinase cleavage site. (B) SDS-PAGE and Western blot images of purified I-tag and S-tag[YKV] proteins. All proteins on the SDS-PAGE gel were close to their expected molecular weights (I-tag[YKV-48]: $21 \mathrm{kDa}, \mathrm{S}-\operatorname{tag}[\mathrm{YKV}-48]: 25$ $\mathrm{kDa}, \mathrm{S}-\mathrm{tag}[\mathrm{YKV}-72]: 28.5 \mathrm{kDa}$, and S-tag[YKV-96]: 32 kDa ). Western blot confirmed the presence of the T 7 tag on the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{tag}[\mathrm{YKV}]$ proteins. Western blot was not applicable for I-$\operatorname{tag}[\mathrm{YKV}-48]$ due to a lack of the T7 tag epitope used for detection.

### 4.4.2 Short Non-ELP Sequences Introduced pH Sensitivity to the LCST Behavior

To assess the effect of pH on LCST behavior of I-tag[YKV-48] and S-tag[YKV-48], we first determined the LCST by varying the pH from 5.5 to 8.0 in increments of 0.5 pH units
and focused on one protein concentration $(50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ protein solutions in APT buffer with 0.2 M NaCl ). As shown in Figure 4.2A, the LCSTs of I-tag[YKV-48] at a concentration of 50 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ differ only by $2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ across the whole pH range. Because the LCST behavior of ELP occurs over a temperature span of $2-3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{42}$ a difference within $2-3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ between two determined LCSTs is not considered to be significant. Therefore, we consider the LCST behavior of I-tag[YKV-48] to be pH insensitive. On the other hand, the LCSTs of S-$\operatorname{tag}[\mathrm{YKV}-48]$ under the same conditions resulted in a much wider distribution than that of I-tag[YKV-48] (Figure 4.2B). The LCST of S-tag[YKV-48] was $76.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at pH 5.5 and decreased to $48.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at pH 8.0. Between pH 5.5 to 7.5 , there was a $>3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ difference in LCSTs when comparing between two consecutive pH values. The difference in LCST between pH 7.5 and 8.0 was $2.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Altogether, at a $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration, S-tag[YKV-48] showed pH -sensitive LCST behavior, whereas I-tag[YKV-48] did not.


Figure 4.2. The LCSTs of I-tag[YKV-48] are insensitive to pH , whereas the LCSTs of S$\operatorname{tag}[Y K V-48]$ decrease significantly with increasing pH . LCST measurements of (A) I-$\operatorname{tag}[\mathrm{YKV}-48]$ and (B) S-tag[YKV-48] at protein concentrations ranging from 50 to $400 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and pH values ranging from 5.5 to 8.0. Both proteins had lower LCSTs with increasing protein concentration. At a given concentration, the LCSTs of I-tag[YKV-48] were similar at all pH values. On the other hand, S-tag[YKV-48] showed pH -sensitive LCSTs. NaCl was added to the solution for all groups at a final concentration of 0.2 M . The LCST values were presented as the average with standard deviation of three independent samples.

### 4.4.3 Protein Concentration Affected LCST Behavior and pH Sensitivity

To investigate the effect of protein concentration on LCST behavior and pH sensitivity, LCSTs were quantified at concentrations of 100,200 , and $400 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for both I-tag and S-$\operatorname{tag}[\mathrm{YKV}-48]$. Similar to the results for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH}$-insensitive LCST behavior for I$\operatorname{tag}[Y K V-48]$ was observed for all concentrations tested (Figure 4.2A). However, the overall LCST decreased as protein concentration increased. This concentration dependence is characteristic of LCST behavior of ELPs. ${ }^{14}$

For S-tag[YKV-48], the LCST behavior was pH sensitive for all concentrations tested (Figure 4.2B). Furthermore, as observed with I-tag[YKV-48], the LCST of S-tag[YKV-48]at a given pH value decreased as the protein concentration increased. Examining the pH sensitivity of the LCST behavior in more detail revealed that there was a relationship to protein concentration. For example, at the lowest protein concentration of $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ the difference between LCSTs at pH 5.5 and at pH 8.0 was $28.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ whereas at the highest concentration of $400 \mu \mathrm{M}$ the difference was $15.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thus, as the protein concentration increased, the LCST behavior of S-tag[YKV-48] became less sensitive to pH .

The concentration dependence of the LCST behavior of ELPs can be described by an empirical equation ${ }^{14,17}$

$$
L C S T=\left.L C S T\right|_{1 \mu M, p H}-b_{p H} \ln [E L P] \text { (1) }
$$

where $\left.L C S T\right|_{1 \mu M, p H}$ is the LCST at a reference protein concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at a given $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{pH}}$ is the concentration dependence of the LCST behavior at a given pH , and [ELP] is the concentration of ELPs in $\mu \mathrm{M}$. The LCST results at a given pH were fitted to equation 1 using the regstats function in Matlab and were plotted with the regression line generated using the best-fit parameters (Table 1) as shown in Figure 4.3. Both I-tag[YKV-48] and S-$\operatorname{tag}[\mathrm{YKV}-48]$ measurements were described well by equation 1 . The fitted LCSTs at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ suggested that the LCST behavior of S-tag[YKV-48] was pH sensitive and that this sensitivity could be accurately described by equation 1 . It should be noted, however, that some of the fitted LCST values were $\geq 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and would not be observable in aqueous solutions under normal pressure.

Table 4.1. Reference LCSTs at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ protein and concentration dependence $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{pH}}$ of I-tag[YKV48] and S-tag[YKV-48]. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | I-tag[YKV-48] |  | S-tag[YKV-48] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pH | LCST $\left.\right\|_{1 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH}}$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{pH}}$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \ln [\mu \mathrm{M}]\right)$ | $\left.\mathrm{LCST}\right\|_{1 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH}}$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{pH}}$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \ln [\mu \mathrm{M}]\right)$ |
| 5.5 | $82.7 \pm 1.0$ | $6.4 \pm 0.2$ | $130.2 \pm 6.8$ | $14.2 \pm 1.4$ |
| 6.0 | $80.0 \pm 0.6$ | $5.9 \pm 0.1$ | $114.2 \pm 3.4$ | $12.0 \pm 0.7$ |
| 6.5 | $79.1 \pm 1.0$ | $5.7 \pm 0.2$ | $100.9 \pm 4.9$ | $10.5 \pm 1.0$ |
| 7.0 | $80.5 \pm 0.7$ | $5.9 \pm 0.1$ | $88.7 \pm 3.7$ | $9.0 \pm 0.7$ |
| 7.5 | $83.6 \pm 0.6$ | $6.4 \pm 0.1$ | $82.8 \pm 2.5$ | $8.4 \pm 0.5$ |
| 8.0 | $81.8 \pm 0.6$ | $6.1 \pm 0.1$ | $79.7 \pm 2.8$ | $8.2 \pm 0.6$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data on Figure 4.2 were fitted to equation 1. Parameters were reported as the fitted value with standard error.


Figure 4.3. LCSTs of I-tag[YKV-48] and S-tag[YKV-48] were well described by a twoparameter equation. LCST measurements with best-fit lines from equation 1 of (A) I-$\operatorname{tag}[\mathrm{YKV}-48]$ and (B) S-tag[YKV-48]. The LCST values were presented as the average with standard deviation of three independent samples.

Figure 4.4 shows the fitted $b_{p H}$ parameters of S-tag[YKV-48] and I-tag[YKV-48] as a function of pH . I-tag[YKV-48] showed a nearly constant $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{pH}}$ across the pH range with an $R^{2}$ value of 0.0002 when a linear regression was performed. The low $R^{2}$ value indicated that the response variable $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{pH}}\right)$ was not dependent on the predictor variable $(\mathrm{pH})$ and therefore the concentration dependence of the LCST behavior of I-tag[YKV-48] was pH insensitive. On the other hand, S-tag[YKV-48] showed a decreasing trend in $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{pH}}$ as pH increased. This trend indicated that S-tag[YKV-48] exhibited a pH -sensitive concentration dependence in LCST behavior, and the LCST behavior of S-tag[YKV-48] was more sensitive to changes in protein concentration at lower pH values than at higher pH values. As a result, the LCST distribution of S-tag[YKV-48] was narrower at higher protein concentrations (Figure 4.2B). Furthermore, at lower pH values ( 5.5 to 7.0 ), the slope was steeper than at higher pH values ( 7.0 to 8.0 ). This change in the slope indicated that the concentration dependence of S-tag[YKV-48] became less sensitive to pH at high pH values.


Figure 4.4. Different pH sensitivity in concentration dependence ( $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{pH}}$ ) between I-tag[YKV48] and S-tag[YKV-48]. Fitted $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{pH}}$ of I-tag and S-tag[YKV-48] with standard error were plotted as a function of pH . Linear regression lines were included to show the trend in $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{pH}}$. The $b_{p H}$ values for I-tag[YKV-48] yielded a nearly horizontal line with an $R^{2}$ close to zero and indicated that $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{pH}}$ was not sensitive to pH . On the other hand, the $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{pH}}$ values for S -$\operatorname{tag}[\mathrm{YKV}-48]$ were sensitive to pH and exhibited two different trends below and above pH 7.

### 4.4.4 pH Sensitivity Resulted from the Protonation State of Histidine

To explain different trends in $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{pH}}$, we analyzed the protein charge as a function of pH . The charge of the amino acid sequence was calculated using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation by assuming that the pKa value of each residue was the same as the isolated amino acid and was not affected by the protein structure. The calculations were performed separately on the YKV domain and the N-terminal sequences as indicated in Supporting Information Figure 4.11A. The YKV domain had a nearly constant charge from pH 5.5 to pH 8.0 (Supporting Information Figure 4.11B). This constant charge was expected because the most abundant ionizable residues were Lys with a pKa of 10.7 and Tyr with a pKa of 10.1. The charge on the I-tag was also nearly constant over the same pH range, whereas the S-tag showed a pH -dependent charge because of the ionizable His residues in the His tag. Histidine had a pKa of 6.0 and was positively charged below pH 6.0 . On the other hand, Asp residues in the enterokinase cleavage site carried negative charges when the pH was above the Asp pKa of 3.7. As a result, the charge on the S-tag transitioned from positive to negative at approximately pH 6.3 as His residues became deprotonated and uncharged, and this pH value was close to where the $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{pH}}$ trend changed in slope at a pH of approximately 7.0. The deprotonation of histidine also corresponded to the pH -sensitive LCST behavior of S-tag[YKV-48] observed from pH 5.5 to 8.0.

Previous studies have utilized histidine at the guest residue position to construct ELPs with pH -sensitive LCST behavior over the same pH range. ${ }^{17}$ Deprotonation of His resulted in increased hydrophobicity and decreased LCST. Placing histidine adjacent to ELP domains was also reported to lower the LCST of an uncharged ELP at pH 7.4. ${ }^{19}$ However, it has not been shown whether the change in hydrophobicity and charge state of His outside of an ELP domain can affect the pH -sensitive LCST behavior. Our S-tag[YKV48] demonstrated that His, when not incorporated as a guest residue, can still influence the ELP LCST behavior and can be harnessed to introduce pH sensitivity to the LCST behavior.

### 4.4.5 The Extent of $\mathbf{p H}$ sensitivity Depended on ELP Domain Length

We next examined to what extent the pH sensitivity introduced by the S-tag persisted when the ratio of the lengths of the external sequences to the ELP was varied. To do so, the YKV domain was elongated to 72 (S-tag[YKV-72]) and 96 repeats (S-tag[YKV-96]). All
proteins were prepared at $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in APT buffer with 0.2 M NaCl , and the LCSTs are shown in Figure 4.5. As the ELP domain length increased, the LCST became less sensitive to pH (Figure 4.5). Furthermore, at a given pH , LCSTs decreased with longer YKV domains. This trend was consistent with previous work in which longer ELPs with the same guest residue composition exhibited lower LCSTs. ${ }^{14,41}$


Figure 4.5. Longer YKV domain lengths decreased the extent of pH sensitivity derived from the S-tag sequence. At $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ protein and $0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH}$ sensitivity was more prominent at a lower pH region ( pH 5.5 to 6.5). The data set of S-tag[YKV-48] is replotted from Figure 4.2B. LCST values were presented as the average with standard deviation of three independent samples.

### 4.4.6 Increasing Ionic Strength Shielded pH-Sensitive LCST Behavior Introduced by the Tag Sequence

Because both the S-tag and the YKV domain are charged, we hypothesized that interactions between the S-tag and the YKV domain involved electrostatic interactions. Increasing the ionic strength (i.e., salt concentration) was expected to shield the interactions between the S -tag and YKV domain. As a result, the LCST behavior of S-tag[YKV-48] was expected to become less pH sensitive as salt concentration increased.

LCSTs were measured with increasing NaCl concentration from 0.1 to 0.8 M at a fixed protein concentration of $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$. As shown in Figure 4.6A, the LCSTs of I-tag[YKV48] depended on NaCl concentration but remained pH insensitive. This result reflected the
constant charge on both the I-tag and YKV domain over this pH range. On the other hand, the LCST behavior of S-tag[YKV-48] remained pH sensitive over all the NaCl concentrations (Figure 4.6B). It should be noted that as NaCl concentration increased, the LCST became less sensitive to pH but was still pH sensitive even at the highest NaCl concentrations tested. In fact, the differences in LCSTs between two consecutive pH values were $<2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 0.6 and 0.8 M NaCl , but the differences between pH 5.5 and pH 8.0 were significant and still larger than $2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(5.6\right.$ and $4.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively) at 0.6 and 0.8 M NaCl .


Figure 4.6. High ionic strength shielded the electrostatic interactions between S-tag and the YKV-48 domain. LCST measurements of (A) I-tag and (B) S-tag[YKV-48] at different NaCl concentrations with $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ protein. The LCST behavior of I-tag[YKV-48] remained insensitive to pH over all NaCl concentrations. On the other hand, the LCST behavior of S-tag[YKV-48] showed decreasing pH sensitivity with increasing NaCl concentration. In addition, the two proteins responded differently to the increasing NaCl concentration. The LCST of I-tag[YKV-48] initially increased until 0.3 M NaCl then decreased. In contrast, the LCSTs of S-tag[YKV-48] decreased monotonically with increasing NaCl concentration. LCST values were presented as the average with standard deviation of three independent samples.

### 4.4.7 Hydrophobicity of the Tag Affected LCST Behavior

The hydrophobicity of ELP domains and external sequences also determined the LCST behavior of ELP proteins. To examine the hydrophobicity difference between the two tags as a function of pH , we used an estimation method proposed by Trabbic-Carlson et al. ${ }^{19}$ This estimation was based on the hydrophobicity scale established by Urry ${ }^{12}$ that was originally used to predict the LCST of an ELP with a known guest residue composition. It
should be noted that this estimation had no direct physical meaning and was only used to demonstrate the difference in the relative hydrophobicity between the tags in an ELPfusion protein context. Similar to its charge state, the I-tag had a nearly constant relative hydrophobicity, and the S -tag had a pH -dependent relative hydrophobicity (Supporting Information Figure 4.12). The pH dependence of the S -tag also resulted from the deprotonation of His and subsequent increase in hydrophobicity at pH values above its pKa . In addition, the S-tag was relatively more hydrophobic than the I-tag from pH 5.5 to 8.0, and thus S-tag[YKV-48] should have lower LCST values than I-tag[YKV-48] under the same conditions. However, this finding based on the hydrophobicity analysis was in conflict with the results shown in Figure 4.2 and 6. By plotting the difference in LCSTs between the S-tag[YKV-48] and I-tag[YKV-48] proteins, it was clearly shown that S-$\operatorname{tag}[\mathrm{YKV}-48]$ had higher LCSTs than I-tag[YKV-48] from pH 5.5 to 6.5 at a protein concentration of $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$, from 5.5 to 6.0 at protein concentrations of 100 and $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and only at pH 5.5 at a protein concentration of $400 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Figure 4.7A). With increasing ionic strength $(\geq 0.3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl})$, S-tag[YKV-48] had lower LCSTs than I-tag[YKV-48] at all pH values (Figure 4.7B).


Figure 4.7. High protein concentration and high ionic strength decreased the LCSTs of S$\operatorname{tag}[Y K V-48]$ more significantly then those of I-tag[YKV-48]. LCST differences between S-tag and I-tag[YKV-48] with different (A) protein concentrations and (B) NaCl concentrations. S-tag[YKV-48] had higher LCSTs than I-tag[YKV-48] at low pH values and low protein concentrations. As the protein concentration increased, S-tag[YKV-48] only had a higher LCST at pH 5.5 . With varying NaCl concentration, S-tag[YKV-48] only had higher LCSTs at NaCl concentrations $\leq 0.2 \mathrm{M}$. The plotted differences were based on the averaged LCSTs reported in Figure 4.2 and 6.

Based on the charge estimation (Supporting Information Figure 4.11B), both the Stag and the YKV domain were positively charged from pH 5.5-6.5 and oppositely charged above pH 6.5 where the S -tag became negatively charged. We therefore hypothesized that the interaction between the S-tag and the YKV domain is a combination of electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions, and the dominant type of interaction depended on pH . At low ionic strengths and low pH values, the repulsive charge interactions between the S -tag and the YKV domain hindered the collapse of the protein chain and thus led to higher LCSTs. At low ionic strengths and high pH values, the attractive charge interactions between the S-tag and the YKV domain worked synergistically with the increasing hydrophobicity of the S-tag and resulted in lower LCSTs. The electrostatic interactions were shielded by increasing ionic strength, and the hydrophobic interactions dominated the LCST behavior. As a result, S-tag[YKV-48] exhibited lower LCSTs than I-tag[YKV-48] at higher pH values and $\geq 0.3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}$, and the LCST differences between the two proteins became smaller as the NaCl concentration increased above 0.3 M (Figure 4.7B). This trend also supported the hypothesis that the interaction between the S-tag and the YKV domain involved electrostatic interactions. The attractive electrostatic interactions between the Stag and the YKV domain at pH values $>6.5$ facilitated the collapse of the protein chain, and therefore contributed to much lower LCSTs than those of I-tag[YKV-48] at 0.3 M NaCl . At higher ionic strengths, the attractive electrostatic interactions were shielded, so the LCST behavior was mainly driven by hydrophobic interactions, and the difference in LCSTs between S-tag[YKV-48] and I-tag[YKV-48] became smaller.

It should be noted that the LCST behavior observed at 0.1 M NaCl was not fully explained by our hypothesis. Specifically, S-tag[YKV-48] had higher LCSTs than I-$\operatorname{tag}[\mathrm{YKV}-48]$ from pH 7.0 to 8.0. Based on our hypothesis, under this low ionic strength, both attractive electrostatic interactions between the S-tag and the YKV domain and the increased hydrophobicity on the S-tag should lead to LCSTs that are lower than those of I-$\operatorname{tag}[\mathrm{YKV}-48]$. This inconsistency suggested that there were more factors that needed to be considered when explaining the difference in the LCST behaviors reported here. It has been proposed that the effect of the interfacial tension caused by ions at the water-protein interface can be critical when describing LCST behavior of charged systems, and both cations and anions contributed to the effect. ${ }^{43}$ Therefore, we further explored the effects
from the tags on changing the water-protein interfacial tension by determining LCSTs of I-tag[YKV-48] and S-tag[YKV-48] with different cosolutes.

### 4.4.8 Tag Identity Affected the Interaction between ELP Proteins and Hofmeister Ions

In Figure 4.6, it was clear that the LCST behavior of I-tag[YKV-48] and S-tag[YKV-48] responded differently to an increased NaCl concentration. While S-tag[YKV-48] showed a monotonic decreasing trend, $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{tag}[\mathrm{YKV}-48]$ exhibited an increasing LCST below 0.3 M NaCl and a decreasing trend above 0.3 M NaCl . The initial increasing LCST trend has been reported as a salting-in effect on the ELP LCST behavior with chaotropic Hofmeister anions. ${ }^{15}$ ELP LCST behavior was influenced by the interaction among Hofmeister ions, water molecules, and ELP protein chains. ${ }^{44}$ Because both I-tag[YKV-48] and S-tag[YKV48] were positively charged over the pH range investigated, we expected interactions with anions to dominate. $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is generally considered to be in the middle of Hofmeister series. ${ }^{15}$, ${ }^{45}$ Therefore, it was surprising to observe a strong salting-in effect with I-tag[YKV-48]. Since $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$exerted a typical salting-out effect on S-tag[YKV-48], we hypothesized that the salting-in effect from $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$arose from the distinct tag sequences and not from the YKV domain.

To assess the effect of the tags on interactions with Hofmeister anions, LCST measurements were taken in the presence of $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$, a kosmotropic anion, or $\mathrm{I}^{-}$, which is a chaotrope. Figure 4.8A shows that $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ had a strong salting-out effect on S-tag[YKV-48]. The LCST decreased by $62.9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from 0.1 to $0.6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$. In fact, $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ is generally considered to be one of the strongest kosmotropes in the Hofmeister series. Remarkably, as shown in Figure 4.8A, $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ showed a slight salting-in effect on I-tag[YKV-48]. The LCST increased by only $3.4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from 0.1 to $0.15 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ and by merely $0.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from 0.15 to $0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$. Note that, unlike the data with NaCl , the measurements were taken at a protein concentration of $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ instead of $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and at a pH of 5.5 because the LCST was $<4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or the protein was insoluble at $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at higher $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ concentrations.

Figure 4.8B shows the LCST of $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ S-tag and I-tag[YKV-48] with 0.1 to 1.2 $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{I}^{-}$at pH 7.5 . Both proteins had elevated LCSTs at higher $\mathrm{I}^{-}$concentrations ( $>0.2 \mathrm{M}$ with S-tag[YKV-48] and >0.4 M with I-tag[YKV-48]). This salting-in effect was expected because $\mathrm{I}^{-}$is on the chaotropic end of the Hofmeister series. However, the unusual
decreasing trend in LCST before the salting-in concentration was surprising. To the best of our knowledge, only one similar trend has been reported with another positively-charged ELP with a guest residue composition of one Lys and six Val. ${ }^{46}$


Figure 4.8. External tag identity affected the interactions between ELPs and Hofmeister ions. LCST measurements of I-tag[YKV-48] and S-tag[YKV-48] with (A) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and (B) NaI. Both I-tag[YKV-48] and S-tag[YKV-48] had decreasing LCSTs at >0.2 M Na2SO4. I-tag[YKV-48] showed an increasing trend in LCST from 0.1 to $0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ even with a strong kosmotropic ion such as $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2--}$ With NaI , both proteins exhibited a similar trend that LCSTs decreased before 0.4 M NaI and increased after that. LCSTs with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ were determined at a protein concentration of $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at pH 5.5 . LCSTs with NaI were determined at a protein concentration of $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at pH 7.5 . The presented LCST values were averages of three independent samples with standard deviation.

The observed responses from the LCST behavior of I-tag[YKV-48] to three Hofmeister anions suggested that a broader range of anions can exert a salting-in effect when compared to S-tag[YKV-48]. Different mechanisms have been proposed to explain the salting-in effect induced by chaotropic anions. Examples include electrostatic interactions between anions and backbone amides ${ }^{15}$ and hydrophobic interactions between chaotropic anions and hydrophobic pockets in the protein. ${ }^{47}$ In the electrostatic interaction hypothesis, direct binding of anions to backbone amides is enthalpically favorable toward weakly hydrated anions (i.e., chaotropic anions), and the binding increases the net charge on the protein and thus prevents hydrophobic collapse. Because of the sequence differences between I-tag and S-tag, the enthalpy gains from binding of anions to backbone amides could be different and result in the shift in the Hofmeister series observed between I-
$\operatorname{tag}[\mathrm{YKV}-48]$ and S-tag[YKV-48]. In the hydrophobic interaction hypothesis, binding of weakly hydrated anions is entropically favorable. This binding competes with the interaction between two hydrophobic surfaces and therefore destabilizes the protein by increasing solubility of hydrophobic pockets. As shown in Supporting Information Figure 4.12, the I-tag was more hydrophilic than the S-tag based on the relative hydrophobicities. Therefore, I-tag[YKV-48] should have had weaker hydrophobic interactions, which resulted in a broader range of hydrated anions in the Hoffmeister series that exerted a salting-in effect on the LCST behavior of I-tag[YKV-48].

### 4.5 Conclusions

The LCST behavior of ELPs is largely determined by the guest residue composition of the ELP domain, but few studies have explored the interaction between short external nonELP sequences and ELP domains. In this work, we systematically studied the interactions between non-ELP sequences and ELP domains by constructing a series of ELP proteins bearing the same positively-charged ELP domain. S-tag was designed to have pH dependent charge and hydrophobicity whereas I-tag had constant charge and hydrophobicity over the tested pH range. Our results showed that pH sensitivity was introduced to the LCST behavior by interactions between the pH -dependent S-tag and the positively-charged YKV domain. We hypothesized that the interaction involved both electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions, and the two interaction types affected the LCST behavior differently. Increasing the ionic strength shielded the electrostatic interactions and resulted in hydrophobic interactions being the main determinant of LCST behavior. The identity of non-ELP sequences also changed the response to different Hofmeister anions. The more hydrophilic non-ELP sequence, I-tag, resulted in a broader range of anions capable of causing a salting-in effect on the LCST behavior. Our results suggested that both electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions between non-ELP and ELP sequences participated in LCST behavior, and rational design of non-ELP external sequences can be harnessed to construct ELP proteins with LCST behavior that can respond more precisely to environmental changes.
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### 4.7 Supporting Information



Figure 4.9. Electrospray ionization mass spectroscopy spectra of (A) S-tag[YKV-72] (expected MW: 32160 Da ), (B) S-tag[YKV-96] (expected MW: 46692 Da ), and (C) I-tag[YKV-48] (expected MW: 23964 Da ). Peaks were labeled with the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ratios and the corresponding number of charges on the polymer chains.

|  | l-tag[YKV-48] |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | expected <br> mol\% | measured <br> mol\% | error |
| Asp+Asn | 1.15 | 1.58 | 0.43 |
| Thr | 0.38 | 0.65 | 0.27 |
| Ser | 0.38 | 0.50 | 0.12 |
| Glu+Gln | 0.38 | 1.36 | 0.98 |
| Pro | 19.23 | 18.23 | 1.00 |
| Gly | 38.46 | 36.99 | 1.47 |
| Ala | 0.38 | 1.07 | 0.69 |
| Val | 25.38 | 23.73 | 1.65 |
| Ile | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.26 |
| Leu | 0.77 | 1.37 | 0.60 |
| Tyr | 6.15 | 5.83 | 0.32 |
| Phe | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| His | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Lys | 6.54 | 6.96 | 0.42 |
| Arg | 0.77 | 0.86 | 0.09 |

Figure 4.10. Amino acid analysis results of I-tag[YKV-48]. The result showed a good match between the measured molar fraction and the expected molar fraction of each amino acid.

A
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M-MASMTGGQQMG-HHHHHHH-DDDDK-LDGTL-(PGYGVPGKGVPGVGV) 16,24, or 32 -PVADRGMRLE
S-tag charge estimation
YKV charge estimation
S-tag charge estimation
B


Figure 4.11. (A) Amino acid sequences of I-tag[YKV-48] and S-tag[YKV-48]. Each repeat of (PGYGVPGKGVPGVGV) contains three ELP pentapeptide sequences with guest residues being Y, K, and V. The 16, 24, or 32 repeats contain a total of 48,72 , or 96 pentapeptide sequences, respectively. (B) Charge estimation of I-tag, S-tag, and YKV sequences as indicated in (A). The charge estimation of the amino acid sequence was calculated using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation by assuming that the pKa value of each residue was the same as the isolated amino acid and was not affected by the protein structure.


Figure 4.12. Relative hydrophobicity of the I-tag and the S-tag sequences. The sequences of each domain used for the estimation were indicated in Supporting Information Figure 4.11A. The relative hydrophobicity was calculated using a method proposed by Trabbic-Carlson et al. ${ }^{19}$ This estimation was based on the hydrophobicity scale established by Urry et al. ${ }^{12}$ that was originally used to predict the LCST of an ELP with a known guest residue composition. More hydrophobic guest residue compositions resulted in lower LCST values. It should be noted that this estimation had no direct physical meaning and was only used to demonstrate the difference in the relative hydrophobicity between the tags in an ELP-fusion protein context.
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## 5. CONCLUSIONS

### 5.1 Summary

This work showcased developments of process approaches and materials toward functional biomaterials. First, a new thiol-crosslinking approach was developed and was demonstrated for its potential in biological applications. The thiol basis was chosen for its easy incorporation into materials designs of both synthetic materials and recombinant protein-based materials, relatively biorthogonal reactivity, and its dual function as a crossing group and redox-responsive junction. Hydrogels crosslinked with one-pot thiolene addition and thiol oxidation at different ratios showed tunable degradation in response to the presence of reducing agents. In addition, changing the ratio between the two reactions did not alter the mechanical properties of the hydrogels. Release of the encapsulated dextran demonstrate the potential of our crosslinking approach for making redox-responsive drug delivery vehicles. Good cytocompatibility of the crosslinking approach was shown with encapsulated mouse fibroblasts. This result suggests the potential in redox-degradable hydrogels for tissue engineering scaffolds.

Next, we developed two ELP-based adhesives using thiol or DOPA chemistries and compared their adhesion performances in a wet setting. The adhesion strength of the two ELP-base adhesives were compared at different curing times with or without the presence of ferric EDTA which functions as a mild oxidant for both adhesives. In general, the thiolbased ELP adhesive had higher adhesion strength with shorter curing times than the DOPA-based one. Higher adhesion strength was achieved when the two adhesives were mixed at a 1-1.5 mass ratio with a constant total mass concentration. This synergistic increase is hypothesized to be a result of the formation of thiol-DOPA adducts. The DOPAbased ELP adhesive had higher tolerance to disturbances during the cure process than the thiol-based one. The cytocompatibility of these ELP-based adhesives was evaluated by direct culture of mouse fibroblasts on the adhesive hydrogels. Both thiol- and DOPA-based adhesives showed high cell viability with the DOPA-based one had lower initial cell attachment. This low initial cell attachment was mitigated when the thiol-based adhesive was blended with the DOPA-based one.

In the last part, we investigated the effect of electrostatic interaction on the LCST behavior of ELPs. Taking the advantage of modular design of recombinant proteins, two charged ELPs were constructed with higher sequence similarities but with different charge states in response to change in pH . Both ELPs had the same positively charged ELP domain but with different external sequences. We showed that additional pH sensitivity in the LCST behavior can be introduced via the external sequence with a pH -dependent charge state. When both the ELP domain and the external sequence were positively charged, higher LCSTs of the fusion ELP protein were observed. On the other hand, when the ELP domain and the external sequence were oppositely charged, lower LCSTs were measured. Such effect was not observed when the external sequence had a pH -independent charge state. We also showed that this effect from the external sequence could be reduced by increasing the ionic strength in the solution or by increasing the length of the ELP domain. We also showed that the identity of the external sequence affects the interaction between the ELP fusion proteins and Hoffmeister ions and therefore change the LCST behavior of the proteins in response to the presence of different Hoffmeister ions. The results provided insights into designing ELPs with pH -sensitive LCST behaviors for pH -triggered drug delivery vehicles.

### 5.2 Future Directions

This dissertation presented the developments of new approaches to design and process functional biomaterials. The cytocompatibility of our one-pot thiol-ene addition and thiol oxidation crosslinking was demonstrated using thiol-functionalized PEG. This crosslinking method can also be used with other thiol-containing materials, including recombinant proteins with cysteine residues. The modular design of recombinant proteins also allows incorporation of bioactive peptide sequences such as the BMP-2 peptide or the QK peptide. Our lab had previously shown that both peptides can promote osteogenesis and angiogenesis of MSCs in 2D culture systems, respectively. ${ }^{1-2}$ These two bioactive peptides do not have cysteine residues in the sequences and will not interfere the thiol-based crosslinking. Therefore, the new thiol-crosslinking can be used to make hydrogels presenting these bioactive peptides to investigate their efficacies in 3D. Because cysteine is the least abundant amino acids in human proteins, ${ }^{3}$ there are potentials in incorporation
of other growth factors or bioactive peptides derived from growth factors into thiolcrosslinked hydrogels.

Next, we developed two ELP-based adhesives using thiol or DOPA chemistries. We focused on the effects on adhesion strength from extrinsic factor such as cure time and the presence of oxidants, but there are other extrinsic factors that have been shown to also play important roles in the adhesion performance of ELP-based adhesives. ${ }^{4-5}$ Future studies on protein concentration, pH , cure temperature, and other oxidants and crosslinkers are expected to be insightful for understanding the differences in the adhesion mechanism and performance between thiol-based and DOPA-based adhesion. Optimizing the synergistic effect from combining thiol and DOPA chemistries to achieve better adhesion performance is another potential direction. In the present work, such combination was achieved by simply mixing the two proteins. With the aid of modular design, it is also possible to design the protein such that both thiol and DOPA groups are presented on the same protein chain. The modular design also allows the incorporation of bioactive peptides such as the RGD peptide or the BMP-2 peptide, which could provide addition functionalities to these protein-based materials other than tissue adhesives. In vivo evaluation of these proteinbased adhesives on the adhesion performance and biocompatibility could also be the next step of the current work.

Finally, we studied the role of electrostatic interaction in the LCST behavior of positively charged ELPs. We demonstrated that increasing repulsive charge interaction within the protein chain resulted in elevated LCST and vice versa. Future studies with negatively charged ELPs, non-charged ELPs, and zwitterionically charged ELPs can prove the versatility and robustness of using the external sequences to tune the ELP LCST behavior. Previous studies have shown that the LCST behavior of an ELP can be changed when being fused to other proteins. ${ }^{6-9}$ The extent of a such change depends on the hydrophobicity and surfaces charges of the fusion protein. However, the exact interactions between the ELP and the fusion protein were not clear due to the complexity from the fusion protein structures. The studies of using charged short external sequences with different ELPs will also help with better understanding the importance of electrostatic interaction in the LCST behavior of ELPs.
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## APPENDIX A. TUNABLE LIGAND PRESENTATION TO GUIDE STEM CELL DIFFERENTIATION

## PROJECT BACKGROUND

The goals of this project were to: 1) Develop recombinant protein-based materials with tunable ligand presentations, 2) Study the effect of ligand avidity on stem cell differentiation, 3) Investigate the effect of ligand affinity to the backbone materials on stem cell differentiation, and 4) Explore the synergistic effect of ligand presentations and scaffold mechanical properties on guiding stem cell differentiation.

Specifically, we utilized leucine zipper recombinant protein domains to achieve tunable presentations on ligand avidity and affinity. Leucine zippers are coiled coil domains where two or more helical peptides wrap together in a superhelical fashion. The association between leucine zipper peptides can be modulated by changing the length of the peptides, the hydrophobicity of the peptide sequences, and the electrostatic interaction between the peptides. ${ }^{1}$ Different oligomerization states of leucine zippers, including dimers, trimers and pentamers, have been reported. In this project, we used one high affinity heterodimeric leucine zipper (HZE/HZR), one low affinity heterodimeric leucine zipper (LZE/LZR), and one high affinity homopentameric leucine zipper (COMPm) to achieve tunable ligand presentation.

We chose the BMP-2 peptide as the model ligand to investigate the effect of ligand presentation on stem cell differentiation. The BMP-2 peptide is derived from the knuckle epitope of human BMP-2. ${ }^{2}$ It has been shown that BMP-2 functions mostly as a homodimer. ${ }^{3}$ The signaling pathway of the dimeric BMP-2 involves endocytosis of the ligand-receptor complexes. Since the BMP-2 peptide did not have the dimerization domain found in the whole BMP-2 protein, it is expected that dimerization of the peptide will not occur spontaneously and thus decrease its efficacy in guiding stem cell differentiation. We hypothesized that by combining the BMP-2 peptide to oligomeric leucine zippers, we could achieve oligomeric presentation of the BMP-2 peptides and thus mimic the dimerization of native BMP-2. The pentameric COMPm was chosen to explore the potential of higher avidity in increasing the peptide efficacy. The two different dimeric leucine zippers (HZE/HZR and LZE/LZR) were designed to mimic different extents of endocytosis of the
ligand-receptor complexes. The low affinity pair LZE/LZR would allow dissociation of the BMP-2 peptide from the material and allow endocytosis of the BMP-2 peptide. On other hand, the high affinity pair HZE/HZR would hinder the endocytosis process.

To create a hydrogel system that harbors the aforementioned design of leucine zippers with the BMP-2 peptide and allows tunable mechanical properties, an elastomeric recombinant domain, resilin-like polypeptide (RLP), was incorporated into the material design. Our group previously developed a Lys-containing variant (RZY10) from the RLP derived from Anopheles gambiae and demonstrated that the Lys-crosslinked RLP hydrogels showed tunable mechanical properties by changing both protein concentration and stoichiometry in the crosslinking chemistry. ${ }^{4}$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Recombinant proteins with all three different leucine zippers were designed and cloned, and seven proteins were expressed and purified using Ni-NTA columns. The proteins were named: RZY10-LZR, RZY10-HZR, RZY10-COMPm, HZE-RGDGC, COMPm-RGDGC, LZE-BMP2GC, and HZE-QEQV-RGDGC. Here the cell binding sequence, Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD), was used instead of the BMP-2 peptide sequence for the pilot cell studies. QEQV was a short sequence of an ELP domain with the guest residues of Gln, Glu, and Val to improve the solubility of leucine zipper-containing proteins. We found that most of our zipper proteins suffered from very low solubility in PBS $(\sim 5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ for RZY10-LZR and RZY10-HZR and <2 mg/mL for HZE-RGDGC, COMPm-RGDGC, and LZE-BMP2GC). Similar issues of poor solubility have been reported with other leucine zipper-containing proteins. ${ }^{5-6}$ The construction of HZE-QEQV-RGDGC was an attempt to rescue the low solubility by incorporating a negatively charged hydrophilic ELP domain into the protein. When dissolved in PBS, HZE-QEQV-RGDGC was soluble at $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Error! Reference source not found.). On the other hand, HZE-RGDGC remained completely insoluble at $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. However, both proteins were insoluble at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in PBS at $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$.


Figure A. 1. Solubility of HZE-QEQV-RGDGC (top) and HZE-RGDGC (bottom) changes with temperature. Proteins were dissolved in PBS at $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ and heated to temperature indicated in the column headings.

Despite the low solubility issue, we next verified if our leucine zipper proteins could form desired oligomers in PBS. RZY10-HZR and HZE-RGDGC were prepared at 1 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in PBS and mixed at a $1: 1$ volumetric ratio. The mixture was incubated at room temperature overnight to allow association between the two proteins to occur. After the incubation, the protein complexes were crosslinked via a carboxylic-hydrazide reaction (ADH) and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. As shown in Figure A. 2, RZY10-HZR alone without crosslinking appeared as a single band around its expected MW ( 20.2 kDa ); however, when crosslinked, there was a faint high band around 46 kDa . This high band was around the expected MW of a homodimer of RZY10-HZR ( 40.4 kDa ). We also noticed that the band corresponding to monomer of RZY10-HZR ran higher than that in the non-crosslinked sample. It was possible that the ADH crosslinker reacted with the monomer RZY10-HZR without crosslinking to another protein chain and thus changed the electrophoretic mobility of the monomeric protein. Similarly, HZE-RGDGC without crosslinking appeared as a single band around its MW ( 10.2 kDa ). However, in the crosslinked samples, there was a significant decrease in intensity of the HZE-RGDGC monomer band. Furthermore, a smear around 22 kDa was observed. We hypothesized that the smear could be the homodimer of HZE-RGDGC and that the higher $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right.$ as reported previously ${ }^{7}$ ) resulted in a less stable homodimer, which appeared as a smear. Interestingly, homodimer formation of RZY10-HZR seemed to be less than that of HZE-RGDGC as judged by SDS-PAGE even though the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ of the RZY10-HZR homodimer is expected to be around $10^{-9} \mathrm{M}$. It is speculated that the long RZY10 chain hindered HZR homodimer formation. Finally, when
a sample of RZY10-HZR/HZE-RGDGC was analyzed without crosslinking, both proteins appeared as two single bands. However, when crosslinked, a distinct band around 32 kDa was observed, and this band was close to the expected MW of an RZY10-HZR/HZERGDGC heterodimer ( 30.4 kDa ). Moreover, the smear around 22 kDa was not observed. This observation suggested that the majority of HZE-RGDGC formed heterodimers instead of HZE homodimers. Altogether, we concluded the dimerization of high affinity leucine zipper HZE/HZR was successful. However, both proteins, especially HZE-RGDGC, had low solubility at physiological conditions, and the dimerization efficiency was limited by low solubility.


Figure A. 2. Oligomerization of RZY10-HZR and HZE-RGDGC. (-) and (+) indicate sample with and without crosslinking, respectively. MW of proteins: RZY10-HZR: 20.2 kDa, HZE_RGDGC: 10.2 kDa , homodimer of RZY10-HZR: 40.4 kDa , homodimer of HZE-RGDGC: 20.4 kDa , heterodimer of RZY10-HZR and HZE-RGDGC: 30.4 kDa .

The second leucine zipper system tested was the pentameric COMPm system. Two proteins, RZY10-COMPm ( 20.2 kDa ) and COMPm-RGDGC ( 10.2 kDa ) were expressed to test if RZY10-COMPm and COMPm-RGDGC formed heteropentamers. Both proteins were dissolved in 8 M urea to destroy any preformed homopentamers. The urea solutions were then mixed and incubated at room temperature overnight before being subjected to a step-down in urea concentration. Briefly, urea concentration in the dialysis buffer was
lowered gradually toward zero. It was observed that precipitation occurred for both COMPm-RGDGC alone and RZY10-COMPm/COMPm-RGDGC when they were dialyzed against dialysis buffer (phosphate buffer) without urea. In contrast, RZY10COMPm remained clear throughout the dialysis. This observation was consistent with the solubility of these proteins in PBS since RZY10-COMPm had higher solubility than COMPm-RGDGC. The precipitates from the mixture were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and were found to be composed of primarily COMPm-RGDGC.

Supernatants of each group after dialysis were crosslinked by ADH and were analyzed by SDS-PAGE, anti-T7 tag and anti-His tag Western blots. In the protein design, RZY10-COMPm has N-terminal T7 and 6xHis tags, whereas COMPm-RGDGC only has an N -terminal $6 x H i s$ tag. Therefore, we used anti-T7 tag antibodies in a Western blot to identify proteins bands having RZY10-COMPm. As shown in Figure A. 3, non-crosslinked RZY10-COMPm and RZY10-COMPm/COMPm-RGD samples had a 25 kDa band which corresponded to monomeric RZY10-COMPm. Faint bands below 25 kDa could be degradation or truncation products of RZY10-COMPm. COMPm-RGDGC did not appear on anti-T7 tag Western blot, as expected. When examining crosslinked samples, we found that the sample containing only RZY10-COMPm had higher bands with MW corresponding to dimers, trimers, tetramers, and pentamers of RZY10-COMPm; however, signals from these oligomers were much fainter compared to the monomeric RZY10COMPm band. This result suggested that the oligomerization efficiency was low when RZY10-COMPm complexed with itself. When the mixture RZY10-COMPm and COMPm-RGDGC was crosslinked, signals from the oligomers became stronger, especially the bands at 46 kDa and 135 kDa . Although these bands were at similar positions as the homodimer and the homopentamer in the RZY10-COMPm sample, we could not conclude if they were homo-oligomers of RZY10-COMPm or hetero-oligomers with COMPm-RGDGC. Interestingly, a band at 30 kDa that was not observed in RZY10COMPm sample was observed in the mixture sample. Therefore, it was speculated that this $30-\mathrm{kDa}$ band could be a heterodimer of RZY10-COMPm (20.2 kDa) and COMPmRGDGC ( 10.2 kDa ). Surprisingly, anti-His tag Western blot failed to detect any crosslinked proteins (Supporting Information Figure A. 12). We speculated that crosslinking of proteins resulted in a structural hindrance of access to the 6xHis tag.

Altogether, we concluded that oligomerization of the COMPm system was successful; however, the efficiency was low, and the process needs to be optimized to achieve the desired hetero-oligomeric product. Also, the current design of COMPm-RGDGC would benefit by having an exclusive epitope tag for Western blot detection. Last, both RZY10COMPm and COMPm-RGDGC had low solubility at physiological conditions.


Figure A. 3. Oligomerization of RZY10-COMPm (RC) and COMPm-RGDGC (CRGDGC) verified by luminescent anti-T7 tag Western blot. MW of RZY10-COMPm: 20.2 kDa . MW of COMPm-RGDGC: 10.2 kDa .

We observed that recombinant proteins with leucine zippers had low solubility in aqueous solutions. Thus, we decided to verify whether our low affinity leucine zipper system LZE/LZR has low solubility as well. Lyophilized LZE-BMP2GC was dissolved in PBS; however, it did not completely dissolve at $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$. Since we expected the working concentration of these leucine zipper-based proteins to be around $30-50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, we concluded that our current proteins (RZY10-HZR, HZE-RGDGC, RZY10-COMPm, COMPm-RGDGC, LZE-RGDGC, and HZE-QEQV-RGDGC) would not be feasible for the proposed applications.

## Supporting Information



Figure A. 4. Expression of RZY10-HZR (MW 20.2 kDa ). Top: SDS-PAGE gel showing RZY10-HZR being expressed for 3 and 5 hours after induction with IPTG. Bottom: The expression was confirmed in four expression E. coli hosts by colorimetric anti-T7 tag Western blot. The expression host and the corresponding expression time (h) were specified on top of each gel.


Figure A. 5. Expression of HZE-RGDGC (MW 10.2 kDa ). Top: SDS-PAGE gel showing HZE-RGDGC being expressed for 3 and 5 hours after induction with IPTG. Bottom: The expression was confirmed in four expression E. coli hosts by luminescent anti-His tag Western blot. The expression host and the corresponding expression time (h) were specified on top of each gel.


Figure A. 6. SDS-PAGE gel of purification of RZY10-HZR in Rosetta by denatured NiNTA affinity chromatography. The final yield was $\sim 30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ culture. FT: flow though; G1 and G2: wash with 6 M guanidine hydrochloride; W 1 and W 2 : wash with 8 M urea, pH 6.3; D1 to D4: elutes with 8 M urea, pH 5.9 ; E 1 to E 5 : elutes with 8 M urea, pH 4.9 .


Figure A. 7. SDS-PAGE gel of purification of HZE-RGDGC in Rosetta by denatured NiNTA affinity chromatography. The final yield was $\sim 50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ culture. CL: cell lysate; FT: flow though; W1 and W2: wash with 8 M urea, pH 6.3 ; D1 to D4: elutes with 8 M urea, pH 5.9 ; E1 to E5: elutes with 8 M urea, pH 4.9 .


Figure A. 8. Expression of RZY10-COMPm (MW 20.2 kDa ). Top: The expression was confirmed in four expression E. coli hosts by colorimetric anti-T7 tag Western blot. Bottom: SDS-PAGE gel of purification of RZY10-COMPm in Rosetta by denatured Ni-NTA affinity chromatography. The final yield was $\sim 30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ culture. CL: Cell lysate; FT: flow though; W1 and W2: wash with 8 M urea, pH 6.3 ; D1 to D4: elutes with 8 M urea, pH 5.9 ; E1 to E5: elutes with 8 M urea, pH 4.9 .


Figure A. 9. Expression of COMPm-RGDGC (MW 10.2 kDa ). Top: SDS-PAGE gel showing COMPm-RGDGC being expressed for 3 and 5 hours after induction of IPTG. Bottom: The expression was confirmed in four expression E. coli hosts by colorimetric anti-His tag Western blot. The expression host and the corresponding expression time (h) were specified on top of each gel.


Figure A. 10. SDS-PAGE gel of purification of COMPm-RGDGC in Rosetta by denatured Ni-NTA affinity chromatography. The final yield was $\sim 50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ culture. CL: Cell lysate; FT: flow though; W1 and W2: wash with 8 M urea, pH 6.3 ; D1 to D4: elutes with 8 M urea, pH 5.9; E1 to E5: elutes with 8 M urea, pH 4.9 .


Figure A. 11. Oligomerization of RZY10-COMPm (RC) and COMPm-RGDGC (CRGDGC). Top: Coomassie Blue stained SS-PAGE gel. Bottom: Luminescent anti-His tag Western blot. MW of RZY10-COMPm: 20.2 kDa . MW of COMPm-RGDGC: 10.2 kDa .


Figure A. 12. Visualization of RZY10-COMPm and COMPm-RGDGC with different antibodies in the Western blot to show oligomerization of RZY10-COMPm (RC) and COMPm-RGDGC (C-RGDGC). Luminescent anti-His tag Western blot. MW of RZY10COMPm: 20.2 kDa . MW of COMPm-RGDGC: 10.2 kDa .


Figure A. 13. Expression of LZE-BMP2GC (MW 10.2 kDa ). Top: SDS-PAGE gel showing LZ-BMP2GC being expressed for 3 and 5 hours after induction with IPTG. Bottom: The expression was confirmed in three expression E. coli hosts by colorimetric anti-His tag Western blot. The expression host and the corresponding expression time (h) were specified on top of each gel.


Figure A. 14. SDS-PAGE gel of purification of LZE-BMP2GC in Rosetta by denatured Ni-NTA affinity chromatography. The final yield was $\sim 50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ culture. FT: flow though; C 1 and C 2 : wash with 8 M urea, pH 6.3 ; D1 to D4: elutes with 8 M urea, pH 5.9 ; E 1 to E5: elutes with 8 M urea, pH 4.9 .


Figure A. 15. Expression of HZE-QEQV-RGDGC (MW 11.6 kDa ). Top: SDS-PAGE gel showing HZE-QEQV-RGDGC being expressed for 5 hours after induction with IPTG. Bottom: The expression was confirmed in three expression E. coli hosts by anti-His tag Western blot. The expression host and the corresponding expression time (h) were specified on top of each gel.


Figure A. 16. SDS-PAGE gel of purification of HZE-QEQV-RGDGC in Rosetta by denatured Ni-NTA affinity chromatography. The final yield was about $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ culture. CL: Cell lysate; FT: flow though; W1 and W2: wash with 8 M urea, pH 6.3 ; D1 to D4: elutes with 8 M urea, pH 5.9 ; E1 to E5: elutes with 8 M urea, pH 4.9 .
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## APPENDIX B. NUCLEOPHILE-CATECHOL PROTEIN CONJUGATION AND CORSSLINKING

## PROJECT BACKGROUND

The goal of this project was to demonstrate protein-protein conjugation through nucleophile-catechol Michael addition and to determine the condition for reactions to occur that favored histidine over lysine.

When choosing the chemistry for protein conjugation or crosslinking, it is desired to use chemistry that does not involve functional groups that are found in the protein of interest in order to minimize potential changes in structure or in bioactivity of the conjugated product. Common protein conjugation or crosslinking chemistries use amines, carboxylic acids, or thiols. However, as shown in Table B. 1, amines and carboxylic acids are commonly found in bioactive proteins and peptides due to the abundance of Lys, Glu, and Asp residues (as well as N -terminal amine and C-terminal carboxylic acid groups). Thiol groups found in Cys have a much lower frequency but are prone to be oxidized and lose reactivity. As a result, thiol conjugation usually requires reducing agents such as 2mercaptoethanol or dithiothreitol to break existing disulfide bonds immediately prior to conjugation. Moreover, including cysteine residues in small proteins or peptides increases the possibility for proteins or peptides to precipitate because of self-aggregation through disulfide bond formation. Therefore, it is of great interest to develop a new approach for protein conjugation through functional groups other than amines, carboxyls, or thiols.

Catechols, or 1,2-dihydroxybenzene, have been explored for bioorthogonal crosslinking because of their high reactivity towards nucleophiles. Catechol groups can be introduced into protein-based materials through the incorporation of an non-canonical amino acid, 3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA). In nature, DOPA can be produced by converting tyrosine with tyrosinase. Many organisms utilize DOPA-based crosslinking. One example is the wet-setting adhesive proteins produced by murine mussels. ${ }^{1}$ Another example is the sclerotization of insect cuticles from catechol-histidine crosslinking. ${ }^{2}$

Table B. 1. Amino acid sequences of common bioactive peptides.

|  | Sequence | \#of <br> Lys | \# of <br> Asp, <br> Glu | \# of <br> Cys | \# of <br> His | Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RGD | GRGDSPASSK | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| BMP-2 | KIPKASSVPTELSAISTL <br> YL | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| BMP-7 | SNVILKKYRN | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | KPSSAPTQLN | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| QK | KLTWISVLYFDDS | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Laminin <br> peptide | IKVAV | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| IGF-1 <br> peptide | GRVDWLQRNANFYDW <br> FVAELG | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| TGF-beta <br> 1 | ANVAENA | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| TGF-beta <br> 2 | LIAEAK | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |

The Kodadek group showed that in the presence of periodate as an oxidant, DOPA/ortho-quinone conjugated with $N$-terminal amines, the $\varepsilon$-amine of lysine, thiols in cysteine, and the imidazole group in histidine. ${ }^{10}$.. Surface immobilization of proteins on titanium ${ }^{11}$ or polymer substrates ${ }^{12}$ was achieved by dopamine coating; however, the amino acids being conjugated were not identified in these studies. The Messersmith group demonstrated that choice of pH determined the selectivity for which amino acid would conjugate. ${ }^{13}$ A substrate was coated with dopamine and then incubated in the solution of a model peptide containing both histidine and lysine. The immobilization was largely achieved through histidine when pH was between 6.4 and 7.4 , a pH range that was close to the pKa of histidine (6.04). On the other hand, lysine ( pKa 10.7 ) appeared to be the major reactive site in the immobilization when the pH ranged from 8.4 to 9.5 . The authors suggested that the deprotonation of these nucleophilic side chains was necessary for catechol-nucleophile conjugation to occur, and when both were deprotonated, the primary amine ( $\varepsilon$-amino group of lysine) was more reactive than the secondary amine (imidazole of histidine).

We proposed to incorporate catechol-nucleophile Michael addition into the modular design of recombinant proteins to utilize it as a new approach for protein or
peptide conjugation that would add to the traditional methods of amine, carboxyl, or thiol chemistry. Our approach was to insert tyrosine residues into the recombinant protein sequence and then convert these tyrosine residues into DOPA by tyrosinase. Because the recombinant design starts at the DNA level, it is possible to precisely control the location of tyrosine residues in the protein chain and thus to achieve conjugation in a positionspecific manner. DOPA residues in one protein would be utilized to conjugate to histidine residues another polypeptide.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As a preliminary test of DOPA-His conjugation, we started with an elastomeric resilin-like polypeptide, RZY10. The amino acid sequence of RZY10 contained five repeats of AQTPSSKQYGAP-AQTPSSQYGAP with N-terminal T7 and 6xHis tags. Tyrosinaseconverted RZY10 (mRZY10) was analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The expected MW of mRZY10 was 14.3 kDa , and that of RZY10 was 14.1 kDa . However, RLPs have been reported to have lower electrophoretic mobility in SDS-PAGE gels, ${ }^{14}$ and RZY10 was previously shown to run at $\sim 20 \mathrm{kDa}$ in our lab. The mRZY10 band shifted slightly upward as shown Error! Reference source not found., and this finding is consistent with previous observations from our lab that tyrosinase-converted ELP runs higher than the unmodified ELP. This decrease in electrophoretic mobility could be a synergistic effect of increase in molecular weight and decrease in hydrophobicity. The mRZY10 solution was adjusted to pH 7.4 and was incubated at room temperature for 24 hours to allow DOPA to react with histidine residues in the 6xHis tag. The solution was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and anti-T7 tag Western blot immediately after incubation. An RZY10 solution treated with the same conditions was used as a negative control group. As shown in Figure B. 2, RZY10 appeared as one single band while mRZY10 was observed with a smear shifting upward. We hypothesized that the smear was a result of intrachain conjugation on one mRZY10 protein. That is, histidine residues in the 6xHis tag were conjugated to DOPAs on the same protein chain and therefore formed a circular structure. It has been reported that proteins with circular structures could have lower electrophoretic mobility. ${ }^{15}$ The smear could reflect different circular structures from conjugation to DOPA at different locations on the protein
chain. However, we failed to see any laddering which is considered as an indication for interchain conjugation products.


Figure B. 1. RZY10 and tyrosinase-converted RZY10 (mRZY10) on SDS-PAGE gel. mRZY10 showed a lower electrophoretic mobility that could be due to the increase in molecular weight (MW) and/or the decrease in hydrophobicity.


Figure B. 2. RZY10 or mRZY10 conjugation at pH 7.4 . RZY10 or mRZY 10 in 100 mM acetate buffer with 100 mM ascorbic acid were pH adjusted to 7.4 and were incubated at room temperature for 24 hours. Left: RZY10 appeared as a single band at the expected position on the SDS-PAGE gel. On the other hand, mRZY10 appeared as an upward smear. Right: Anti-T7 tag Western blot better visualized the smear in mRZY10.

In order to prevent intrachain conjugation, we used thrombin to cleave off the N terminal T7 tag and 6xHis tag. The cleaved RZY10 (hereafter no tag-RZY10) was further converted by tyrosinase in a cobuffer of 10 mM acetate buffer/ 10 mM Tris buffer with 150 $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$, and 10 mM ascorbic acid. Tris buffer was used to provide better buffer capacity near neutral $\mathrm{pH} . \mathrm{NaCl}$ and $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ were necessary for thrombin cleavage and
were carried over to the following steps. The converted no tag-RZY10 (hereafter no tagmRZY10) was incubated with RZY10 in the cobuffer at pH 7.4 for 24 hours at room temperature. Control groups were no tag-RZY10 alone, no tag-mRZY10 alone, and RZY10 with no tag-RZY10 prepared as described above. Because there was no histidine in no tagmRZY10, we expected that the putative intrachain conjugation between histidine and DOPA would not occur and therefore expected to observe interchain conjugation products (that is, conjugation between DOPA on no tag-mRZY10 and histidine on RZY10).

Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and anti-T7 tag Western blot as shown in Figure B. 3. Surprisingly, we failed to clearly visualize the protein band of no tag-RZY10 (lane 2, top image) and no tag-mRZY10 (lane 4, top image) samples with Coomassie blue staining. It was possible that the increased process steps (thrombin cleavage, purification of the cleaved products) resulted in significant protein losses. No clear high MW bands were observed in no tag-RZY10/RZY10 (lane 3, top image). On the other hand, we were able to see high MW products in no tag-mRZY10/RZY10 (lane 5, top image) with Coomassie blue staining. Moreover, these high bands in lane 5 had MWs corresponding to conjugation products between one no tag-mRZY10 ( 12.1 kDa ) and one, two, three, and four RZY10 ( 14.1 kDa ). These high bands also showed up on anti-T7 tag Western blot (lane 5, bottom image), and this result suggested that these bands were proteins with T 7 tags. Because the T7 tag in no tag-mRZY10 was removed by thrombin, the only species with a 77 tag in the mixture was RZY10. However, from lane 3 in Figure B. 3 (bottom), it could be concluded that RZY10 by itself did result in any significant high MW bands. These two results together led us to conclude that the high MW bands we observed could only be conjugation products between no tag-mRZY10 and RZY10.


Figure B. 3. No tag-mRZY10 and RZY10 conjugation at pH 7.4. Proteins were in a cobuffer of 10 mM acetate buffer and 10 mM Tris buffer with $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}$, and 10 mM ascorbic acid and were incubated at room temperature for 24 hours. Top: SDSPAGE gel showing high MW products from reaction of no tag-mRZY10 (12.1 kDa) and RZY10 ( 14.1 kDa ). Bottom: Anti-T7 tag Western blot image revealed that the high MW products contained T7 tags from RZY10. Arrows indicate numbers of RZY10 being conjugated to no tag-mRZY10. The band below 25 kDa ladder was single RZY10.

The above results supported the idea of protein/peptide conjugation via catecholnucleophile Michael addition by DOPA residues. However, both histidine and lysine were in the protein sequence and can react with DOPA. To clarify whether lysine or histidine participated in the conjugation, we used acetic anhydride to acetylate the $\varepsilon$-amine of lysine in RZY10. The acetylation level was determined by a 2,4,6-trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBSA) assay. The acetylation level of acetylated RZY10 (acRZY10) was found to be $\sim 99 \%$. We also constructed another elastomeric ELP, SKGPG-Y2 that had no histidine in the sequence but still had tyrosine residues that could be converted to DOPAs. Tyrosinaseconverted SKGPG-Y2 (mSKGPG-Y2) was incubated with acRZY10 at a one-to-one molar ratio at room temperature for 24 hours. Samples with mSKGPG-Y2 alone, acRZY10 alone, and SKGPG-Y2 with acRZY10 were also prepared as control groups. All samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The results of the conjugation between mSKGPG-Y2 and acRZY10 are shown in Figure B. 4. Note that no ascorbic acid was used in the conjugation buffer. Since amines on acRZY10 were acetylated, the only possible conjugation between acRZY10 and mSKGPG-Y2 was between the His residues on acRZY10 and DOPA residues on mSKGPG-Y2. Based on the results in Figure B. 5, we did not expect to see either intrachain or interchain conjugations between mSKGPG-Y2. However, we also failed to see possible conjugations between acRZY10 and mSKGPG-Y2. No changes in the smearing pattern or shifts in MW were observed. Conjugation experiments were also carried out with a short peptide with the sequence of His-Arg-Gly-Asp-His. We verified that the presence of 200 mM ascorbic acid reduced the formation of smearing of mSKGPGY2 at molecular weights $>25 \mathrm{kDa}$ (Figure B. 5). Since ascorbic acid prevents the oxidation of DOPA, it is possible that the lower extent of DOPA oxidation resulted in less intrachain crosslinking within mSKGPG-Y2. When ascorbic acid was absent, there were no differences in the smearing between the groups with and without the peptide, and we thus excluded the possibility that the smearing was a result of crosslinking between mSKGPGY2 and the peptide. However, it was unexpected that interchain crosslinking, which was observed with mRZY10 in Figure B. 3, was not seen with mSKGPG-Y2.

We conclude that the reaction between DOPA and His or Lys was difficult to control possibly due to the high reactivity of DOPA towards nucleophiles and spontaneous oxidation of DOPA. An improved method of tyrosinase conversion used in Chapter 2 or in
our previous publication ${ }^{16}$ can be used to prepare DOPA-containing proteins for this project such that a higher percentage of free DOPA can be preserved for the conjugation. It is also suggested to improve the design of materials for experiments to test the reaction specificity towards His over Lys. For example, fluorescently labeled peptides with His or Lys could be used together with a tyrosinase-converted ELP that has no His or Lys in the sequence. Fluorescently-labeled peptides would allow conjugation products to be detected using fluorescence techniques with a higher detection sensitivity than SDS-PAGE. Small proteins could also be used instead of peptides to increase the change in MW of the conjugation products and thus result in easier observation in SDS-PAGE gels.


Figure B. 4. Conjugation between acRZY10 and mSKGPG-Y2 through DOPA-Histidine bonds was not observed. No changes in the smearing pattern or shifts in MW of the band near 25 kDa ladder were observed at pH 7.4 where the DOPA-Histidine conjugation was expected to occur. At pH 9.5, DOPA-Lysine conjugation was expected to occur and result in intrachain crosslinking of mSKGPG-Y2. The expected result of such conjugation would be a decrease in the intensity of the free mSKGPG-Y2 band around 25 kDa . However, such a decrease was not observed.


Figure B. 5. Ascorbic acid prevents the oxidation of DOPA. Less smearing was observed with mSKGPG-Y2 when no ascorbic acid was used in the buffer. This result is consistent with the fact that ascorbic acid decreases the reactivity of quinone towards nucleophiles by preventing the oxidation of DOPA to quinone. In addition, no difference was observed in the absence of ascorbic acid between the group with and without the His-containing peptide. The groups of pH 7.410 x indicates that the peptide concentration used was 10 times higher then the other groups in the figure.
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## APPENDIX C. RECOMBINANT PROTEIN-BASED SCAFFOLD FOR RAT CALVARIAL CRITICAL DEFECT HEALING <br> PROJECT BACKGROUND

The goal of this project was to construct a recombinant protein-based hydrogel for stem cell encapsulation that can promote bone healing in a rat calvarial model. After the biocompatibility and the efficacy of the system was verified, the hydrogel system was adapted to study the effect of bioactive ligand presentation on guiding the encapsulated stem cell differentiation.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We chose thiol-maleimide crosslinking chemistry for the hydrogel formation because of its cytocompatibility for cell encapsulation. A series of Cys-containing ELPs were designed with different number of repeats of the BMP-2 peptide followed by a C-terminal His tag. They were YC12 (no BMP-2 peptide), YC12-M (one BMP-2 peptide), YC12-D (two BMP-2 peptides), and YC12-T (four BMP-2 peptides). The expression of YC12-T in Rosetta 2 pLysS was confirmed by anti-His tag Western blot (Error! Reference source not found.).


Figure C. 1.The expression of YC12-T in Rosetta 2 pLysS was confirmed by anti-His tag Western blot. The expected MW of YC12-T was 43.7 kDa .

YC12 proteins were successfully purified by a modified inverse temperature cycling (ITC) method. Briefly, the cell pellet was resuspended in 8 M urea, sonicated, and centrifuged to get clear lysate. The clear lysate was then salted out with 10-20 wt \% (See Appendix E. 10) ammonium sulfate to get the crude protein pellet. The crude protein pellet was then resuspended in PBS for YC12 and YC12-M or in PBS with 2 M urea for YC12D and YC12-T before the ITC process. The cold spin was done at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the hot spin was triggered by the addition of 3 M NaCl and centrifuged at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\beta$-mercaptoethanol (BME) was used throughout the purification, and a final reduction with 50 mM DTT was performed before the purified protein solution was subjected to a $24-\mathrm{h}$ dialysis in water. After dialysis, the supernatant was collected and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen for lyophilization. The purity of the lyophilized protein was $>95 \%$ for all four proteins (Figure C. 2).


Figure C. 2. The purity of the lyophilized protein was $>95 \%$ for YC12, YC12-M, YC12D, and YC12-T as determined by densitometry on a Coomassie blue-stained SDS-PAGE gel. An anti-His tag Western blot confirmed the presence of the His tag on the purified proteins.

We evaluated the osteoconductivity of YC12 proteins by 2D culture of human mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) on spin-coated coverslips. We first screened the spin coating condition such that YC12, YC12-M, and YC12-T had the same coated amount. We
found that pH was the main factor for the coating efficiency and that all three proteins had similar coating efficiency at pH 6.3 (Figure C. 3).


Figure C. 3. YC12, YC12-M, and YC12-T had similar coated amounts at pH 6.3. To spin coat, $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of protein solution in MQ at $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ was mixed with $3.75 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $15 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (all pH adjusted to the desired pH ) and then dispensed onto the center of an acid-washed coverslip. The coverslip was spun for 60 sec at 4000 rpm and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . After incubation, the coverslip was sterilized in $70 \%$ ethanol for 30 min at room temperature. The amount of coated protein was quantified using a BCA kit. Different letters indicate Tukey groups that are statistically different ( $p<0.05$ ).

We next tested the stability of the coated protein. The coverslips were prepared as described above, incubated in PBS at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ without shaking, and quantified with BCA assay at predetermined time points. As shown in Figure C. 4, all three proteins showed similar stability over the 7-day incubation period.

After we confirmed that all coated proteins had similar stability on the coverslips, we examined if the presentation of different numbers of the BMP-2 peptide repeats affected cell attachment on the coated surfaces. To account for the difference in the number of the peptide repeats, coverslips of dimeric and tetrameric presentation of the BMP-2 peptides were prepared by mixing YC12-D or YC12-T with YC12 to achieve the same overall peptide surface density as the surface with monomeric presentation (with YC12-M). Two different densities (one BMP-2 peptide per YC12 backbone and ten BMP-2 peptides per YC12 backbone) were tested. Fischer 344 rat bone MSCs or NIH/3T3 mouse fibroblasts were seeded at $3000 \mathrm{cell} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ on the protein-coated coverslips and stained for actin fibers and nuclei 5 and 15 h after seeding. Cell number and cell area were quantified (Figure C. 5 and Figure C. 6).


Figure C. 4. Coated YC12 proteins were stable in PBS for 7 days. Protein-coated coverslips were incubated in PBS at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ without shaking. At designated time points, the protein remaining on the coverslip was quantified by using a BCA assay.


Figure C. 5. Similar number of cells attached on YC12, YC12-M, and YC12-T surfaces. Fischer 344 rat bone MSCs (rBMSCs) and NIH/3T3 mouse fibroblasts were seeded at 3000 cells $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and counted by nuclei staining 5 h after seeding ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ).


Figure C. 6. Similar cell morphology and area of rBMSCs on surfaces with different BMP2 peptide presentations. Top: Actin (green) and nucleus (red) staining of rBMSCs on protein surfaces 15 h after seeding. Bottom: Cell area quantification based on actin staining ( $\mathrm{n}>100$ cells). Different letters indicate Tukey groups that are statistically different ( $p<$ $0.05)$.

Knowing that the presentation did not have a significant effect on cell attachment and morphology, we decided to test the osteoconductivity of surfaces with solely YC12, YC12-M, and YC12-T. Note that the total amount of protein coated was kept the same and therefore there were more BMP-2 peptides presented on the YC12-T surface in a tetrameric presentation. Human MSCs (hMSCs) were cultured on the surfaces until $\sim 80 \%$ confluency then switched to osteogenic differentiation medium (ODM) following the regular bone differentiation protocol. On days 4 and 7 in ODM, cells were lysed and assayed for alkaline phosphatase activity (ALP) (Figure C. 7). On days 9 and 13 in ODM, cells were stained with Alizarin Red S (ARS) for calcium deposition (Figure C. 8 and Figure C. 9). Interestingly, we found that Alizarin Red staining was most intense on YC12 surfaces. YC12-M and YC12-T surfaces showed similar staining results (Figure C. 8), and there was no statistical difference in extracted ARS amount between YC12-M and YC12-T surfaces (Figure C. 9). These results were the opposite of the expected trend. Since the efficacy of the BMP-2 peptide is concentration dependent, we hypothesized that the overall concentration of the $\mathrm{YC} 12-\mathrm{T}$ surfaces was outside of the optimal range and hence the lower extent of osteogenesis than that of YC12-M. However, it was not clear why the YC12 surface without any BMP-2 peptides had more intense staining than the other two surfaces.


Figure C. 7. Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity increased from day 4 to day 7 on all surfaces. Cells on the tissue culture poly(styrene) (TCPS) surface had the highest ALP activity. Within protein surfaces, YC12 resulted in the highest ALP activity followed by YC12-M then YC12-T.


Figure C. 8. More calcium deposition stained by Alizarin Red S (ARS) was observed on the YC12 surfaces than the YC12-M and YC12-T surfaces. Top: Staining images of 24 well plates on day 9 and day 13 . Bottom: $4 x$ images at the center of the wells. The trend of ARS staining on day 13 is consistent with the ALP activity results. Together, these results suggested that YC12 promoted a higher extent of osteogenesis.


Figure C. 9. ARS quantification by acid extraction. The result showed a similar trend to what was observed with the staining images in Figure C. 8.

Meanwhile, we had decided to use $\mathrm{YC12-T}$ as the material for an in vivo study in rats. In order to remove endotoxin from the protein, a modified Ni column (Ni-IPA) was used for protein purification. Briefly, the column was loaded with protein and then repeatedly washed by a native wash buffer $\mathrm{D}(10 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris, 300 mM NaCl , and 10 mM BME, pH 8.0) followed by an IPA-buffer D ( 10 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl , and 10 mM BME in $60(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) \%$ isopropyl alcohol, pH 8.0$)$. A representative purification gel is shown in Figure C. 10. Throughout the endotoxin purification, all plasticware was non-pyrogenic, and all glassware was washed in 0.1 M NaOH for $>30 \mathrm{~min}$ and/or baked at $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $>30$ min for depyrogenation.


Figure C. 10. Purification of YC12-T by Ni-IPA column. CL: cleared lysate; Pel: pellet of cell debris after sonciation; FT: flow through; C: wash of buffer C ( 8 M urea, 10 mM Tris, $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} 2 \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ BME, pH 6.3); D: wash of buffer D (10 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl , and 10 mM BME, pH 8.0 ); IPA/D: wash of IPA-buffer D (10 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl , and 10 mM BME in $60(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) \%$ isopropyl alcohol, pH 8.0 ); E: elute of buffer E ( 10 mM Tris, 500 mM imidazole, $300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0$ ).

A semi-quantitative gel clot limulus amebocyte lysate (LAL) assay (ToxinSensor ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Gel Clot Endotoxin Assay Kit, GenScript, Cat. No. L00351) was used to evaluate the level of residual endotoxin in the Ni-IPA purified proteins. Briefly, the presence of endotoxin in the sample of interest will react with LAL assay reagents to form a gel clot (Figure C. 11). This formation depends on the endotoxin concentration. Therefore, by comparing the gelation results from the samples that were serially diluted to those from the endotoxin
standards, a range of the endotoxin content in the sample of interest can be determined. The result of the assay suggested that our Ni-IPA purified proteins had an endotoxin concentration $<0.125 \mathrm{EU} / \mathrm{mg}$ protein (Table C. 1). This result was considered to be satisfactory for not eliciting severe immune responses in a rat of 250 g implanted with 10 mg protein since the exposure limit of a rat is $\mathrm{EU} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight.


Figure C. 11. Representative images of gel clot formation in LAL assay.

Table C. 1 Gel clot LAL assay results of Ni-IPA-purified YC12-T

| Group | Result | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IPA - no LAL | - | ELP gelation did not <br> happen |
| IPA $-1: 100$ | - | $<0.125$ EU/mg protein |
| IPA $-1: 10^{3}$ | - |  |
| IPA $-1: 10^{4}$ | - |  |
| IPA $-1: 10^{5}$ | - |  |
| IPA $-1: 10^{6}$ |  |  |

A 4-arm PEG maleimide (PEG-MAL) was chosen for the maleimide-containing material (10 kDa, SKU: A7018-1 / 4ARM-MAL-10K, JenKem Technology USA). To prepare the cell-encapsulated gel for implantation, YC12-T was dissolved in MQ at 155 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ and filtered. PEG-MAL was dissolved in PBS at $155 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ and filtered as well. Solutions were kept on ice throughout the process. A cell pellet of $5 \times 10^{5}$ of previously-
harvested rat bone MSCs (passage 1) from 12-week old Fischer 344 rats were resuspended in $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of PBS first and added with $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of PEG-MAL. The resuspension was then pipetted into a sterilized circular silicone mold ( 8 mm in diameter and 0.5 mm in thickness) and kept on ice. Meanwhile, $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ice-cold 10x PBS was added into $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the YC12-T solution to make a final concentration of 1x PBS. The protein solution was then mixed with the cell resuspension in the mold with a positive-displacement pipette. Gel formation was observed immediately after mixing due to the fast reaction between thiols and maleimides. The final gel composition was $16 \mathrm{wt} \%$ of polymers with a 1-1 protein-to-PEG ratio. The rat bone MSCs were encapsulated at $5 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$.

The gel in the silicone mold was transferred into a 6-well plate and moved to a cell culture incubator for an hour for further crosslinking. After the incubation, 3 mL of the osteogenic differentiation medium (ODM, Low glucose-DMEM with $1 \% \mathrm{FBS}, 1 \% \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}, 10$ $\mathrm{mM} \beta$-glycerol phosphate, 100 nM dexamethasone, and $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ascorbic acid-2-phosphate) was added into each well to cover the gel in the silicone mold (Figure C. 12). The gel was cultured overnight before the implantation the next day. Collagen sponges loaded with recombinant human BMP-2 (rhBMP-2) were prepared as positive controls. Briefly, an 8mm disk of collagen sponge was punched out from a collagen sheet (Helistat collagen, Integra Lifesciences \#3410ZX) using a biopsy punch. A pellet of $5 \times 10^{5}$ rat bone MSCs were resuspended in $56 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of culture medium with $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of rhBMP- 2 and loaded onto both sides of the disk with half of the resuspension at a time. The cell-laden collagen sponge was incubated for an hour in the cell culture incubator without medium to allow cell penetration into the sponge (Figure C. 12).


Figure C. 12. Images of (A) cell-encapsulated YC12-T/PEG-MAL hydrogel and (B) cellladen collagen sponge.

The surgery to create the critical size defect in the calvaria of Fischer 344 rats (12-week-old males) and implant the cell-laden scaffolds was performed by Dr. Gert J. Breur (Department of Veterinary Clinical Sciences, Purdue University) following a previously published protocol. ${ }^{1}$ Rats were divided into three groups: cell-encapsulated protein hydrogel, cell-laden collagen sponge, and empty defects as negative controls. Each group had 7-8 rats. Six weeks after implantation, in vivo CT was performed using MiLabs VECTor+ (Purdue Bioscience Imaging Facility). Twelve weeks after implantation, rats were euthanized, and the defect sites were harvested and preserved in formalin before ex vivo $\mu \mathrm{CT}$ (Scanco $\mu \mathrm{CT}$ u40, Purdue Bone \& Body Composition Core).

As shown in Figure C. 13, no new bone formation was observed in the group with protein hydrogels. On the other hand, there was some new bone formation in the collagen group. Unexpectedly, the group with empty defects showed a significant extent of healing at the $6^{\text {th }}$ week. The literature suggested that a defect size of 8 mm in diameter in rat models was a critical size defect and that spontaneous healing was not expected. The ex vivo $\mu \mathrm{CT}$ results at the $12^{\text {th }}$ week (Figure C. 14) did not show any significant new bone formation compared to the $6^{\text {th }}$ week in any of the groups. Haemotoxylin and Eosin staining of the defect site filled with the protein hydrogel (Figure C. 15) or the collagen sponge (Figure C. 16) suggested the formation of scar tissue in the defect sites and slow degradation of the protein hydrogel.

## Summary of In vivo CT at $\mathbf{6}^{\text {th }}$ Week



Figure C. 13. Images of in vivo CT at 6 weeks after implantation of the scaffolds. Empty: the group of empty defects; collagen: the group of cell-laden collagen sponges; protein: the group of cell-encapsulated YC12-T/PEG-MAL hydrogels.


Figure C. 14. Images of ex vivo $\mu \mathrm{CT}$ at 12 weeks after implantation of the scaffolds. Empty: the group of empty defects; collagen: the group of cell-laden collagen sponges; protein: the group of cell-encapsulated YC12-T/PEG-MAL hydrogels. Images are in the same order as in Figure C. 13. Images from rats that did not survive to the $12^{\text {th }}$ week are not shown.
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## Slice 2



Figure C. 15. Haemotoxylin and Eosin staining images of a defect implanted with a protein hydrogel (rat 30). Bone is stained as dark pink. Black arrowheads mark the edge of the defect.
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Figure C. 16. Haemotoxylin and Eosin staining images of a defect implanted with a collagen sponge (rat 23). Bone is stained as dark pink. Black arrowheads mark the edge of the defect.

We also evaluated the in vitro bone differentiation potential of the rat bone MCSs used for the implantation. Cells were cultured on TCPS and switched to ODM when the confluency reached $\sim 70 \%$. Cells were stained for ALP activity and calcium deposition on week 3 in ODM. The control group was cultured in growth medium (GM) without switching to ODM for the same period of time. As shown in Figure C. 17, slightly higher ALP activity was observed with the GM group. This finding at a late time point was expected due to slower differentiation without the inductive properties of ODM. No calcium deposition was observed with the GM group (Figure C. 18). However, the ODM group did not show significant calcium deposition either. This result suggested that the harvested rat bone MSCs were not able to effectively differentiate into the bone lineage. It is also possible that the cells we isolated from 12-week-old rats were less multipotent than those harvested from younger rats.

In summary, we successfully designed and produced thiol-containing ELPs (YC12 proteins) that were used to form hydrogels through thiol crosslinking. A series of YC12 proteins with different number of repeats of the BMP-2 peptide were constructed. Although the 2 D differentiation results did not suggest any advantage of presenting the peptide in tandem repeats, it is possible that a different effect would be observed in 3D culture. We also demonstrated successful removal of endotoxins from proteins produced by E. coli, and these proteins were used successfully in rat in vivo studies without eliciting any significant immune response. Despite the unexpected results from this pilot study, this system of using YC12 proteins still merits further exploration to construct a 3D gel with different bioactive peptides that can be used both in vitro and in vivo.


Figure C. 17. Low ALP activity as assessed by staining rat bone MSCs after 3 weeks of culture in osteogenic medium (ODM). Top: whole well image of cells cultured in growth medium (GM) and in ODM. Bottom: 4x microscope images of the center part of the well.


Figure C. 18. Significant calcium deposition was not observed with rat bone MSCs. Two different sources of ARS dyes were used. The ODM group showed slightly more calcium deposition than the GM group, but the overall staining intensity of the ODM group did not suggest strong osteogenesis of rat bone MSCs after culture in ODM for 3 weeks.


Figure C. 19. Protein purification using ammonium sulfate salting out with YC12 proteins. Addition of ammonium sulfate at $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ followed by $20 \mathrm{wt} \%$ worked well for YC12, YC12-M, and YC12-D. YC12-T partially salted out at $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ (red box). CL: cleared lysate; SF: soluble fraction; Pel: cell pellet; 10S and 10P: $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ supernatant and pellet; 20S and 20P: $20 \mathrm{wt} \%$ supernatant and pellet.


Figure C. 20. Protein purification using inverse temperature cycling (ITC) with YC12. ITC was carried out in PBS with 200 mM BME. Cold cycles were performed at a concentration of 50 mg pellet $/ \mathrm{mL}$ at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hot cycles were performed at a concentration of 50 mg pellet $/ \mathrm{mL}$, coacervation was induced by 2 M NaCl at RT , and protein solutions were centrifuged at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. CL: cleared lysate; Pel: cell pellet; 10S and 10P: $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ supernatant and pellet; 20S and 20P: $20 \mathrm{wt} \%$ supernatant and pellet; CP and CS: cold cycle pellet and supernatant; HP and HS: hot cycle pellet and supernatant.


Figure C. 21. Protein purification using inverse temperature cycling (ITC) with YC12-M, -D, and -T. ITC was carried out in PBS with 2 M urea and 200 mM BME. A final concentration of 2 M urea in PBS aided in resolubilizing protein pellets. Vigorous mixing was necessary to solubilize YC12-T. Cold cycles were performed at a concentration of 50 mg pellet $/ \mathrm{mL}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hot cycles were performed at a concentration of 50 mg pellet $/ \mathrm{mL}$, coacervation was induced by 2 M NaCl at RT , and protein solutions were centrifuged at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. CP and CS: cold cycle pellet and supernatant; HP and HS: hot cycle pellet and supernatant.


Figure C. 22. Endotoxin purification by Ni column with Triton X114 wash (Ni-114 column). Compared to Ni-IPA method, the residual endotoxin level was higher with Ni114 column. The protein-loaded column was washed with 50 times of the bed volume with Triton X-114 wash buffer ( $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 200 \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole, 20 mM Tris- HCl , and $0.1(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) \%$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-114, \mathrm{pH} 8.0)$. Next, the column was washed with 20 times of the bed volume with wash buffer to remove residual Triton X-114. The column was washed with 5 times of the bed volume with wash buffer containing $25-50 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole. Finally, the column was eluted with wash buffer containing 500 mM imidazole and 1 mM TCEP.

## Endotoxin Assay - Ni-114



- Protein purified with $\mathrm{Ni}-114$ column
- About 100-1000 times higher endotoxin then the target value

| Group | Result | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $114-$ no LAL | - |  |
| $114-1: 100$ | - | Solution was turbid |
| $114-1: 10^{3}$ | + |  |
| $114-1: 10^{4}$ | + | $>12.5$ EU/mg protein |
| $114-1: 10^{5}$ | - | $<125$ EU/mg protein |
| $114-1: 10^{6}$ | - |  |

Figure C. 23. Gel clot LAL assay of Ni-114 column purified YC12-T. The endotoxin level was between $12.5-125 \mathrm{EU} / \mathrm{mg}$ protein which was at least 100-1000 times higher than the same protein purified by the Ni-IPA method. A solid gel was not observed at 1:100 dilution possibly because of the interference from the residual Triton-X114 in the purified protein.

| Group | Result | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Positive | + | Successful setup of the <br> test |
| Negative | - | No contamination during <br> setup |
| TCEP -5 mM | - | Interferes with the test <br> Solution was turbid and <br> viscous, but flowed upon <br> inverting |
| TCEP -0.5 mM | + | No interference |


| Group | Result | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITC - no LAL | - |  |
| ITC $-1: 100$ | + |  |
| ITC $-1: 10^{3}$ | + |  |
| ITC $-1: 10^{4}$ | + |  |
| ITC $-1: 10^{5}$ | + | $>1250$ EU/mg protein |
| ITC $-1: 10^{6}$ | + |  |

Figure C. 24. Gel clot LAL assay control groups. Top: Testing whether TCEP negatively interacted with the assay. TCEP between $0.05-0.5 \mathrm{mM}$ did not significantly interfer with the assay. Bottom: Test result of YC12-T purified by ITC method. The endotoxin content was $>1250 \mathrm{EU} / \mathrm{mg}$ protein.

- Representative scanning results from rat $13=$ empty

- Representative scanning results from rat $18=$ collagen

- Representative scanning results from rat $16=$ protein


Figure C. 25. Representative scanning images of in vivo CT at 6 weeks after implantation. Empty defects showed the most healing followed by defects with cell-laden collagen sponges. Defects with cell-encapsulated protein gels showed the least extent of healing.

Rat 14 collagen six-week


Rat 28 protein six-week


Figure C. 26. Scanning resolution comparison between in vivo CT and ex vivo $\mu \mathrm{CT}$. Top: defect with cell-laden collagen sponge at $6^{\text {th }}$ week; bottom: defect with cell-encapsulated protein gel at $6^{\text {th }}$ week. Ex vivo $\mu \mathrm{CT}$ had a much higher resolution on resolving small volume of new bone formation. Both Rat 14 and 28 died after in vivo CT at $6^{\text {th }}$ week. Defect sites were harvested immediately and scanned by ex vivo MicroCT.
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## APPENDIX D. RECOMBINANT PROTEIN-BASED EPIGENETIC PROBES FOR DNA METHYLATION <br> PROJECT BACKGROUND

The goals of this project were to 1 ) develop a recombinant protein probe composed of a transcription activator-like effector (TALE) domain and a methyl-CpG-binding (MBD) domain for in vitro sequence-specific methylation detection and 2) develop a system for single-cell sequence-specific methylation detection using TALE, MBD, and bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC).

Despite the fact that all cells in an organism have the same genome, different cell types exist with different gene activities. Recent progress in molecular biology has demonstrated that gene expression is regulated not only by the DNA sequence of a gene, but also by the epigenetic modifications to the gene. ${ }^{1}$ While histone modifications alter the chromatin structure and thus change the expression level of a gene, DNA methylation directly changes the DNA nucleotides and hence regulates gene expression. In pluripotent cells found during development, genes that are necessary for the later stage of development can be temporarily deactivated by histone modifications. ${ }^{2}$ As cells commit to a certain lineage, or become somatic cells, genes that are related to pluripotency are silenced by DNA CpG methylation. Specifically, a methyl group is transferred to the C5 position of cytosine when it is found in a CpG dinucleotide-site, in which cytosine is followed by guanine. When the promoter of a gene is hypermethylated, its activity is downregulated and vice versa. ${ }^{3}$

The genome methylation state in a cell population is related to development stages. It has been shown that genes related to self-renewal are hypomethylated in embryonic stem cells. ${ }^{4}$ On the other hand, genes regulating stimuli responses and signaling transduction pathways are hypermethylated. For example, as embryonic stem (ES) cells differentiate, stem cell marker genes become methylated. ${ }^{5}$ When human mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are expanded in vitro, changes in DNA methylation patterns have been observed as well. A study revealed that genes related to apoptosis and replicative senescence are hypermethylated in early passages. ${ }^{6}$ On the other hand, genes regulating cell cycle and DNA replication are hypermethylated in late passages. This result matches the observation that MSC proliferation rate decreases or stops in late passages. Genes related to lineage
differentiation also become hypermethylated in late passages. Bork et al. reported that the homeobox gene DLX5 becomes hypermethylated in later passages of human MSCs. ${ }^{7}$ DLX5 was previously shown to be able to transactivate gene expression of RUNX2, which is the master regulatory gene of osteogenesis. ${ }^{8}$ The authors suggested that the hypermethylation of DLX5 in later passages of human MSCs could result in less transactivation of RUNX2 and further result in lower potential for osteogenesis. These results suggest that the capability of stem cells to proliferate and differentiate are related to methylation levels of specific genes and that information on the methylation states can be valuable when controlling stem cell differentiation in tissue engineering applications.

Current methods to detect DNA methylation patterns are based on sodium bisulfite conversion. The principle behind this method is that bisulfite will convert unmethylated cytosine to uracil but will leave methylated cytosine unchanged. The newly converted uracil can be detected by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). PCR-amplified fragments can be sequenced by conventional sequencing methods. By comparing this sequence to the unconverted sequence, unmethylated cytosine can be detected by observing a change from cytosine to thymine.

Different DNA methylation detection methods have been developed. Methylationspecific PCR (MSP) uses primers that overlap with methylation sites. ${ }^{9}$ These primers can be designed such that they will only anneal to a converted methylated sequence or unmethylated sequence. The methylation status can be distinguished by PCR amplification results. ${ }^{9}$ Another method, which is called MethyLight, incorporates a fluorescently labeled probe into MSP. ${ }^{10}$ Reduced representation bisulfite sequencing (RRBS) uses the CpG methylation-sensitive restriction enzyme MspI to fragment the target sequence. ${ }^{11}$ In all the above methods, bisulfite conversion is still an essential step. Another approach to methylation detection involves enrichment of methylated fragments followed by highthroughput sequencing. Enrichment can be achieved by binding of methyl-CpG-binding domain protein 2 (MBD2 protein) to the methylated CpG sites (MBD-Seq). ${ }^{12}$ The methylation level can be determined by the frequency of a sequence being captured by MBD2 proteins. However, one thing in common for both bisulfite methods and enrichment methods is that manipulation of DNA samples is necessary, and hence these methods are both unfeasible for real-time methylation detection in living cells. In addition, it is difficult
to determine methylation states in a single cell with both methods. However, methylation information at single-cell level could be valuable for applications with MSCs because the MSC population is heterogeneous and the methylation states may not be the same for every cell in the same population. Therefore, developing a molecular tool that allows us to perform real-time DNA methylation detection in a single living cell is critical and could be helpful for tissue engineering applications with stem cells.

Methyl-CpG-binding domains (MBDs) are protein domains of $\sim 70$ amino acids, and they specifically bind to symmetrically methylated CpG sites. ${ }^{13}$ The unique $\alpha / \beta$ sandwich structure of MBDs allows binding to a single methylated CpG site. ${ }^{14}$ There are five human MBD proteins: MeCP2, MBD1, MBD2, MBD3, and MBD4. Among them, MBD1, MBD2, and MBD4 are able to bind to methylated CpG sites in vitro. ${ }^{15}$ On the other hand, MBD3 did not show any specific binding to methylated CpG sites in vitro.

Because of their specific binding to methylated CpG sites, MBDs have been utilized as a tool to detect DNA methylation. One example is the MBD2 protein used in MBD-Seq. Another widely used MBD protein is MBD1 because of its high binding affinity. The Bird group evaluated the binding ability of recombinant proteins containing one or four repeats of an MBD1-derived MBD sequence. ${ }^{16}$ An electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was used to determine the dissociation constant ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ) of one MBD to be $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and of four MBD domains to be decreased to $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The binding ability was also increased when more methylated CpG sites were present on target DNA. With three methylated CpG sites, the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ values were lowered to $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $0.02 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for one MBD and four MBD domains, respectively. The Yuan group developed a one-pot methylation detection platform with a fluorescently labeled probe with one repeat of a mutated MBD1 domain coupled with fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS). ${ }^{17}$ The limit of detection (LOD) of the platform was shown to be 20 nM of target DNA for methylation levels ranging from 5\% to $100 \%$.

While MBD domains have not been reported to have binding preferences for sequences surrounding methylated CpG sites, sequence preference has been introduced into MBD-based methylation detection platforms by combining DNA binding domains with domains that recognize specific sequences (e.g., zinc fingers). ${ }^{18}$ Badran and coworkers developed a platform composed of an MBD1 domain, luciferase, and a zinc finger. ${ }^{19}$ In
this platform, the N-terminal fragment of luciferase was attached to the MBD1 domain, and the C -terminal fragment was attached to the zinc finger. When methylated CpG sites were in close proximity to the DNA sequence recognized by the zinc finger, binding of both the MBD1 domain and the zinc finger occurred and thus brought the N -terminal fragment and the C-terminal fragments of luciferase together. As a result, luciferase regained its enzymatic function and oxidized its substrate luciferin, and luminescence could be detected. The LOD of this platform was 2 nM ; however, the performance was affected by the spacing between the CpG sites. The luminescence signal decreased to $60 \%$ when two CpG sites were 21 base pairs (bp) apart compared to 6 bp apart.

In light of these results, we proposed a one-piece recombinant probe containing both a methylation detection domain (MBD1) and a sequence-specific DNA-binding domain (a transcription activator-like effector (TALE) domain) for site-specific DNA methylation detection. In addition, green fluorescent protein (GFP) will be fused to the MBD1 domain to allow for analysis via fluorescence spectroscopy. Unlike platforms with two components, the one-piece design will simplify the preparation of the platform. The choice of TALE also allows us to change our targeting site easily due to the modularity of the TALE assembly. TALEs are sequence-specific DNA binding proteins found in the bacterial genus Xanthomonas as a tool to activate certain host plant promoters. TALEs are composed of a number of repeats consisting of 34 amino acids. In each repeat, the sequence is almost identical except the 12th and 13th residues, which are referred to as repeat variable di-residues (RVDs). It is these two residues that confer the nucleotide-specificity to the whole 34-amino acid repeat and thus enables the sequence-specificity of TALEs. Different RVDs have been identified along with their nucleotide specificity, and various TALEs targeting different DNA sequences have been constructed. ${ }^{20-22}$ Commercialized TALE assembly kits, such as Golden Gate TALEN and TAL Effector Kit 2.0 (Addgene), are also available to allow customized TALE constructs. ${ }^{23}$ As a result, the targeting site for methylation detection can be changed easily within our TALE-based one-piece probe.

We also hypothesized that the performance of site-specific detection will be further improved with the one-piece probe. In platforms where the methylation detection and sequence-specific DNA-binding components are separated, binding of both components is required for positive detection. Moreover, sequence-specific DNA binding domains such
as zinc fingers or TALEs usually have very low $K_{d}$ values ( $n M$ range for zinc fingers ${ }^{24}$ and sub $\mu \mathrm{M}$ to nM for $\mathrm{TALE}^{25}$ ). On the other hand, MBD1 has a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ value in the $\mu \mathrm{M}$ range, and as a consequence, the binding of the methylation detection component is not as effective as that of the DNA-binding component. This difference in $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ could result in a high false negative detection rate for the two-component platforms. Also, even when multiple methylated CpG sites decreased the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ value of MBD1 and thus increased binding affinity, the detection sensitivity decreased. With our one-piece probe, the methylation detection domain MBD1 will be enriched near methylated CpG sites close to the targeting site because of the anchoring effect from TALE, the sequence-specific domain. This local enrichment will increase the effective concentration of MBD1 in our probe and thus lower the effective $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and increase sensitivity in methylation detection.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The one-piece probe is composed of an N-terminus 3xFLAG tag followed by a TALE domain, a HRV3C-sensitive sequence, an MBD domain, and a C-terminus His tag. The TALE targeting sequence (TGGAGCCTTCGGCTGAC) is derived from the human CDKN2A gene ( $26^{\text {th }}$ to $42^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{bp}$ ). Two TALEs were assembled: TALE13 (targeting CDKN2A $26^{\text {th }}-38^{\text {th }} \mathrm{bp}$ ) and TALE17 (targeting CDKN2A $26^{\text {th }}-42^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{bp}$ ) in the vector PPM (courtesy of Dr. Yuan's group, modified from Addgene Plasmid \#40786). The sequences of both constructs, PPM-TALE13 (hereafter PPM-13) and PPM-TALE17 (hereafter PPM17) were verified by DNA sequencing. Expression of PPM-13 in four different E. coli expression hosts (i.e., BL21(DE3), BL21(DE3)pLysS, Rosetta2(DE3)pLysS, and BL212(DE3)-RIPL) were tested, and expression by IPTG induction was confirmed by SDS-PAGE and anti-His Western blots (Supporting Information Figure D. 5). There was no detectable expression in the BL21(DE3)pLysS host, and the highest expression level was achieved in BL21(DE3). Therefore, we expressed PPM-17 in the BL21(DE3) host and found even higher expression levels with PPM-17 (Supporting Information Figure D. 6). Based on its higher expression levels and the expectation that PPM-17 would have a higher TALE binding affinity, we decided to proceed with PPM-17. PPM-17 was purified using a Ni column. The final purity was found to be $91 \%$, as shown in Supporting Information Figure D. 7.

A 57-bp DNA oligo was prepared. It had a TALE binding site and a CpG site as shown in Appendix F. 37. Methylation of the CpG site on the 57-bp oligo (57) was performed by methyltransferase M.SssI (New England Biolabs (NEB), MA) following the recommended protocol. The methylation level of the methylated 57-bp oligo (m57) was assessed by digestion with a CpG methylation-sensitive restriction enzyme, HpaII (NEB). The digestion showed 93\% methylation of m57 (Supporting Information Figure D. 8).

The in vitro binding ability of PPM-17 was tested with 57 , m57, and a negative control DNA sequence (with no TALE binding sites or methylated CpG sites). The EMSA result (Figure D. 1) showed that there was a high band at 400 bp , and this result indicated that the specific binding of PPM-17 to the 57 oligo increased as the PPM-17:DNA molar ratio increased from 1 to 3 . There was also a second high band at 800 bp for the PPM-17: DNA ratio of 3 ; however, this band had a much lower intensity. This second high band could result from non-specific binding of a second PPM-17 protein. When the ratio was further increased to 10 , the second high band intensified, and a third high band appeared at 1 kb . PPM-17 binding to the m57 oligo had a similar pattern. However, all bands of bound complexes smeared significantly with the m57 oligo. The smearing with m57 could be a result of MBD interactions with the methylated CpG site. Also, there was a decrease in intensity of the specific binding band at 400 bp at a ratio 10 . Because MBD has a much lower $K_{d}$ than TALE, it is possible that PPM-17 binding to the m57 oligo had a mixture of complexes with bound and unbound MBD, and this mixture showed up as a smeared band on the gel. As for the binding with the negative control DNA A1, there was no high band from bound complexes observed with ratios of 1 and 3 ; only at a ratio of 10 was there a high band around 760 bp . There were faint high bands around 1.5 kb with ratios of 0,1 , and 3; however, since A1 DNA was prepared from digestion of plasmid DNA, it is possible that those high bands resulted from plasmid DNA contamination. This possibility was also supported by the appearance of the high band at a ratio of 0 . In summary, PPM-17 showed specific in vitro TALE binding with potential MBD binding of the methylated CpG site. However, further tests are necessary to evaluate MBD binding within PPM-17.


Figure D. 1 PAGE gel of PPM-17 with 57, m57, and negative control A1 DNA oligonucleotides. Numbers above the image indicate the molar ratio of PPM-17:DNA.

We also redesigned the DNA oligo used in the binding assay. There were two issues with the 57-bp oligo. First, the short length of the oligo makes it difficult to stain and visualize by ethidium bromide ( EtBr ), and as a result, higher DNA concentrations had to be used in EMSA. Second, there was an intrinsic CpG site in the TALE binding sequence derived from the $26^{\text {th }}-42^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{bp}$ of CDKN2A. To accommodate this methylated cytosine, the RVD at that position was NG (recognizes thymine) instead of HD (recognizes cytosine) based on a previous study showing that NG recognizes methylated cytosine better than HD. ${ }^{24}$ However, as a result, the TALE binding specificity is not the same for the 57 and m 57 oligos because NG preferentially binds to m57. To address these issues, the redesigned DNA oligo was extended to 91 bp , and the intrinsic CpG site in the TALE binding sequence was removed by changing the cytosine to thymine. We also added three CpG sites in the redesigned DNA oligo (hereafter 91-bp oligo) to increase MBD binding affinity. The two extra CpG sites were centered around the original one. We also used a different methylation approach to prepare the methylated 91 (m91)-bp oligos by co-transforming the plasmid pAIT2 encoding M.SssI (courtesy of Dr. Yuan's group) with the plasmid containing the 91-bp oligo sequence. The methylation level of the m91-bp oligo achieved in this approach was found to be $\sim 90 \%$ by densitometry (Supporting Information Figure D. 9). The 91- and m91-bp oligos can be used for future assays.

MBD binding can be visualized by digestion of PPM-17 with HRV3C protease (Thermo Fisher, MA), as illustrated in Supporting Information Figure D. 10. In short,
unbound MBD will not be bound to DNA after HRV3C digestion. On the other hand, MBD bound to methylated CpG sites should remain attached to DNA after digestion. We found that HRV3C activity was lower in the binding buffer used for EMSA with the positive control protein (Supporting Information Figure D. 11). Because we found no binding occurred with PPM-17 in the recommended HRV3C digestion buffer (Supporting Information Figure D. 12), we decided to optimize HRV3C digestion in the binding buffer. The following factors were varied: HRV3C to protein ratio (Supporting Information Figure D. 13 and Figure D. 14), digestion temperature (Supporting Information Figure D. 15 and Figure D. 16), and the competitor polymer (dIdC) concentration (Supporting Information Figure D. 17). A higher HRV3C: PPM-17 ratio increased the digestion efficiency. On the other hand, dIdC concentration and digestion temperature did not have significant effects on digestion efficiency. The optimal condition was determined to be $1 \mathrm{U}: 0.62 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ HRV3C:PPM-17 with $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ dIdC at room temperature for one hour. The digestion efficiency with this condition was $\sim 70 \%$. Because of the moderate digestion efficiency, we decided to redesign the probe by adding a GFP after the MBD domain. The fluorescence from GFP will allow us to track MBD cleavage with higher sensitivity and thus compensate for the lower digestion efficiency with HRV3C.

The above results suggested that TALE and MBD had the potential to be used for DNA methylation detection in live cells. The detection was visualized using bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC). A TALE domain (R20) (Addgene plasmid \#49637) targeting a telomere repeating sequence (5'- AACCCTAACCCTAACCCTA-3') or a dimeric MBD (dMBD) domain were fused with BiFC domains of mVenus fragments (Addgene plasmid \#22010 for VN173 fragment and \#22011 for VC155 fragment). For all constructs, the DNA binding domains were always on the N -terminus, and the BiFC domains were always on the C-terminus. Negative controls for sequence specificity were created by deleting the sequence-binding region in the TALE domain. The constructs were transfected into human embryonic kidney cells 293 T (HEK293T) using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen).

Table D. 1 List of constructs based on the BiFC pair VN173/VC155

| Construct name | DNA-binding domain | BiFC domain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BG-173 | None | VN173 |
| BG-155 | None | VC155 |
| dMBD-173 | Dimeric MBD | VN173 |
| R20-155 | Telomere-binding TALE | VC155 |

As shown in Figure D. 2, cells transfected with negative control constructs showed no specific punctate methylation binding-pattern but non-specific protein aggregation in the nuclei, and these results suggested that the negative control constructs had no sequencespecific binding. But the protein concentrations of the transfected constructs were high enough to induce complementation in the nuclei. To verify the binding of the DNA-binding domains fused to the BiFC domains, DNA-binding constructs (dMBD-173 and R20-155) were co-transfected with the corresponding negative control constructs. In both cotransfection (dMBD-173/BG-155 and BG-173/R20-155), punctate patterns were observed in the nuclei. These punctate patterns suggested that the dMBD and R20 domains bound to the target DNA sequence properly but non-specific BiFC complementation occurred. We also co-transfected dMBD-173/R20-155 with a positive control construct R20-mCherry to verify the sequence specificity of the BiFC signal from dMBD-173/R20-155. More punctate patterns were observed from dMBD-173/R20-155 (green) with some overlap with the pattern from R20-mCherry (red). The BiFC signals that did not overlap with R20mCherry fluorescence were likely the results of non-specific BiFC complementation from off-target binding of our constructs (dMBD-173 and R20-155) or excess dMBD-173 and R20-155 proteins in the nucleus space. The results shown here suggested higher specificity of BiFC complementation of our constructs was necessary to ensure the observed signals were from methylation near the target DNA sequence.


Figure D. 2. HEK293T cells transfected with constructs based on BiFC pair VN173/VC155. Cells were imaged 24 h after transfection. The green signal indicates complementation from the BiFC domains on the constructs. R20-mCherry (Addgene \#49637) was used as a positive control for telomere binding (red signal).

To improve the BiFC complementation specificity, we switched to a different pair of mVenus fragments (VN210 and VC210) that had been reported to have lower nonspecific complementation. We also investigated the BiFC complementation efficiency by varying the linker length between the DNA-binding domains and the BiFC domains on our constructs.

Table D. 2. List of constructs based on the BiFC pair VN210/VC210

| Construct name | DNA-binding <br> domain | Linker length | BiFC domain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BG-VN210 | None | 2 Gly-Ser | VN210 |
| BG-VC210 | None | 2 Gly-Ser | VC210 |
| BG-l-VN210 | None | 12 Gly-Ser | VN210 |
| BG-l-VC210 | None | 12 Gly-Ser | VC210 |
| dMBD-VN210 | Dimeric MBD | 2 Gly-Ser | VN210 |
| dMBD-VC210 | Dimeric MBD | 2 Gly-Ser | VC210 |
| dMBD-l-VN210 | Dimeric MBD | 12 Gly-Ser | VN210 |
| dMBD-l-VC210 | Dimeric MBD | 12 Gly-Ser | VC210 |
| R20-VN210 | Telomere-binding <br> TALE | 2 Gly-Ser | VN210 |
| R20-VC210 | Telomere-binding <br> TALE | 2 Gly-Ser | VC210 |
| R20-1-VN210 | Telomere-binding <br> TALE | 12 Gly-Ser | VN210 |
| R20-1-VC210 | Telomere-binding <br> TALE | 12 Gly-Ser | VC210 |

As shown in Figure D. 3, cells co-transfected with the negative controls and DNA binding constructs had significantly less non-specific complementation. This result suggested that the BiFC pair VN210/VC210 had a much lower non-specific complementation than the VN173/VC155 pair. Co-transfection of R20-VN210/dMBDVC210 and dMBD-VN210/R20-VC210 did not result in the punctate pattern that was expected if both R20 and dMBD bound their targets. Since BiFC complementation is sensitive to distance, we varied the linker length between the BiFC domains and the R20 or dMBD domains.

The constructs with a long linker between the DNA-binding domains (dMBD and R20) and the BiFC domains showed low non-specific complementation (Figure D. 4) similar to that observed for the ones with a short linker. When R20-1-VN210 (or R20-1VC210) and dMBD-1-VN210 (or R20-1-VC210) were co-transfected with R20-mCherry, no overlap between the BiFC signal (green) and the mCherry signal (red) was observed. Our results seemed to suggest that the DNA-binding domains (TALE, MBD) can remain functional when fused with the BiFC domains and the importance of choosing ae BiFC pair with low non-specific complementation. To use our system to visualize sequencespecific DNA methylation, the relative orientation and the distance between the DNAbinding domains and the BiFC domains need to be designed to reach a balance between suppressing the BiFC non-specific complementation and the desired fluorescence resulting from the simultaneous binding of both TALE and MBD DNA-binding domains. Lungu and coworkers reported success in visualizing sequence-specific DNA methylation and histone 3 lysine 9 trimethylation using a very similar BiFC approach with zinc fingers, TALE, and CRISPR/dCas9 recombinant domains. ${ }^{26}$


Figure D. 3. HEK293T cells transfected with constructs based on BiFC pair VN210/VC210 with the short linker. Cells were imaged 24 h after transfection.


Figure D. 4. HEK293T cells transfected with constructs based on BiFC pair VN210/VC210 with the long linker. Cells were imaged 24 h after transfection. R20-mCherry was used as a positive control for telomere binding (red signal).

## Supporting Information



Figure D. 5. Expression of PPM-13 (MW 78.5 kDa ). Top: SDS-PAGE gel showing PPM13 being expressed 5 hours after induction with IPTG. Bottom: The expression was confirmed in three expression E. coli hosts except for BL21(DE3)pLysS by anti-His tag Western blot.


Figure D. 6. Expression of PPM-13 (MW 78.5 kDa ) and PPM-17 (MW 95.6 kDa ) in BL21(DE3). Top: SDS-PAGE gel showing PPM-17 being expressed 5 hours after induction with IPTG. Bottom: The expression was confirmed in expression E. coli host BL21(DE3) by anti-His tag Western blot.


Figure D. 7. PPM-17 purification. Top: Fractionation of cell lysate based on solubility. Red arrow indicates PPM-17 band in soluble fraction (SF). For detailed purification steps, refer to Appendix E. 6. Bottom: SF of PPM-17 purified by a native Ni column. The purity of E300 was found to be $91 \%$ by densitometry. SF: soluble fraction; SP: supernatant of Triton wash; FT: flow through; W1 and W2: wash with $500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole, 20 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.9 ; HW: wash with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole, 20 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.9 . E50 to E500-2: Elutes with $500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 50-500 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole, 20 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.9 .


Figure D. 8. HpaII digestion of 57 and m57. The methylation level of m57 was determined to be $93 \%$ by densitometry.


Figure D. 9. HpaII digestion of pJB-91 to check methylation level. Methylation of pJB-91 was accomplished by co-transformation with pAIT2, which encodes methyl transferase M.SssI. Similar methylation was achieved among three clones with pJB-91 and pAIT2.


Figure D. 10. Illustration of methylation detection of the one-piece probe (PPM-17).


Figure D. 11. Digestion of HRV3C control protein with HRV3C in HRV3C buffer and binding buffer.


Figure D. 12. Binding assay of PPM-17 in HRV3C buffer with $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ dIdC polymer.


Figure D. 13. HRV3C digestion of PPM-17 with different HRV3C:PPM-17 ratios. PPM17 arrow indicates undigested PPM-17; TALE arrow indicates TALE domain from digested PPM-17; HRV3C arrow indicates HRV3C protease.


Figure D. 14. PPM-17 digestion efficiency assessed by densitometry. Corresponding SDSPAGE gels can be found in Figure D. 13.


Figure D. 15. HRV3C digestion of PPM-17 at different temperatures. PPM-17 arrow indicates undigested PPM-17; TALE arrow indicates TALE domain from digested PPM17; HRV3C arrow indicates HRV3C protease.


Figure D. 16. PPM-17 digestion efficiency assessed by densitometry. Corresponding SDSPAGE gels can be found in Figure D. 15.


Figure D. 17. PPM-17 digestion by HRV3C at room temperature and $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (top) and PPM17 digestion efficiency assessed by densitometry (bottom).
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## APPENDIX E. PROTOCOLS

## E. 1 Amine Thiolation

Reagents

- EDTA
- Phosphate buffer (PB) (or other non-amine buffer)
- Traut's reagent (Stock solution: 700 mM in PB or in acidic non-amine buffer)
- Fresh urea


## Procedure

- Dissolve protein in 8 M urea ( pH 8.0 ) with 100 mM PB (with 2-5 mM EDTA, optional)
- Add Traut's stock solution into the protein solution to a 100 -fold molar excess
- Incubate the solution for 1 hour at room temperature
- (Optional: add 10 mM final concentration of TCEP and incubate at RT for 15 min )
- Dialyze against MQ or use desalting column to remove unreacted Traut's reagent

Notes

- The reaction requires a slightly alkaline condition
- Traut's reagent could be in 10 - to 50 -fold molar excess
- The extent of conversion can be quantified by Ellman's assay


## E. 2 Ellman's Assay

This assay was used to quantify reduced thiol contents in purified proteins or other thiolcontaining samples.

The amounts and volumes below are enough for 10 standards in triplicate

1. Make 40 mL of reaction buffer ( 0.1 M phosphate buffer with 1 mM EDTA, pH 8 )
2. Make Ellman's stock solution: 2 mg of Ellman's reagent in 0.5 mL reaction buffer
3. Prepare Ellman's working solution by adding 200 uL Ellman's stock solution to 10.1037 mL of reaction buffer
4. Read blank plate at 412 nm
5. Pipette $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each standard into each well
6. Pipette $155 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Ellman's working solution into each well
7. Mix for 5 min at RT
8. Read at 412 nm
9. To make reaction buffer with 5 mM EDTA, add 11.69 mg EDTA or add $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 0.5 M EDTA stock solution into 40 mL of reaction buffer

| No. | $\mathbf{m M}$ | Amount | Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.5 | 1.8174 mg Cys | 10 mL |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1 | $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ sol. $1+100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ blank |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.75 | $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ sol. $1+150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ blank |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.5 | $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ sol. $1+200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ blank |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.25 | $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ sol. $4+150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ blank |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0.125 | $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ sol. $5+150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ blank |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.0625 | $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ sol. $6+150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ blank |  |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 0.03125 | $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ sol. $7+150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ blank |  |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 0.015625 | $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ sol. $8+150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ blank |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 0 | Blank $=$ reaction buffer |  |

## E. 3 Alkylation of Cysteine

This protocol was used to block thiols from forming disulfide bonds or other undesired conjugations.

## Reagents

1. Phosphate buffer (PB)
2. Iodoacetamide (IAA)
3. TCEP
4. Urea

## Procedure

1. Dissolve proteins in 8 M urea ( pH 8.0 ) with 100 mM PB and 10 mM TCEP
2. Incubate the protein solution at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min
3. Cool down the solution to RT
4. Right before use, prepare IAA stock solution at 375 mM in MQ with 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate at pH 8.0.
5. Add IAA stock solution into the protein solution to a final concentration of 20 mM
6. Incubate the solution for $1-1.5 \mathrm{hr}$ in the dark at room temperature
7. Dialyze against $5 \% \mathrm{AcOH}$ to remove IAA

## Notes

1. The reaction requires a slightly alkaline condition, other pH leads to alkylation of Lys, Met, His, Asp, Glu
2. If using reducing agent other than TCEP (e.g. DTT), incubate the protein solution at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The temperature should not be higher than $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to prevent urea-based carbamylation of Lys and protein N-termini
3. IAA is sensitive to light, the stock solution should always be made fresh and kept in dark
4. Prolonged reaction time could lead to alkylation of other residues
5. The extent of alkylation can be quantified by Ellman's assay

## E. 4 Amine Acetylation

This protocol was developed to block amines from nucleophilic reactions.

1. Prepare $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ protein solution in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate buffer
2. Make acetylation buffer ( $25(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) \%$ acetic anhydride with $(75 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) \%$ methanol)
3. Mix protein solution with 2-5 times acetylation buffer by volume
4. Incubate at room temperature for at least 30 min
5. Stop the reaction by extensive dialysis at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

## E. 5 Carboxyl-Hydrazide Crosslinking

Carboxylic groups on aspartic acid, glutamic acid, or the C-terminus will react with hydrazides on adipic acid dihydrazide (ADH) in the presence of 4-(4,6-dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-methylmorpholinium chloride (DMTMM) at neutral pH . The chain length of ADH is $11.1 \AA$, which is close to that of a previously reported chemical crosslinker used in verification of leucine zipper oligomerization.

- ADH stock solution: $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in PBS
- DMTMM stock solution: $144 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in PBS
- Mix protein solution, ADH stock solution, and DMTMM stock solution to achieve final concentrations as $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ protein, $8.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL} \mathrm{ADH}$, and 12 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ DMTMM
- Incubate the solution at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one hour
- Samples can be analyzed directly by SDS-PAGE


## E. 6 Cell Lysate Fractionation

Buffer preparation

| Buffer | Composition |
| :--- | :--- |
| Wash Buffer | 50 mM Tris Cl pH 7.5 |
|  | 0.1 M NaCl |
|  | 1 mM benzamidine (BZA) |
|  | 1 mM beta mercaptoethanol (BME) |
| Triton buffer | Wash buffer with 0.01\% Triton X-100 |
| His Denature | 6 M Gu HCl |
|  | 10 mM Beta mercaptoethanol |
|  | $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaH2PO} 4 \mathrm{pH} 7.9$ |
| Elution buffer pH 6.3 | 0.3 M NaCl |
| Elution buffer pH 4.5 | $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Tris-Cl} \mathrm{pH} \mathrm{7.9}$ |
| Wash buffer pH 7.2 | His Denature at pH 6.3 |
| TALE dialysis and storage buffer | His Denature at pH 4.5 |
|  | His Denature at pH 7.2 |

## Fractionization

1. Centrifuge culture medium at 2900 xg at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 25 min
2. Resuspend the cell pellet at 2 mL Wash buffer $/ 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ culture
3. Sonicate the slurry 15 times with 20 -second on/off intervals on ice at a moderate power. Collect and label it as WCE.
4. Add four times of resuspension volume of Wash Buffer and centrifuge the slurry at $15,000 \mathrm{xg}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 25 min
5. For the supernatant: Take a sample and label it as SF (Soluble Fraction)
6. For the pellet: Resuspend the pellet in 6 to 10 mL of Triton Buffer and pour the resuspended pellet in a tight douncer. Add 4 mL of Triton buffer to wash the falcon tube and add this volume to the douncer to homogenize. Transfer the solution to a 50 mLl falcon tube and use 5 mL of Triton Buffer to wash the douncer. Mix all the liquids together
7. Centrifuge the solution at $15,000 \mathrm{xg}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 25 min
8. For the supernatant: Take a sample and label as SP (Supernatant)
9. For the pellet: Resuspend the pellet in 5 mL of His-Denat Buffer and pour the resuspended pellet in a tight douncer. Add 2.5 mL of His-Denat to wash the falcon tube and add this volume to the douncer to homogenize. Transfer the solution to a 50 mL falcon tube and use 2.5 mL of His-Denat buffer to wash the douncer. Mix all the liquids together and stir for one hour at room temperature
10. Centrifuge the solution at $15,000 \mathrm{xg}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 25 min
11. For the Pellet: Take a sample and label it as Pel
12. For the rest: Take a sample and label it as Total
13. Run a SDS-PAGE gel to check protein concentration in each fraction
14. For proteins in SF, purify with native Ni column; for proteins in other fractions, purify with denatured Ni column
15. Dialyze purified protein against a desired buffer

## E. 7 Protein-DNA Binding Assay (Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay, EMSA)

1. Binding buffer: 10 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.9,100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 50 \mathrm{ng} / \mu$ of poly dI:dC
2. Use $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ methylated DNA or unmethylated DNA containing the TALE binding sites
3. Final protein concentration $=23 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Dilute this stock with the TALE storage buffer as needed, such that $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the diluted protein is added to the $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ reaction mixture.
4. Allow the reaction to proceed for 30 min at room temperature
5. Assess the binding on $6 \mathrm{wt} \%$ TBE-PAGE gel by mixing samples ( 5 parts) with $25 \%$ sucrose (2 parts)
6. Run the gel at 150 V for 35 min
7. Stain with EtBr solution for at least 30 min and destain in water for at least 30 min

## E. 8 Regular Salting-Out and Heating Purification

1. Lyse cells
a. Add 2 mL of lysing buffer (Urea 8 M, Tris-Cl $10 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} 100 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 8$ ) per $g$ of cells and ensure cells are resuspended thoroughly
b. Freeze at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for at least 1 hr
c. Defrost, agitate by vortexing for 30 minutes (can be done at room temperature)
d. Centrifuge ( $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $20 \mathrm{~min}, 8000 \mathrm{RCF}$ ). Store cleared lysate at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
2. Salt out protein
a. Thaw cleared lysate on ice
b. Add $10 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v} \%$ ammonium sulfate $(100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ to salt out undesired proteins. Vortex 30 s and incubate on ice for 10 min .
c. Centrifuge $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}, 8000 \mathrm{RCF}\right)$.
d. Transfer cleared solution to another tube and add the same amount of ammonium sulfate as in part b for a total of $20 \mathrm{wt} \%$ to salt out the desired protein. Vortex 30 s and incubate on ice for 10 min .
e. Centrifuge $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}, 8000 \mathrm{RCF}\right)$.
f. Weigh resulting pellet; re-suspend $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in water.
3. Separation based on heat stability
a. Heat $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ protein solution at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , vortex, heat again for 5 min, vortex
b. Cool down to $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
c. Centrifuge, $11000 \mathrm{RCF}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$ (do it twice if it is hard to separate the solids)
4. Dialysis
a. Add cleared lysate from heat step to dialysis tubing in water in cold room
b. Exchange water at 2-3 hours
c. Finish dialysis after 3-5 more hours, centrifuge aggregates $11000 \mathrm{RCF}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$
d. Freeze dry overnight, store in desiccant in the refrigerator

## E. 9 Denatured Ni-IPA Column for Endotoxin Removal

- Buffer B, pH 8; Buffer C, pH 6.3 (1 L)
- $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
- 10 mM Tris- HCl
- 8 M Urea
- 300 mM NaCl
- Native buffer D, pH 8 ( 100 mL )
- 10 mM Tris- HCl
- 300 mM NaCl
- Buffer D/IPA, pH 8 ( 100 mL )
- 10 mM Tris- HCl
- $40 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{MQ}+60 \mathrm{~mL}$ isopropanol (IPA)
- 300 mM NaCl
- Elute buffer E, pH 8 ( 100 mL )
- 10 mM Tris- HCl
- 500 mM Imidazole
- 300 mM NaCl
- Equilibrate the column with 2-times bed volume of buffer B
- Incubate for 1 hr at RT
- Flow through of buffer B
- Wash twice with 5-times bed volume of buffer C
- Wash once with 5-times bed volume of native buffer D
- Wash once with 5-times bed volume of buffer D/IPA followed by 5-times bed volume of native buffer D
- Repeat above for 2 more times
- Elute with elute buffer E for 10 -times of bed volume
- Notes:
- For Cys-containing proteins, $10 \mathrm{mM} \beta$-mercaptoethanol can be added in all buffers except in elute buffer E
- Elutes can be reduced with 20 mM DTT before dialysis
- It is recommended to do a step-down dialysis with $300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 100$ mM NaCL , and then water


## E. 10 Purification of YC12 Proteins

Proteins: SK-YC12-His (YC12), SK-YC12-BMP-His (YC12-M), SK-YC12-(BMP) $2_{2}$-His (YC12-D), SK-YC12-(BMP)4-His (YC12-T)

## Expression and Harvesting

1. Express proteins in 2 xYT with an inoculation ratio of $1: 100$. Induce at $\mathrm{OD}=0.6-$ 0.8 at 37 C , shaking at 300 rpm with 1.25 mM IPTG $(298.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) for 3 hr (to 5 hr)
2. Harvest cells at 700 RCF for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
3. Collect pellets in 50 mL tubes (preweigh). Resuspend pellets in Buffer B at $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{~ m L} / \mathbf{g}$ wet pellet
4. Freeze at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after resuspension
5. Thaw the resuspension; add $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{m M}$ BME ( $\mathbf{1 4 . 0 3} \boldsymbol{\mu L} / \mathbf{m L}$ ). Sonicate with a tip sonicator at a moderate power with 30 sec on/off cycle on ice. Mix the resuspension every 10 cycles until completing $\mathbf{4 0}$ cycles (total sonication time $\mathbf{= 2 0} \mathbf{~ m i n}$ )
6. Centrifuge sonicated resuspension at 11000 rcf for 25 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
7. Collect supernatant (=cleared lysate $=\mathrm{CL}$ ). CL can be stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

## Salting out

8. Keep CL on ice (if stored at $\mathbf{- 2 0}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, add $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ m M ~ B M E ~ a f t e r ~ t h a w i n g ) ; ~ r e c o r d ~}$ the volume and
a. For $\mathrm{YC} 12, \mathrm{YC} 12-\mathrm{M}$, and $\mathrm{YC} 12-\mathrm{D}$
i. Add ammonium sulfate (AS) at $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ CL ( $10 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v} \%$ ); vortex to make sure AS is completely dissolved. Incubate on ice for $>10$ min
ii. Centrifuge at 11000 RCF for 25 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
iii. Collect supernatant (10S) in pre-weighed tubes; add the same amount of AS in step i (for example, if used 1 g AS in step i , add another 1 g AS to 10 S )
iv. Vortex, incubate, and centrifuge as before
v. Collect pellet (20P)
b. For YC12-T
i. Add AS at $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL} \mathrm{CL}$
ii. Vortex, incubate, and centrifuge as before
iii. Collect pellet (20P)

## ITC

9. Resuspend 20P pellets
a. For YC12, at $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in ice-cold PBS with 200 mM BME
b. For $\mathrm{YC} 12-\mathrm{M},-\mathrm{D}$, and -T , at $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in $\mathbf{2 ~ M}$ urea in PBS with $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ m M}$ BME

Vigorous mixing is necessary (i.e., long vortexing, vigorous pipetting, or overnight stirring). Keep resuspension on ice
10. Centrifuge at 11000 RCF for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
11. Collect supernatant (CS0); register the volume and add NaCl to 2 M (e.g., 10 mL CS0 needs 1.2 g NaCl )
12. CS 0 should turn cloudy after dissolving NaCl at RT ; if not, increase $[\mathrm{NaCl}]$ to 3 M
13. Centrifuge cloudy CS0 at 11000 RCF at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min
14. Collect pellet (HP1) and resuspend HP1 using the same solution and the same volume used in step 9. Vigorous mixing is necessary to completely resuspend HP1
15. Centrifuge at 11000 RCF for 15 min at 4 C
16. Collect supernatant (CS1). CS1 can be stored in $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Dialysis

17. Record the volume and add DTT to a final concentration of 50 mM
18. Incubate at RT for 10 min
19. Record the volume to calculate the length of dialysis membrane
20. Dialyze CS1 against pre-chilled RO water in the cold room until achieving $10^{6}$ dilution-by-volume
21. Centrifuge dialyzed solution at 11000 RCF for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
22. Collect supernatant (dia. Sup) and immediately flash-freeze it in liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$
23. Lyophilize the frozen solution immediately

## E. 11 Plasmid Isolation from E. Coli

This protocol can be used for checking cloning results but not for preparing plasmids for cloning/stock purposes.

1. Resuspend the cell pellet in $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Solution A ( 25 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0,10 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ RNAse)
2. Mix with $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Lysis buffer ( $200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaOH}, 1 \% \mathrm{SDS}$ )
3. Add $300 \mu \mathrm{~L} 5 \mathrm{M}$ Potassium acetate, pH 5.5
4. Centrifuge, take supernatant
5. Add $700 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ isopropanol. Incubate on ice for 10 min
6. Centrifuge, take pellet
7. Wash with $500 \mathrm{uL} 70 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$
8. Centrifuge, dry pellet
9. Resuspend in Tris-EDTA buffer

## E. 12 Stem Cell Isolation from Bone Marrow (Mice, Rats, and Rabbits)

## Preparation:

Gloves
Isofuorane
cotton or tissue
$70 \%$ ethanol
Container to anaesthetize mouse
Biohazard bag to discard dead mouse
Sterile scissors (2 pairs)
Sterile tweezers (4 pairs)
Dissection board/Styrofoam lid
Board pins to hold mouse

Ice bucket with ice
Syringe ( 10 mL ) and needles (27-30 gauge)
50 mL conical tubes
Medium: Low-glucose DMEM
Complete medium: Low-glucose DMEM + 20\% FBS +P/S + L-glutamine
Sterile Swinney filters

## Bone collection:

1. Put animal to sleep with isofluorane and kill by cervical dislocation
2. Disinfect mouse by removing traces of urine and wet thoroughly with $70 \%$ ethanol
3. Use sterile tools to cut. Use a pair of scissors and tweezers to dissect the mouse open and a different pair for cutting the bone. Try not to touch the skin when removing bones.
4. Make a cut at the lower abdominal wall
5. Remove femur and tibia together. Try to take the whole femur by going all the way up to vertebral column. Quickly clean off the connective tissues attached to the bone as much as you can and put the bones in cold medium until further use.

## Marrow flushing:

1. Have 2 plates filled with medium handy. Put bones into one plate, clean off connective tissue, and separate femur and tibia by cutting the cap in between.
2. Transfer clean bone to new plate with medium. Cut open the ends of bones.
3. Use a $10-\mathrm{mL}$ syringe and needle ( 27 or 30 gauge) to flush marrow using medium. Make sure to flush all marrow. It may be necessary to inject medium at both the ends to get all the marrow.
4. Break the clumps, if any, by pipetting up and down. Centrifuge the marrow suspension for 10 min at $2000 \mathrm{rpm}(\sim 931 \mathrm{xg})$ and resuspend in 10 mL complete medium. Use complete medium in the following steps.
5. To lyse red blood cells, add 15 mL of sterile water and mix thoroughly by inverting for 30 sec and then make up the volume to 50 mL with medium.
a. For mouse: 10 mL resuspension media +15 mL water
b. For Rat: 10 mL resuspension media +30 mL water
c. For rabbit: 10 mL resuspension media +30 mL water
6. Centrifuge for 10 min at $2000 \mathrm{rpm}(\sim 931 \mathrm{xg})$, resuspend cells in medium (10-20 mL , depending upon the pellet size, pellet at this point should be white).
7. Count cells and plate $\left(\sim 1.82 \times 10^{5}\right.$ cells $\left./ \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$
(Cells must be in the medium within 15-20 min after the animal has been sacrificed.)

## Maintenance:

1. Change medium first time on day 4 with alpha-MEM medium. Subsequent medium changes should be performed every other day.
2. When cells get confluent, add freshly made ascorbic acid ( $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for first feeding and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for subsequent feedings) and $\beta$-glycerol phosphate for osteoblast differentiation.

## E. 13 Acid-Wash Coverslips

1) Heat coverslips in a loosely covered glass beaker in 1 M HCl at $50-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $4-16 \mathrm{~h}$
2) Cool to room temperature
3) Rinse out 1 M HCl with MQ
4) Fill container with MQ and sonicate in water bath for 30 mins
5) Repeat step 4 two times
6) Fill container with $50 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ and $50 \% \mathrm{MQ}$ and sonicate in water bath for 30 min
7) Fill container with $70 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ and $30 \% \mathrm{MQ}$ and sonicate in water bath for 30 min
8) Fill container with $70 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ and store in fridge

## APPENDIX F. CLONING SCHEMES AND SEQUENCES

## F. 1 Cloning Schemes



Figure F. 1. Cloning scheme of pET21Q-LZE-BMP2GC


Figure F. 2. Cloning scheme of pET21Q-COMP-RGDGC


Figure F. 3. Cloning scheme of pUC57-HZE-BMP2GC


Figure F. 4. Cloning scheme of pET21Q-HZE-BMP2GC


Figure F. 5. Cloning scheme of pUC57-COMP-BMP2GC


Figure F. 6. Cloning scheme of pET21Q-COMP-BMP2GC


Figure F. 7. Cloning scheme of pJB-RZY10


Figure F. 8. Cloning scheme of pJB-RZY10-LZR


Figure F. 9. Cloning of pET28aCYL-LZR


Figure F. 10. Cloning scheme of pJB-RZY10-COMP


Figure F. 11. Cloning scheme of pET28aRW-RZY10-COMP


Figure F. 12. Cloning scheme of pJB-HZR


Figure F. 13. Cloning scheme of pET21b-RZY10-HZR


Figure F. 14. Cloning scheme of pJB-YC12 with recursive directional ligation strategy. This scheme can be applied to other pJB constructs as long as the sequence of interest (e.g., YC8) is flanked by compatible ends that are not compatible with ScaI.


Figure F. 15. Cloning scheme of pJB-YC12-M.


Figure F. 16. Cloning scheme of pET21Q-SKGPG-YC12-M.


Figure F. 17. Cloning scheme of pET21Q-SKGPG-YC12-RGD.

## F. 2 pET28aCYL Expression Vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { tggcgaatgggacgcgccctgtagcggcgcattaagcgcggcgggtgtggtggttacgcgcagcgtgaccgctac } \\
& 76 \text { acttgccagcgccctagcgcccgctcctttcgctttcttcccttcctttctcgccacgttcgccggctttccccg } \\
& 151 \text { tcaagctctaaatcgggggctccctttagggttccgatttagtgctttacggcacctcgaccccaaaaaacttga } \\
& 226 \text { ttagggtgatggttcacgtagtgggccatcgccctgatagacggtttttcgccctttgacgttggagtccacgtt } \\
& 301 \text { ctttaatagtggactcttgttccaaactggaacaacactcaaccctatctcggtctattcttttgatttataagg } \\
& 376 \text { gattttgccgatttcggcctattggttaaaaaatgagctgatttaacaaaaatttaacgcgaattttaacaaaat } \\
& 451 \text { attaacgtttacaatttcaggtggcacttttcggggaaatgtgcgcggaacccctatttgtttatttttctaaat } \\
& 526 \text { acattcaaatatgtatccgctcatgaattaattcttagaaaaactcatcgagcatcaaatgaaactgcaatttat } \\
& 601 \text { tcatatcaggattatcaataccatatttttgaaaaagccgtttctgtaatgaaggagaaaactcaccgaggcagt } \\
& 676 \text { tccataggatggcaagatcctggtatcggtctgcgattccgactcgtccaacatcaatacaacctattaatttcc } \\
& 751 \text { cctcgtcaaaaataaggttatcaagtgagaaatcaccatgagtgacgactgaatccggtgagaatggcaaaagtt } \\
& 826 \text { tatgcatttctttccagacttgttcaacaggccagccattacgctcgtcatcaaaatcactcgcatcaaccaaac } \\
& 901 \text { cgttattcattcgtgattgcgcctgagcgagacgaaatacgcgatcgctgttaaaaggacaattacaaacaggaa } \\
& 976 \text { tcgaatgcaaccggcgcaggaacactgccagcgcatcaacaatattttcacctgaatcaggatattcttctaata } \\
& 1051 \\
& 1051
\end{aligned}
$$

1051 cctggaatgctgttttcccggggatcgcagtggtgagtaaccatgcatcatcaggagtacggataaaatgcttga
1126 tggtcggaagaggcataaattccgtcagccagtttagtctgaccatctcatctgtaacatcattggcaacgctac
1201 ctttgccatgtttcagaaacaactctggcgcatcgggcttcccatacaatcgatagattgtcgcacctgattgcc
1276 cgacattatcgcgagcccatttatacccatataaatcagcatccatgttggaatttaatcgcggcctagagcaag
1351 acgtttcccgttgaatatggctcataacaccccttgtattactgtttatgtaagcagacagttttattgttcatg
1426 accaaaatcccttaacgtgagttttcgttccactgagcgtcagaccccgtagaaaagatcaaaggatcttcttga 1501 gatcctttttttctgcgcgtaatctgctgcttgcaaacaaaaaaaccaccgctaccagcggtggtttgtttgccg 1576 gatcaagagctaccaactctttttccgaaggtaactggcttcagcagagcgcagataccaaatactgtccttcta 1651 gtgtagccgtagttaggccaccacttcaagaactctgtagcaccgcctacatacctcgctctgctaatcctgtta 1726 ccagtggctgctgccagtggcgataagtcgtgtcttaccgggttggactcaagacgatagttaccggataaggcg

1801 cagcggtcgggctgaacggggggttcgtgcacacagcccagcttggagcgaacgacctacaccgaactgagatac 1876 ctacagcgtgagctatgagaaagcgccacgcttcccgaagggagaaaggcggacaggtatccggtaagcggcagg 1951 gtcggaacaggagagcgcacgagggagcttccagggggaaacgcctggtatctttatagtcctgtcgggtttcgc 2026 cacctctgacttgagcgtcgatttttgtgatgctcgtcaggggggcggagcctatggaaaaacgccagcaacgcg
2101 gcctttttacggttcctggccttttgctggccttttgctcacatgttctttcctgcgttatcccctgattctgtg
2176 gataaccgtattaccgcctttgagtgagctgataccgctcgccgcagccgaacgaccgagcgcagcgagtcagtg 2251 SapI |nnnngaagagc2259

2251 agcgaggaagcggaagagcgcctgatgcggtattttctccttacgcatctgtgcggtatttcacaccgcatatat
2326 ggtgcactctcagtacaatctgctctgatgccgcatagttaagccagtatacactccgctatcgctacgtgactg
2401 ggtcatggctgcgccccgacacccgccaacacccgctgacgcgccctgacgggcttgtctgctcccggcatccgc
2476 ttacagacaagctgtgaccgtctccgggagctgcatgtgtcagaggttttcaccgtcatcaccgaaacgcgcgag
2551 gcagctgcggtaaagctcatcagcgtggtcgtgaagcgattcacagatgtctgcctgttcatccgcgtccagctc
2626 gttgagtttctccagaagcgttaatgtctggcttctgataaagcgggccatgttaagggcggttttttcctgttt
2701 ggtcactgatgcctccgtgtaagggggatttctgttcatgggggtaatgataccgatgaaacgagagaggatgct 2776 cacgatacgggttactgatgatgaacatgcccggttactggaacgttgtgagggtaaacaactggcggtatggat

AfeI agclgct2892
2851 gcggcgggaccagagaaaaatcactcagggtcaatgccagcgcttcgttaatacagatgtaggtgttccacaggg
2926 tagccagcagcatcctgcgatgcagatccggaacataatggtgcagggcgctgacttccgcgtttccagacttta
3001 cgaaacacggaaaccgaagaccattcatgttgttgctcaggtcgcagacgttttgcagcagcagtcgcttcacgt
3076 PpuMI rg|gwccy3137
3076 tcgctcgcgtatcggtgattcattctgctaaccagtaaggcaaccccgccagcctagccgggtcctcaacgacag
3151 gagcacgatcatgcgcacccgtggggccgccatgccggcgataatggcctgcttctcgccgaaacgtttggtggc
3226 gggaccagtgacgaaggcttgagcgagggcgtgcaagattccgaataccgcaagcgacaggccgatcatcgtcgc 3301 AfeI agc|gct3341

3301 gctccagcgaaagcggtcctcgccgaaaatgacccagagcgctgccggcacctgtcctacgagttgcatgataaa
3376 gaagacagtcataagtgcggcgacgatagtcatgccccgcgcccaccggaaggagctgactgggttgaaggctct
3451 caagggcatcggtcgagatcccggtgcctaatgagtgagctaacttacattaattgcgttgcgctcactgcccgc
3526 tttccagtcgggaaacctgtcgtgccagctgcattaatgaatcggccaacgcgcggggagaggcggtttgcgtat
3601 tgggcgccagggtggtttttcttttcaccagtgagacgggcaacagctgattgcccttcaccgcctggccctgag
3676 agagttgcagcaagcggtccacgctggtttgccccagcaggcgaaaatcctgtttgatggtggttaacggcggga
3751 EcoRV gat|atc3797

3751 tataacatgagctgtcttcggtatcgtcgtatcccactaccgagatatccgcaccaacgcgcagcccggactcgg
3826 taatggcgcgcattgcgcccagcgccatctgatcgttggcaaccagcatcgcagtgggaacgatgccctcattca
3901 gcatttgcatggtttgttgaaaaccggacatggcactccagtcgccttcccgttccgctatcggctgaatttgat
3976 tgcgagtgagatatttatgccagccagccagacgcagacgcgccgagacagaacttaatgggcccgctaacagcg
4051 cgatttgctggtgacccaatgcgaccagatgctccacgcccagtcgcgtaccgtcttcatgggagaaaataatac 4126 tgttgatgggtgtctggtcagagacatcaagaaataacgccggaacattagtgcaggcagcttccacagcaatgg

4201 catcctggtcatccagcggatagttaatgatcagcccactgacgcgttgcgcgagaagattgtgcaccgccgctt 4276 tacaggcttcgacgccgcttcgttctaccatcgacaccaccacgctggcacccagttgatcggcgcgagatttaa

4351 tcgccgcgacaatttgcgacggcgcgtgcagggccagactggaggtggcaacgccaatcagcaacgactgtttgc 4426 ccgccagttgttgtgccacgcggttgggaatgtaattcagctccgccatcgccgcttccactttttcccgcgttt 4501 tcgcagaaacgtggctggcctggttcaccacgcgggaaacggtctgataagagacaccggcatactctgcgacat 4576 cgtataacgttactggtttcacattcaccaccctgaattgactctcttccgggcgctatcatgccataccgcgaa 4651 aggttttgcgccattcgatggtgtccgggatctcgacgctctcccttatgcgactcctgcattaggaagcagccc 4726 agtagtaggttgaggccgttgagcaccgccgccgcaaggaatggtgcatgcaaggagatggcgcccaacagtccc 4801

4801 ccggccacggggcctgccaccatacccacgccgaaacaagcgctcatgagcccgaagtggcgagcccgatcttcc


## F. 3 pET21Q Expression Vector

1 tggcgaatgggacgcgccctgtagcggcgcattaagcgcggcgggtgtggtggttacgcgcagcgtgaccgctac
76 acttgccagcgccctagcgcccgctcctttcgctttcttcccttcctttctcgccacgttcgccggctttccccg
151 tcaagctctaaatcgggggctccctttagggttccgatttagtgctttacggcacctcgaccccaaaaaacttga
226 ttagggtgatggttcacgtagtgggccatcgccctgatagacggtttttcgccctttgacgttggagtccacgtt
301 ctttaatagtggactcttgttccaaactggaacaacactcaaccctatctcggtctattcttttgatttataagg
376 gattttgccgatttcggcctattggttaaaaaatgagctgatttaacaaaaatttaacgcgaattttaacaaaat

901 tgagtactcaccagtcacagaaaagcatcttacggatggcatgacagtaagagaattatgcagtgctgccataac
976 catgagtgataacactgcggccaacttacttctgacaacgatcggaggaccgaaggagctaaccgcttttttgca
1051 caacatgggggatcatgtaactcgccttgatcgttgggaaccggagctgaatgaagccataccaaacgacgagcg
1126 tgacaccacgatgcctgcagcaatggcaacaacgttgcgcaaactattaactggcgaactacttactctagcttc
1201 ccggcaacaattaatagactggatggaggcggataaagttgcaggaccacttctgcgctcggcccttccggctgg

1876 tggcgataagtcgtgtcttaccgggttggactcaagacgatagttaccggataaggcgcagcggtcgggctgaac
1951 ggggggttcgtgcacacagcccagcttggagcgaacgacctacaccgaactgagatacctacagcgtgagctatg
2026 agaaagcgccacgcttcccgaagggagaaaggcggacaggtatccggtaagcggcagggtcggaacaggagagcg
2101 cacgagggagcttccagggggaaacgcctggtatctttatagtcctgtcgggtttcgccacctctgacttgagcg
2176 tcgatttttgtgatgctcgtcaggggggcggagcctatggaaaaacgccagcaacgcggcctttttacggttcct
2251 ggccttttgctggccttttgctcacatgttctttcctgcgttatcccctgattctgtggataaccgtattaccgc
2326 SapI |nnnngaag
agc2392
2326 ctttgagtgagctgataccgctcgccgcagccgaacgaccgagcgcagcgagtcagtgagcgaggaagcggaaga
2401 gcgcctgatgcggtattttctccttacgcatctgtgcggtatttcacaccgcatatatggtgcactctcagtaca
2476 atctgctctgatgccgcatagttaagccagtatacactccgctatcgctacgtgactgggtcatggctgcgcccc
2551 gacacccgccaacacccgctgacgcgccctgacgggcttgtctgctcccggcatccgcttacagacaagctgtga
2626 ccgtctccgggagctgcatgtgtcagaggttttcaccgtcatcaccgaaacgcgcgaggcagctgcggtaaagct
2701 catcagcgtggtcgtgaagcgattcacagatgtctgcctgttcatccgcgtccagctcgttgagtttctccagaa
2776 gcgttaatgtctggcttctgataaagcgggccatgttaagggcggttttttcctgtttggtcactgatgcctccg
2851 tgtaagggggatttctgttcatgggggtaatgataccgatgaaacgagagaggatgctcacgatacgggttactg
2926 atgatgaacatgcccggttactggaacgttgtgagggtaaacaactggcggtatggatgcggcgggaccagagaa 3001 AfeI agc|gct3025

3001 aatcactcagggtcaatgccagcgcttcgttaatacagatgtaggtgttccacagggtagccagcagcatcctg
3076 cgatgcagatccggaacataatggtgcagggcgctgacttccgcgtttccagactttacgaaacacggaaaccga
3151 agaccattcatgttgttgctcaggtcgcagacgttttgcagcagcagtcgcttcacgttcgctcgcgtatcggtg
3226 PpuMI rg|gwccy 3270
3226 attcattctgctaaccagtaaggcaaccccgccagcctagccgggtcctcaacgacaggagcacgatcatgcgca
3301 cccgtggggccgccatgccggcgataatggcctgcttctcgccgaaacgtttggtggcgggaccagtgacgaagg
3376 cttgagcgagggcgtgcaagattccgaataccgcaagcgacaggccgatcatcgtcgcgctccagcgaaagcggt
3451 AfeI agc|gct3474
3451 cctcgccgaaaatgacccagagcgctgccggcacctgtcctacgagttgcatgataaagaagacagtcataagtg
3526 cggcgacgatagtcatgccccgcgcccaccggaaggagctgactgggttgaaggctctcaagggcatcggtcgag
3601 atcccggtgcctaatgagtgagctaacttacattaattgcgttgcgctcactgcccgctttccagtcgggaaacc
3676 tgtcgtgccagctgcattaatgaatcggccaacgcgcggggagaggcggtttgcgtattgggcgccagggtggtt
3751 tttcttttcaccagtgagacgggcaacagctgattgcccttcaccgcctggccctgagagagttgcagcaagcgg
3826 tccacgctggtttgccccagcaggcgaaaatcctgtttgatggtggttaacggcgggatataacatgagctgtct
3901
EcoRV gatlatc3930
3901 tcggtatcgtcgtatcccactaccgagatatccgcaccaacgcgcagcccggactcggtaatggcgcgcattgcg
3976 cccagcgccatctgatcgttggcaaccagcatcgcagtgggaacgatgccctcattcagcatttgcatggtttgt
4051 tgaaaaccggacatggcactccagtcgccttcccgttccgctatcggctgaatttgattgcgagtgagatattta
4126 tgccagccagccagacgcagacgcgccgagacagaacttaatgggcccgctaacagcgcgatttgctggtgaccc
4201 aatgcgaccagatgctccacgcccagtcgcgtaccgtcttcatgggagaaaataatactgttgatgggtgtctgg
4276 tcagagacatcaagaaataacgccggaacattagtgcaggcagcttccacagcaatggcatcctggtcatccagc
4351 MluI alcgcgt4376
4351 BclI t|gatca4362
4351 ggatagttaatgatcagcccactgacgcgttgcgcgagaagattgtgcaccgccgctttacaggcttcgacgccg
4426 cttcgttctaccatcgacaccaccacgctggcacccagttgatcggcgcgagatttaatcgccgcgacaatttgc
4501 gacggcgcgtgcagggccagactggaggtggcaacgccaatcagcaacgactgtttgcccgccagttgttgtgcc
4576 acgcggttgggaatgtaattcagctccgccatcgccgcttccactttttcccgcgttttcgcagaaacgtggctg
4651 gcctggttcaccacgcgggaaacggtctgataagagacaccggcatactctgcgacatcgtataacgttactggt
4726 ttcacattcaccaccctgaattgactctcttccgggcgctatcatgccataccgcgaaaggttttgcgccattcg
4801 atggtgtccgggatctcgacgctctcccttatgcgactcctgcattaggaagcagcccagtagtaggttgaggcc

```
    4 8 7 6 ~ g t t g a g c a c c g c c g c c g c a a g g a a t g g t g c a t g c a a g g a g a t g g c g c c c a a c a g t c c c c c g g c c a c g g g g c c t g c
    4 9 5 1 ~ A f e I ~ a g c \| g c t 4 9 7 5 ~
    4 9 5 1 ~ c a c c a t a c c c a c g c c g a a a c a a g c g c t c a t g a g c c c g a a g t g g c g a g c c c g a t c t t c c c c a t c g g t g a t g t c g g c
    5 0 2 6 ~ S g r A I ~ c r \| c c g g y g 5 0 5 7 ~ B g l I I ~ a l ~
gatct5098
5 0 2 6 ~ g a t a t a g g c g c c a g c a a c c g c a c c t g t g g c g c c g g t g a t g c c g g c c a c g a t g c g t c c g g c g t a g a g g a t c g a g a t ~
5 1 0 1 ~ X b a I ~ t \| c t a g a 5 1 6 4 ~
5 1 0 1 ~ c t c g a t c c c g c g a a a t t a a t a c g a c t c a c t a t a g g g g a a t t g t g a g c g g a t a a c a a t t c c c c t c t a g a a a t a a t t
5 1 7 6 ~ N h e I ~ g l c t a g c 5 2 1 8
5 1 7 6 ~ N c o I ~ c l c a t g g 5 2 1 3 ~
5 1 7 6 ~ B a m H I ~ g l g a t c c 5 2 0 9 ~
5 1 7 6 ~ N d e I ~ c a l t a t g 5 2 0 4
    1 M M G S M M A Sllllllllllllll
5 1 7 6 \text { ttgtttaactttaagaaggagatatacatATGGGATCCATGGCTAGCATGACTGGTGGACAGCAAATGGGTCGTG}
5 2 5 1 ~ R s r I I ~ c g \| g w c c g 5 2 6 8 ~ X h o I ~ c \| t c g a g 5 3 0 5 ~
5 2 5 1 ~ P p u M I ~ r g \| g w c c y 5 2 6 1 ~ S a l I ~ g \| t c g a c 5 2 8 4 ~ A v a I ~ c \| y c g r g 5 3 0 5
5 2 5 1 ~ E c o R I ~ g l a a t t c 5 2 5 1 ~ S p e I ~ a l c t a g t 5 2 7 5 ~ N o t I ~ g c \| g g c c g c 5 2 9 7
```



```
5 2 5 1 ~ A A T T C G A G G G G T C C T C G G T C C G C A C T A G T T C C G T C G A C A A G C T T G C G G C C G C A C T C G A G C A C C A C C A C C A C C A C C ~
    42 *
5 3 2 6 ~ A C T G A g a t c c g g c t g c t a a c a a a g c c c g a a a g g a a g c t g a g t t g g c t g c t g c c a c c g c t g a g c a a t a a c t a g c a t ~
5 4 0 1 ~ a a c c c c t t g g g g c c t c t a a a c g g g t c t t g a g g g g t t t t t t g c t g a a a g g a g g a a c t a t a t c c g g a t ~
```


## F. 4 pET21Q-SKGPG Expression Vector

1 tggcgaatgggacgcgccctgtagcggcgcattaagcgcggcgggtgtggtggttacgcgcagcgtgaccgctac
76 acttgccagcgccctagcgcccgctcctttcgctttcttcccttcctttctcgccacgttcgccggctttccccg
151 tcaagctctaaatcgggggctccctttagggttccgatttagtgctttacggcacctcgaccccaaaaaacttga
226 ttagggtgatggttcacgtagtgggccatcgccctgatagacggtttttcgccctttgacgttggagtccacgtt
301 ctttaatagtggactcttgttccaaactggaacaacactcaaccctatctcggtctattcttttgatttataagg
376 gattttgccgatttcggcctattggttaaaaaatgagctgatttaacaaaaatttaacgcgaattttaacaaaat
451 attaacgtttacaatttcaggtggcacttttcggggaaatgtgcgcggaacccctatttgtttatttttctaaat
526 acattcaaatatgtatccgctcatgagacaataaccctgataaatgcttcaataatattgaaaaaggaagagtat
601 gagtattcaacatttccgtgtcgcccttattcccttttttgcggcattttgccttcctgtttttgctcacccaga
676 aacgctggtgaaagtaaaagatgctgaagatcagttgggtgcacgagtgggttacatcgaactggatctcaacag
751 cggtaagatccttgagagttttcgccccgaagaacgttttccaatgatgagcacttttaaagttctgctatgtgg
826 cgcggtattatcccgtattgacgccgggcaagagcaactcggtcgccgcatacactattctcagaatgacttggt
901 ScaI agt|act906
901 tgagtactcaccagtcacagaaaagcatcttacggatggcatgacagtaagagaattatgcagtgctgccataac
976 catgagtgataacactgcggccaacttacttctgacaacgatcggaggaccgaaggagctaaccgcttttttgca
1051 caacatgggggatcatgtaactcgccttgatcgttgggaaccggagctgaatgaagccataccaaacgacgagcg
1126 tgacaccacgatgcctgcagcaatggcaacaacgttgcgcaaactattaactggcgaactacttactctagcttc
1201 ccggcaacaattaatagactggatggaggcggataaagttgcaggaccacttctgcgctcggcccttccggctgg
1276 ctggtttattgctgataaatctggagccggtgagcgtgggtctcgcggtatcattgcagcactggggccagatgg
1351 taagccctcccgtatcgtagttatctacacgacggggagtcaggcaactatggatgaacgaaatagacagatcgc
1426 tgagataggtgcctcactgattaagcattggtaactgtcagaccaagtttactcatatatactttagattgattt 1501 aaaacttcatttttaatttaaaaggatctaggtgaagatcctttttgataatctcatgaccaaaatcccttaacg

1576 tgagttttcgttccactgagcgtcagaccccgtagaaaagatcaaaggatcttcttgagatcctttttttctgcg
1651 cgtaatctgctgcttgcaaacaaaaaaaccaccgctaccagcggtggtttgtttgccggatcaagagctaccaac
1726 tctttttccgaaggtaactggcttcagcagagcgcagataccaaatactgtccttctagtgtagccgtagttagg
1801 ccaccacttcaagaactctgtagcaccgcctacatacctcgctctgctaatcctgttaccagtggctgctgccag
1876 tggcgataagtcgtgtcttaccgggttggactcaagacgatagttaccggataaggcgcagcggtcgggctgaac
1951 ggggggttcgtgcacacagcccagcttggagcgaacgacctacaccgaactgagatacctacagcgtgagctatg
2026 agaaagcgccacgcttcccgaagggagaaaggcggacaggtatccggtaagcggcagggtcggaacaggagagcg
2101 cacgagggagcttccagggggaaacgcctggtatctttatagtcctgtcgggtttcgccacctctgacttgagcg
2176 tcgatttttgtgatgctcgtcaggggggcggagcctatggaaaaacgccagcaacgcggcctttttacggttcct
2251 ggccttttgctggccttttgctcacatgttctttcctgcgttatcccctgattctgtggataaccgtattaccgc
2326 SapI |nnnngaag
agc2392
2326 ctttgagtgagctgataccgctcgccgcagccgaacgaccgagcgcagcgagtcagtgagcgaggaagcggaaga
2401 gcgcctgatgcggtattttctccttacgcatctgtgcggtatttcacaccgcatatatggtgcactctcagtaca
2476 atctgctctgatgccgcatagttaagccagtatacactccgctatcgctacgtgactgggtcatggctgcgcccc
2551 gacacccgccaacacccgctgacgcgccctgacgggcttgtctgctcccggcatccgcttacagacaagctgtga
2626 ccgtctccgggagctgcatgtgtcagaggttttcaccgtcatcaccgaaacgcgcgaggcagctgcggtaaagct
2701 catcagcgtggtcgtgaagcgattcacagatgtctgcctgttcatccgcgtccagctcgttgagtttctccagaa
2776 gcgttaatgtctggcttctgataaagcgggccatgttaagggcggttttttcctgtttggtcactgatgcctccg
2851 tgtaagggggatttctgttcatgggggtaatgataccgatgaaacgagagaggatgctcacgatacgggttactg
2926 atgatgaacatgcccggttactggaacgttgtgagggtaaacaactggcggtatggatgcggcgggaccagagaa
3001 AfeI agc|gct3025
3001 aaatcactcagggtcaatgccagcgcttcgttaatacagatgtaggtgttccacagggtagccagcagcatcctg
3076 cgatgcagatccggaacataatggtgcagggcgctgacttccgcgtttccagactttacgaaacacggaaaccga
3151 agaccattcatgttgttgctcaggtcgcagacgttttgcagcagcagtcgcttcacgttcgctcgcgtatcggtg
PpuMI rg|gwccy3270
3226 attcattctgctaaccagtaaggcaaccccgccagcctagccgggtcctcaacgacaggagcacgatcatgcgca
3301 cccgtggggccgccatgccggcgataatggcctgcttctcgccgaaacgtttggtggcgggaccagtgacgaagg
3376 cttgagcgagggcgtgcaagattccgaataccgcaagcgacaggccgatcatcgtcgcgctccagcgaaagcggt
AfeI agc|gct3474
3451 cctcgccgaaaatgacccagagcgctgccggcacctgtcctacgagttgcatgataaagaagacagtcataagtg
3526 cggcgacgatagtcatgccccgcgcccaccggaaggagctgactgggttgaaggctctcaagggcatcggtcgag
3601 atcccggtgcctaatgagtgagctaacttacattaattgcgttgcgctcactgcccgctttccagtcgggaaacc
3676 tgtcgtgccagctgcattaatgaatcggccaacgcgcggggagaggcggtttgcgtattgggcgccagggtggtt
3751 tttcttttcaccagtgagacgggcaacagctgattgcccttcaccgcctggccctgagagagttgcagcaagcgg
3826 tccacgctggtttgccccagcaggcgaaaatcctgtttgatggtggttaacggcgggatataacatgagctgtct
3901 EcoRV gat|atc3930

3901 tcggtatcgtcgtatcccactaccgagatatccgcaccaacgcgcagcccggactcggtaatggcgcgcattgcg
3976 cccagcgccatctgatcgttggcaaccagcatcgcagtgggaacgatgccctcattcagcatttgcatggtttgt
4051 tgaaaaccggacatggcactccagtcgccttcccgttccgctatcggctgaatttgattgcgagtgagatattta
4126 tgccagccagccagacgcagacgcgccgagacagaacttaatgggcccgctaacagcgcgatttgctggtgaccc
4201 aatgcgaccagatgctccacgcccagtcgcgtaccgtcttcatgggagaaaataatactgttgatgggtgtctgg
4276 tcagagacatcaagaaataacgccggaacattagtgcaggcagcttccacagcaatggcatcctggtcatccagc
4351
MluI a|cgcgt4376
BclI t|gatca4362
4351 ggatagttaatgatcagcccactgacgcgttgcgcgagaagattgtgcaccgccgctttacaggcttcgacgccg
4426 cttcgttctaccatcgacaccaccacgctggcacccagttgatcggcgcgagatttaatcgccgcgacaatttgc
4501 gacggcgcgtgcagggccagactggaggtggcaacgccaatcagcaacgactgtttgcccgccagttgttgtgcc

```
    4 5 7 6 ~ a c g c g g t t g g g a a t g t a a t t c a g c t c c g c c a t c g c c g c t t c c a c t t t t t c c c g c g t t t t c g c a g a a a c g t g g c t g
    4 6 5 1 ~ g c c t g g t t c a c c a c g c g g g a a a c g g t c t g a t a a g a g a c a c c g g c a t a c t c t g c g a c a t c g t a t a a c g t t a c t g g t ~
    4 7 2 6 ~ t t c a c a t t c a c c a c c c t g a a t t g a c t c t c t t c c g g g c g c t a t c a t g c c a t a c c g c g a a a g g t t t t g c g c c a t t c g ~
    4 8 0 1 ~ a t g g t g t c c g g g a t c t c g a c g c t c t c c c t t a t g c g a c t c c t g c a t t a g g a a g c a g c c c a g t a g t a g g t t g a g g c c
    4 8 7 6 ~ g t t g a g c a c c g c c g c c g c a a g g a a t g g t g c a t g c a a g g a g a t g g c g c c c a a c a g t c c c c c g g c c a c g g g g c c t g c
    4 9 5 1 ~ A f e I ~ a g c \| g c t 4 9 7 5
    4 9 5 1 ~ c a c c a t a c c c a c g c c g a a a c a a g c g c t c a t g a g c c c g a a g t g g c g a g c c c g a t c t t c c c c a t c g g t g a t g t c g g c ~
```



```
gatct5098
5 0 2 6 ~ g a t a t a g g c g c c a g c a a c c g c a c c t g t g g c g c c g g t g a t g c c g g c c a c g a t g c g t c c g g c g t a g a g g a t c g a g a t ~
5 1 0 1 ~ X b a I ~ t l c t a g a 5 1 6 4 ~
5 1 0 1 ~ c t c g a t c c c g c g a a a t t a a t a c g a c t c a c t a t a g g g g a a t t g t g a g c g g a t a a c a a t t c c c c t c t a g a a a t a a t t
5 1 7 6 ~ X h o I ~ c \| t c g a g 5 2 3 9
5 1 7 6 ~ A v a I ~ c \| y c g r g 5 2 3 9 ~
5 1 7 6 ~ E c o R I ~ g l a a t t c 5 2 3 3
5 1 7 6 ~ N d e I ~ c a l t a t g 5 2 0 4 ~ S a l I ~ g l t c g a c 5 2 2 4
```



```
5 1 7 6 \text { ttgtttaactttaagaaggagatataCATATGAGCAAAGGTCCGGGTGTCGACAAAGAATTCCTCGAGTAATGAC}
5251 XhoI c|tcgag5251
5 2 5 1 ~ A v a I ~ c \| y c g r g 5 2 5 1 ~
    18 E H H H H H H *
5 2 5 1 ~ T C G A G C A C C A C C A C C A C C A C C A C T G A g a t c c g g c t g c t a a c a a a g c c c g a a a g g a a g c t g a g t t g g c t g c t g c c a
5326 ccgctgagcaataactagcataaccccttggggcctctaaacgggtcttgaggggttttttgctgaaaggaggaa
5 4 0 1 ~ c t a t a t c c g g a t
```


## F. 5 pET21Q-SKGPG-Cys3 Expression Vector

This vector has Cys residues in between the cloning sites.
1 tggcgaatgggacgcgccctgtagcggcgcattaagcgcggcgggtgtggtggttacgcgcagcgtgaccgctac
76 acttgccagcgccetagcgcccgctcctttcgctttcttcccttcctttctcgccacgttcgccggctttccccg
151 tcaagctctaaatcgggggctccctttagggttccgatttagtgctttacggcacctcgaccccaaaaaacttga
226 ttagggtgatggttcacgtagtgggccatcgccctgatagacggtttttcgccctttgacgttggagtccacgtt
301 ctttaatagtggactcttgttccaaactggaacaacactcaaccctatctcggtctattcttttgatttataagg
376 gattttgccgatttcggcctattggttaaaaaatgagctgatttaacaaaaatttaacgcgaattttaacaaaat
451 attaacgtttacaatttcaggtggcacttttcggggaaatgtgcgcggaacccctatttgtttatttttctaaat
526 acattcaaatatgtatccgctcatgagacaataaccctgataaatgcttcaataatattgaaaaaggaagagtat
601 gagtattcaacatttccgtgtcgcccttattcccttttttgcggcattttgccttcctgtttttgctcacccaga
676 aacgctggtgaaagtaaaagatgctgaagatcagttgggtgcacgagtgggttacatcgaactggatctcaacag
751 cggtaagatccttgagagttttcgccccgaagaacgttttccaatgatgagcacttttaaagttctgctatgtgg
826 cgcggtattatcccgtattgacgccgggcaagagcaactcggtcgccgcatacactattctcagaatgacttggt
901 ScaI agt|act906
901 tgagtactcaccagtcacagaaaagcatcttacggatggcatgacagtaagagaattatgcagtgctgccataac
976 catgagtgataacactgcggccaacttacttctgacaacgatcggaggaccgaaggagctaaccgcttttttgca
1051 caacatgggggatcatgtaactcgccttgatcgttgggaaccggagctgaatgaagccataccaaacgacgagcg
1126 tgacaccacgatgcctgcagcaatggcaacaacgttgcgcaaactattaactggcgaactacttactctagcttc 1201 ccggcaacaattaatagactggatggaggcggataaagttgcaggaccacttctgcgctcggcccttccggctgg

1276 ctggtttattgctgataaatctggagccggtgagcgtgggtctcgcggtatcattgcagcactggggccagatgg
1351 taagccctcccgtatcgtagttatctacacgacggggagtcaggcaactatggatgaacgaaatagacagatcgc
1426 tgagataggtgcctcactgattaagcattggtaactgtcagaccaagtttactcatatatactttagattgattt
1501 aaaacttcatttttaatttaaaaggatctaggtgaagatcctttttgataatctcatgaccaaaatcccttaacg
1576 tgagttttcgttccactgagcgtcagaccccgtagaaaagatcaaaggatcttcttgagatcctttttttctgcg
1651 cgtaatctgctgcttgcaaacaaaaaaaccaccgctaccagcggtggtttgtttgccggatcaagagctaccaac
1726 tctttttccgaaggtaactggcttcagcagagcgcagataccaaatactgtccttctagtgtagccgtagttagg
1801 ccaccacttcaagaactctgtagcaccgcctacatacctcgctctgctaatcctgttaccagtggctgctgccag
1876 tggcgataagtcgtgtcttaccgggttggactcaagacgatagttaccggataaggcgcagcggtcgggctgaac
1951 ggggggttcgtgcacacagcccagcttggagcgaacgacctacaccgaactgagatacctacagcgtgagctatg
2026 agaaagcgccacgcttcccgaagggagaaaggcggacaggtatccggtaagcggcagggtcggaacaggagagcg
2101 cacgagggagcttccagggggaaacgcctggtatctttatagtcctgtcgggtttcgccacctctgacttgagcg
2176 tcgatttttgtgatgctcgtcaggggggcggagcctatggaaaaacgccagcaacgcggcctttttacggttcct
2251 ggccttttgctggccttttgctcacatgttctttcctgcgttatcccctgattctgtggataaccgtattaccgc
2326 SapI |nnnngaag
agc2392
2326 ctttgagtgagctgataccgctcgccgcagccgaacgaccgagcgcagcgagtcagtgagcgaggaagcggaaga
2401 gcgcctgatgcggtattttctccttacgcatctgtgcggtatttcacaccgcatatatggtgcactctcagtaca
2476 atctgctctgatgccgcatagttaagccagtatacactccgctatcgctacgtgactgggtcatggctgcgcccc
2551 gacacccgccaacacccgctgacgcgccctgacgggcttgtctgctcccggcatccgcttacagacaagctgtga
2626
2701 catcagcgtggtcgtgaagcgattcacagatgtctgcctgttcatccgcgtccagctcgttgagtttctccagaa
2776 gcgttaatgtctggcttctgataaagcgggccatgttaagggcggttttttcctgtttggtcactgatgcctccg
2851 tgtaagggggatttctgttcatgggggtaatgataccgatgaaacgagagaggatgctcacgatacgggttactg
2926 atgatgaacatgcccggttactggaacgttgtgagggtaaacaactggcggtatggatgcggcgggaccagagaa
AfeI agc|gct3025
3001 aaatcactcagggtcaatgccagcgcttcgttaatacagatgtaggtgttccacagggtagccagcagcatcctg
3076 cgatgcagatccggaacataatggtgcagggcgctgacttccgcgtttccagactttacgaaacacggaaaccga
3151 agaccattcatgttgttgctcaggtcgcagacgttttgcagcagcagtcgcttcacgttcgctcgcgtatcggtg

3451 cctcgccgaaaatgacccagagcgctgccggcacctgtcctacgagttgcatgataaagaagacagtcataagtg 3526 cggcgacgatagtcatgccccgcgcccaccggaaggagctgactgggttgaaggctctcaagggcatcggtcgag

3601 atcccggtgcctaatgagtgagctaacttacattaattgcgttgcgctcactgcccgctttccagtcgggaaacc
3676 tgtcgtgccagctgcattaatgaatcggccaacgcgcggggagaggcggtttgcgtattgggcgccagggtggtt
3751 tttcttttcaccagtgagacgggcaacagctgattgcccttcaccgcctggccctgagagagttgcagcaagcgg
3826 tccacgctggtttgccccagcaggcgaaaatcctgtttgatggtggttaacggcgggatataacatgagctgtct EcoRV gat|atc3930

3901 tcggtatcgtcgtatcccactaccgagatatccgcaccaacgcgcagcccggactcggtaatggcgcgcattgcg 3976 cccagcgccatctgatcgttggcaaccagcatcgcagtgggaacgatgccctcattcagcatttgcatggtttgt 4051 tgaaaaccggacatggcactccagtcgccttcccgttccgctatcggctgaatttgattgcgagtgagatattta 4126 tgccagccagccagacgcagacgcgccgagacagaacttaatgggcccgctaacagcgcgatttgctggtgaccc 4201 aatgcgaccagatgctccacgcccagtcgcgtaccgtcttcatgggagaaaataatactgttgatgggtgtctgg 4276 tcagagacatcaagaaataacgccggaacattagtgcaggcagcttccacagcaatggcatcctggtcatccagc 4351 MluI a|cgcgt4376

```
    4 3 5 1 ~ B c l I ~ t \| g a t c a 4 3 6 2
    4 3 5 1 ~ g g a t a g t t a a t g a t c a g c c c a c t g a c g c g t t g c g c g a g a a g a t t g t g c a c c g c c g c t t t a c a g g c t t c g a c g c c g
    4426 cttcgttctaccatcgacaccaccacgctggcacccagttgatcggcgcgagatttaatcgccgcgacaatttgc
    4 5 0 1 ~ g a c g g c g c g t g c a g g g c c a g a c t g g a g g t g g c a a c g c c a a t c a g c a a c g a c t g t t t g c c c g c c a g t t g t t g t g c c
    4 5 7 6 ~ a c g c g g t t g g g a a t g t a a t t c a g c t c c g c c a t c g c c g c t t c c a c t t t t t c c c g c g t t t t c g c a g a a a c g t g g c t g ~
    4 6 5 1 ~ g c c t g g t t c a c c a c g c g g g a a a c g g t c t g a t a a g a g a c a c c g g c a t a c t c t g c g a c a t c g t a t a a c g t t a c t g g t ~
    4 7 2 6 ~ t t c a c a t t c a c c a c c c t g a a t t g a c t c t c t t c c g g g c g c t a t c a t g c c a t a c c g c g a a a g g t t t t g c g c c a t t c g ~
    4 8 0 1 ~ a t g g t g t c c g g g a t c t c g a c g c t c t c c c t t a t g c g a c t c c t g c a t t a g g a a g c a g c c c a g t a g t a g g t t g a g g c c
    4 8 7 6 \text { gttgagcaccgccgccgcaaggaatggtgcatgcaaggagatggcgcccaacagtcccccggccacggggcctgc}
    4 9 5 1 ~ A f e I ~ a g c l g c t 4 9 7 5 ~
    4 9 5 1 ~ c a c c a t a c c c a c g c c g a a a c a a g c g c t c a t g a g c c c g a a g t g g c g a g c c c g a t c t t c c c c a t c g g t g a t g t c g g c
    5026 SgrAI cr|ccggyg5057 BglII al
gatct5098
    5 0 2 6 ~ g a t a t a g g c g c c a g c a a c c g c a c c t g t g g c g c c g g t g a t g c c g g c c a c g a t g c g t c c g g c g t a g a g g a t c g a g a t ~
    5 1 0 1 ~ X b a I ~ t \| c t a g a 5 1 6 4 ~
    5 1 0 1 ~ c t c g a t c c c g c g a a a t t a a t a c g a c t c a c t a t a g g g g a a t t g t g a g c g g a t a a c a a t t c c c c t c t a g a a a t a a t t
    5 1 7 6 ~ E c o R I ~ g l a a t t c 5
2 4 2
    5 1 7 6 ~ X m a I ~ c \| c c g g g 5 2 3 2 ~
    5 1 7 6 ~ N d e I ~ c a l t a t g 5 2 0 4 ~ A v a I ~ c \| y c g r g 5 2 3 2 ~
    1 M M S K K G P P G V V D Clllllllllll
5 1 7 6 \text { ttgtttaactttaagaaggagatatacatATGAGCAAAGGTCCGGGTGTCGATTGCCCGGGCTGTGAATTCAAAA}
5 2 5 1 ~ A g e I ~ a l c c g g t 5 2 5 3 ~ S p e I ~ a l c t a g t 5 2 7 8 ~
    17 P V C G G G G S T S *
5 2 5 1 ~ A A C C G G T G T G C G G C G G C G G C G G T T C T A C T A G T T A A t t c g a g c a c c a c c a c c a c c a c c a c t g a g a t c c g g c t g c t a ~
5 3 2 6 ~ a c a a a g c c c g a a a g g a a g c t g a g t t g g c t g c t g c c a c c g c t g a g c a a t a a c t a g c a t a a c c c c t t g g g g c c t c t a
5 4 0 1 ~ a a c g g g t c t t g a g g g g t t t t t t g c t g a a a g g a g g a a c t a t a t c c g g a t ~
```


## F. 6 pET28F Expression Vector

## This vector has a $3 x F L A G$ tag at the N -terminus.

1 tggcgaatgggacgcgccctgtagcggcgcattaagcgcggcgggtgtggtggttacgcgcagcgtgaccgctac
76 acttgccagcgccctagcgcccgctcctttcgctttcttcccttcctttctcgccacgttcgccggctttccccg
acttgccagcgccctagcgcccoctcctttcgctttcttcccttcctttctcgccacgttcgccggctttccccq
tcaagctctaaatcgggggctccctttagggttccgatttagtgctttacggcacctcgaccccaaaaaacttga
ttagggtgatggttcacgtagtgggccatcgccctgatagacggtttttcgccctttgacgttggagtccacgtt
ctttaatagtggactcttgttccaaactggaacaacactcaaccctatctcggtctattcttttgatttataagg
gattttgccgatttcggcctattggttaaaaaatgagctgatttaacaaaaatttaacgcgaattttaacaaaat
attaacgtttacaatttcaggtggcacttttcggggaaatgtgcgcggaacccctatttgtttatttttctaaat
acattcaaatatgtatccgctcatgaattaattcttagaaaaactcatcgagcatcaaatgaaactgcaatttat
tcatatcaggattatcaataccatatttttgaaaaagccgtttctgtaatgaaggagaaaactcaccgaggcagt
tccataggatggcaagatcctggtatcggtctgcgattccgactcgtccaacatcaatacaacctattaatttcc
cctcgtcaaaaataaggttatcaagtgagaaatcaccatgagtgacgactgaatccggtgagaatggcaaaagtt
tatgcatttctttccagacttgttcaacaggccagccattacgctcgtcatcaaaatcactcgcatcaaccaaac
cgttattcattcgtgattgcgcctgagcgagacgaaatacgcgatcgctgttaaaaggacaattacaaacaggaa
tcgaatgcaaccggcgcaggaacactgccagcgcatcaacaatattttcacctgaatcaggatattcttctaata
XmaI c|ccggg1068

1051 AvaI c|ycgrg1068
1051 cctggaatgctgttttcccggggatcgcagtggtgagtaaccatgcatcatcaggagtacggataaaatgcttga
1126 tggtcggaagaggcataaattccgtcagccagtttagtctgaccatctcatctgtaacatcattggcaacgctac
1201 ctttgccatgtttcagaaacaactctggcgcatcgggcttcccatacaatcgatagattgtcgcacctgattgcc
1276 cgacattatcgcgagcccatttatacccatataaatcagcatccatgttggaatttaatcgcggcctagagcaag
1351 acgtttcccgttgaatatggctcataacaccccttgtattactgtttatgtaagcagacagttttattgttcatg
1426 accaaaatcccttaacgtgagttttcgttccactgagcgtcagaccccgtagaaaagatcaaaggatcttcttga
1501 gatcctttttttctgcgcgtaatctgctgcttgcaaacaaaaaaaccaccgctaccagcggtggtttgtttgccg
1576 gatcaagagctaccaactctttttccgaaggtaactggcttcagcagagcgcagataccaaatactgtccttcta
1651 gtgtagccgtagttaggccaccacttcaagaactctgtagcaccgcctacatacctcgctctgctaatcctgtta
1726 ccagtggctgctgccagtggcgataagtcgtgtcttaccgggttggactcaagacgatagttaccggataaggcg
1801 cagcggtcgggctgaacggggggttcgtgcacacagcccagcttggagcgaacgacctacaccgaactgagatac
1876 ctacagcgtgagctatgagaaagcgccacgcttcccgaagggagaaaggcggacaggtatccggtaagcggcagg
1951 gtcggaacaggagagcgcacgagggagcttccagggggaaacgcctggtatctttatagtcctgtcgggtttcgc
2026 cacctctgacttgagcgtcgatttttgtgatgctcgtcaggggggcggagcctatggaaaaacgccagcaacgcg
2101 gcctttttacggttcctggccttttgctggccttttgctcacatgttctttcctgcgttatcccctgattctgtg
2176 gataaccgtattaccgcctttgagtgagctgataccgctcgccgcagccgaacgaccgagcgcagcgagtcagtg
2251 SapI |nnnngaagagc2259
2251 agcgaggaagcggaagagcgcctgatgcggtattttctccttacgcatctgtgcggtatttcacaccgcatatat
2326 ggtgcactctcagtacaatctgctctgatgccgcatagttaagccagtatacactccgctatcgctacgtgactg
2401 ggtcatggctgcgccccgacacccgccaacacccgctgacgcgccctgacgggcttgtctgctcccggcatccgc
2476 ttacagacaagctgtgaccgtctccgggagctgcatgtgtcagaggttttcaccgtcatcaccgaaacgcgcgag
2551 gcagctgcggtaaagctcatcagcgtggtcgtgaagcgattcacagatgtctgcctgttcatccgcgtccagctc
2626 gttgagtttctccagaagcgttaatgtctggcttctgataaagcgggccatgttaagggcggttttttcctgttt
2701 ggtcactgatgcctccgtgtaagggggatttctgttcatgggggtaatgataccgatgaaacgagagaggatgct 2776 cacgatacgggttactgatgatgaacatgcccggttactggaacgttgtgagggtaaacaactggcggtatggat

AfeI agc|gct2892
2851 gcggcgggaccagagaaaaatcactcagggtcaatgccagcgcttcgttaatacagatgtaggtgttccacaggg 2926 tagccagcagcatcctgcgatgcagatccggaacataatggtgcagggcgctgacttccgcgtttccagacttta 3001 cgaaacacggaaaccgaagaccattcatgttgttgctcaggtcgcagacgttttgcagcagcagtcgcttcacgt 3076 PpuMI rg|gwccy3137

3076 tcgctcgcgtatcggtgattcattctgctaaccagtaaggcaaccccgccagcctagccgggtcctcaacgacag
3151 gagcacgatcatgcgcacccgtggggccgccatgccggcgataatggcctgcttctcgccgaaacgtttggtggc 3226 gggaccagtgacgaaggcttgagcgagggcgtgcaagattccgaataccgcaagcgacaggccgatcatcgtcgc 3301 AfeI agc|gct3341

3301 gctccagcgaaagcggtcctcgccgaaaatgacccagagcgctgccggcacctgtcctacgagttgcatgataaa
3376 gaagacagtcataagtgcggcgacgatagtcatgccccgcgcccaccggaaggagctgactgggttgaaggctct
3451 caagggcatcggtcgagatcccggtgcctaatgagtgagctaacttacattaattgcgttgcgctcactgcccgc
3526 tttccagtcgggaaacctgtcgtgccagctgcattaatgaatcggccaacgcgcggggagaggcggtttgcgtat
3601 tgggcgccagggtggtttttcttttcaccagtgagacgggcaacagctgattgcccttcaccgcctggccctgag
3676 agagttgcagcaagcggtccacgctggtttgccccagcaggcgaaaatcctgtttgatggtggttaacggcggga 3751 EcoRV gat|atc3797

3751 tataacatgagctgtcttcggtatcgtcgtatcccactaccgagatatccgcaccaacgcgcagcccggactcgg
3826 taatggcgcgcattgcgcccagcgccatctgatcgttggcaaccagcatcgcagtgggaacgatgccctcattca
3901 gcatttgcatggtttgttgaaaaccggacatggcactccagtcgccttcccgttccgctatcggctgaatttgat
3976 tgcgagtgagatatttatgccagccagccagacgcagacgcgccgagacagaacttaatgggcccgctaacagcg
4051 cgatttgctggtgacccaatgcgaccagatgctccacgcccagtcgcgtaccgtcttcatgggagaaaataatac
4126 tgttgatgggtgtctggtcagagacatcaagaaataacgccggaacattagtgcaggcagcttccacagcaatgg

```
    4 2 0 1 ~ M l u I ~ a l c g c g t 4 2 4 3
    4 2 0 1
    BclI t|gatca4229
    4 2 0 1 ~ c a t c c t g g t c a t c c a g c g g a t a g t t a a t g a t c a g c c c a c t g a c g c g t t g c g c g a g a a g a t t g t g c a c c g c c g c t t
    4 2 7 6 ~ t a c a g g c t t c g a c g c c g c t t c g t t c t a c c a t c g a c a c c a c c a c g c t g g c a c c c a g t t g a t c g g c g c g a g a t t t a a ~
    4 3 5 1 ~ t c g c c g c g a c a a t t t g c g a c g g c g c g t g c a g g g c c a g a c t g g a g g t g g c a a c g c c a a t c a g c a a c g a c t g t t t g c ~
    4426 ccgccagttgttgtgccacgcggttgggaatgtaattcagctccgccatcgccgcttccactttttcccgcgttt
    4 5 0 1 ~ t c g c a g a a a c g t g g c t g g c c t g g t t c a c c a c g c g g g a a a c g g t c t g a t a a g a g a c a c c g g c a t a c t c t g c g a c a t ~
    4 5 7 6 ~ c g t a t a a c g t t a c t g g t t t c a c a t t c a c c a c c c t g a a t t g a c t c t c t t c c g g g c g c t a t c a t g c c a t a c c g c g a a ~
    4 6 5 1 ~ a g g t t t t g c g c c a t t c g a t g g t g t c c g g g a t c t c g a c g c t c t c c c t t a t g c g a c t c c t g c a t t a g g a a g c a g c c c
    4 7 2 6 ~ a g t a g t a g g t t g a g g c c g t t g a g c a c c g c c g c c g c a a g g a a t g g t g c a t g c a a g g a g a t g g c g c c c a a c a g t c c c
    4 8 0 1 ~ A f e I ~ a g c \| g c t 4 8 4 2
    4 8 0 1 ~ c c g g c c a c g g g g c c t g c c a c c a t a c c c a c g c c g a a a c a a g c g c t c a t g a g c c c g a a g t g g c g a g c c c g a t c t t c c
    4 8 7 6 ~ S g r A I ~ c r \| c c g g y g 4 9 2 4
    4 8 7 6 ~ c c a t c g g t g a t g t c g g c g a t a t a g g c g c c a g c a a c c g c a c c t g t g g c g c c g g t g a t g c c g g c c a c g a t g c g t c c g
    4 9 5 1 ~ B g l I I ~ a l g a t c t 4 9 6 5 ~
    4 9 5 1 ~ g c g t a g a g g a t c g a g a t c t c g a t c c c g c g a a a t t a a t a c g a c t c a c t a t a g g g g a a t t g t g a g c g g a t a a c a a t t ~
    5026 XbaI t|ctaga5031
    1 M M M A N S H H
    5 0 2 6 ~ c c c c t c t a g a a a t a a t t t t g t t t a a c t t t a a g a a g g a g a t a t a c c A T G A T G G C T A G T C A T C A C C A C C A C C A C C A C ~
    5 1 0 1 ~ E c o R V ~ g a t \| a t c 5 1 4 0 ~ S c a I ~ a g t \| a c
t5170
```



```
5 1 0 1 ~ G A C T A C A A A G A C C A T G A T G G C G A T T A T A A A G A T C A C G A T A T C G A T T A T A A A G A T G A C G A T G A C A A A A G T A C T C A T ~
5 1 7 6 ~ X h o I ~ c \| t c g a g 5 1 9 2 ~
5 1 7 6 ~ A v a I ~ c \| y c g r g 5 1 9 2 ~
5176 EcoRI glaattc5186
5 1 7 6 ~ S p e I ~ a l c t a g t 5 1 8 0
    36 K T S E F L E * *
5 1 7 6 ~ A A A A C T A G T G A A T T C C T C G A G T A A T A A a g t c g a g t a a t a a a g t c g a g c a c c a c c a c c a c c a c c a c t g a g a t c c g g ~
5 2 5 1 ~ c t g c t a a c a a a g c c c g a a a g g a a g c t g a g t t g g c t g c t g c c a c c g c t g a g c a a t a a c t a g c a t a a c c c c t t g g g g ~
5 3 2 6 ~ c c t c t a a a c g g g t c t t g a g g g g t t t t t t g c t g a a a g g a g g a a c t a t a t c c g g a t ~
```


## F. 7 pJB-SgrAI-CYL Cloning Vector

## This vector was a modification from pJB with different sites and frames.

1 tcgcgcgtttcggtgatgacggtgaaaacctctgacacatgcagctcccggagacggtcacagcttgtctgtaag
76 cggatgccgggagcagacaagcccgtcagggcgcgtcagcgggtgttggcgggtgtcggggctggcttaactatg
151 NdeI caltatg185
151 cggcatcagagcagattgtactgagagtgcaccatatgcggtgtgaaataccgcacagatgcgtaaggagaaaat
226 accgcatcaggcgccattcgccattcaggctgcgcaactgttgggaagggcgatcggtgcgggcctcttcgctat

301 tacgccagctggcgaaagggggatgtgctgcaaggcgattaagttgggtaacgccagggttttcccagtcacgac
376 PpuMI rg|gwccy410 AgeI alccggt430 XhoI c|tc gag447

376 NheI g|ctagc403 RsrII cg|gwccg423 AvaI c|yc grg447
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}\text { E } & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{R}\end{array}$
376 gttgtaaaacgacggccagtGAATTCGCTAGCGGGACCCGTCGACCGGACCGTACCGGTCACCGGCGGGGCTCGA SalI g|tcgac465
XbaI tlctaga459
BamHI glgatcc453
D P L E S T C R H A S L A *
GGGATCCTCTAGAGTCGACCTGCAGGCATGCAAGCTTGGCGTAAtcatggtcatagctgtttcctgtgtgaaatt
gttatccgctcacaattccacacaacatacgagccggaagcataaagtgtaaagcctggggtgcctaatgagtga gctaactcacattaattgcgttgcgctcactgcccgctttccagtcgggaaacctgtcgtgccagctgcattaat

SapI gctcttcn|nnn726
gaatcggccaacgcgcggggagaggcggtttgcgtattgggcgctcttccgcttcctcgctcactgactcgctgc gctcggtcgttcggctgcggcgagcggtatcagctcactcaaaggcggtaatacggttatccacagaatcagggg ataacgcaggaaagaacatgtgagcaaaaggccagcaaaaggccaggaaccgtaaaaaggccgcgttgctggcgt ttttccataggctccgcccccctgacgagcatcacaaaaatcgacgctcaagtcagaggtggcgaaacccgacag gactataaagataccaggcgtttccccctggaagctccctcgtgcgctctcctgttccgaccctgccgcttaccg gatacctgtccgcctttctcccttcgggaagcgtggcgctttctcatagctcacgctgtaggtatctcagttcgg tgtaggtcgttcgctccaagctgggctgtgtgcacgaaccccccgttcagcccgaccgctgcgccttatccggta actatcgtcttgagtccaacccggtaagacacgacttatcgccactggcagcagccactggtaacaggattagca gagcgaggtatgtaggcggtgctacagagttcttgaagtggtggcctaactacggctacactagaaggacagtat ttggtatctgcgctctgctgaagccagttaccttcggaaaaagagttggtagctcttgatccggcaaacaaacca ccgctggtagcggtggtttttttgtttgcaagcagcagattacgcgcagaaaaaaaggatctcaagaagatcctt tgatcttttctacggggtctgacgctcagtggaacgaaaactcacgttaagggattttggtcatgagattatcaa aaaggatcttcacctagatccttttaaattaaaaatgaagttttaaatcaatctaaagtatatatgagtaaactt ggtctgacagttaccaatgcttaatcagtgaggcacctatctcagcgatctgtctatttcgttcatccatagttg cctgactccccgtcgtgtagataactacgatacgggagggcttaccatctggccccagtgctgcaatgataccgc gagacccacgctcaccggctccagatttatcagcaataaaccagccagccggaagggccgagcgcagaagtggtc ctgcaactttatccgcctccatccagtctattaattgttgccgggaagctagagtaagtagttcgccagttaata gtttgcgcaacgttgttgccattgctacaggcatcgtggtgtcacgctcgtcgtttggtatggcttcattcagct ccggttcccaacgatcaaggcgagttacatgatcccccatgttgtgcaaaaaagcggttagctccttcggtcctc cgatcgttgtcagaagtaagttggccgcagtgttatcactcatggttatggcagcactgcataattctcttactg ScaI agt|act2215 tcatgccatccgtaagatgcttttctgtgactggtgagtactcaaccaagtcattctgagaatagtgtatgcggc gaccgagttgctcttgcccggcgtcaatacgggataataccgcgccacatagcagaactttaaaagtgctcatca ttggaaaacgttcttcggggcgaaaactctcaaggatcttaccgctgttgagatccagttcgatgtaacccactc gtgcacccaactgatcttcagcatcttttactttcaccagcgtttctgggtgagcaaaaacaggaaggcaaaatg ccgcaaaaaagggaataagggcgacacggaaatgttgaatactcatactcttcctttttcaatattattgaagca tttatcagggttattgtctcatgagcggatacatatttgaatgtatttagaaaaataaacaaataggggttccgc gcacatttccccgaaaagtgccacctgacgtctaagaaaccattattatcatgacattaacctataaaaataggc 2701 gtatcacgaggccetttcgtc

## F. 8 pET21b Expression Vector

1 tggcgaatgggacgcgccctgtagcggcgcattaagcgcggcgggtgtggtggttacgcgcagcgtgaccgctac
76 acttgccagcgccctagcgcccgctcctttcgctttcttcccttcctttctcgccacgttcgccggctttccccg
tcaagctctaaatcgggggctccctttagggttccgatttagtgctttacggcacctcgaccccaaaaaacttga ttagggtgatggttcacgtagtgggccatcgccctgatagacggtttttcgccctttgacgttggagtccacgtt ctttaatagtggactcttgttccaaactggaacaacactcaaccctatctcggtctattcttttgatttataagg

37 gattttgccgatttcggcctattggttaaaaaatgagctgatttaacaaaaatttaacgcgaattttaacaaaat attaacgtttacaatttcaggtggcacttttcggggaaatgtgcgcggaacccctatttgtttatttttctaaat acattcaaatatgtatccgctcatgagacaataaccctgataaatgcttcaataatattgaaaaaggaagagtat gagtattcaacatttccgtgtcgcccttattcccttttttgcggcattttgccttcctgtttttgctcacccaga aacgctggtgaaagtaaaagatgctgaagatcagttgggtgcacgagtgggttacatcgaactggatctcaacag cggtaagatccttgagagttttcgccccgaagaacgttttccaatgatgagcacttttaaagttctgctatgtgg cgcggtattatcccgtattgacgccgggcaagagcaactcggtcgccgcatacactattctcagaatgacttggt ScaI agt|act906
tgagtactcaccagtcacagaaaagcatcttacggatggcatgacagtaagagaattatgcagtgctgccataac catgagtgataacactgcggccaacttacttctgacaacgatcggaggaccgaaggagctaaccgcttttttgca caacatgggggatcatgtaactcgccttgatcgttgggaaccggagctgaatgaagccataccaaacgacgagcg tgacaccacgatgcctgcagcaatggcaacaacgttgcgcaaactattaactggcgaactacttactctagcttc ccggcaacaattaatagactggatggaggcggataaagttgcaggaccacttctgcgctcggcccttccggctgg ctggtttattgctgataaatctggagccggtgagcgtgggtctcgcggtatcattgcagcactggggccagatgg taagccctcccgtatcgtagttatctacacgacggggagtcaggcaactatggatgaacgaaatagacagatcgc tgagataggtgcctcactgattaagcattggtaactgtcagaccaagtttactcatatatactttagattgattt aaaacttcatttttaatttaaaaggatctaggtgaagatcctttttgataatctcatgaccaaaatcccttaacg tgagttttcgttccactgagcgtcagaccccgtagaaaagatcaaaggatcttcttgagatcctttttttctgcg cgtaatctgctgcttgcaaacaaaaaaaccaccgctaccagcggtggtttgtttgccggatcaagagctaccaac tctttttccgaaggtaactggcttcagcagagcgcagataccaaatactgtccttctagtgtagccgtagttagg ccaccacttcaagaactctgtagcaccgcctacatacctcgctctgctaatcctgttaccagtggctgctgccag tggcgataagtcgtgtcttaccgggttggactcaagacgatagttaccggataaggcgcagcggtcgggctgaac ggggggttcgtgcacacagcccagcttggagcgaacgacctacaccgaactgagatacctacagcgtgagctatg agaaagcgccacgcttcccgaagggagaaaggcggacaggtatccggtaagcggcagggtcggaacaggagagcg cacgagggagcttccagggggaaacgcctggtatctttatagtcctgtcgggtttcgccacctctgacttgagcg tcgatttttgtgatgctcgtcaggggggcggagcctatggaaaaacgccagcaacgcggcctttttacggttcct ggccttttgctggccttttgctcacatgttctttcctgcgttatcccctgattctgtggataaccgtattaccgc SapI |nnnngaag agc2392

2326 ctttgagtgagctgataccgctcgccgcagccgaacgaccgagcgcagcgagtcagtgagcgaggaagcggaaga
2401 gcgcctgatgcggtattttctccttacgcatctgtgcggtatttcacaccgcatatatggtgcactctcagtaca

3001 aaatcactcagggtcaatgccagcgcttcgttaatacagatgtaggtgttccacagggtagccagcagcatcctg

3451 cctcgccgaaaatgacccagagcgctgccggcacctgtcctacgagttgcatgataaagaagacagtcataagtg

```
    3526 cggcgacgatagtcatgccccgcgcccaccggaaggagctgactgggttgaaggctctcaagggcatcggtcgag
    3 6 0 1 ~ a t c c c g g t g c c t a a t g a g t g a g c t a a c t t a c a t t a a t t g c g t t g c g c t c a c t g c c c g c t t t c c a g t c g g g a a a c c
    3 6 7 6 ~ t g t c g t g c c a g c t g c a t t a a t g a a t c g g c c a a c g c g c g g g g a g a g g c g g t t t g c g t a t t g g g c g c c a g g g t g g t t ~
    3 7 5 1 ~ t t t c t t t t c a c c a g t g a g a c g g g c a a c a g c t g a t t g c c c t t c a c c g c c t g g c c c t g a g a g a g t t g c a g c a a g c g g ~
    3 8 2 6 ~ t c c a c g c t g g t t t g c c c c a g c a g g c g a a a a t c c t g t t t g a t g g t g g t t a a c g g c g g g a t a t a a c a t g a g c t g t c t
    3 9 0 1 ~ E c o R V ~ g a t \| a t c 3 9 3 0
    3 9 0 1 ~ t c g g t a t c g t c g t a t c c c a c t a c c g a g a t a t c c g c a c c a a c g c g c a g c c c g g a c t c g g t a a t g g c g c g c a t t g c g
    3 9 7 6 ~ c c c a g c g c c a t c t g a t c g t t g g c a a c c a g c a t c g c a g t g g g a a c g a t g c c c t c a t t c a g c a t t t g c a t g g t t t g t ~
    4 0 5 1 ~ t g a a a a c c g g a c a t g g c a c t c c a g t c g c c t t c c c g t t c c g c t a t c g g c t g a a t t t g a t t g c g a g t g a g a t a t t t a ~
    4126 tgccagccagccagacgcagacgcgccgagacagaacttaatgggcccgctaacagcgcgatttgctggtgaccc
    4 2 0 1 ~ a a t g c g a c c a g a t g c t c c a c g c c c a g t c g c g t a c c g t c t t c a t g g g a g a a a a t a a t a c t g t t g a t g g g t g t c t g g ~
    4 2 7 6 ~ t c a g a g a c a t c a a g a a a t a a c g c c g g a a c a t t a g t g c a g g c a g c t t c c a c a g c a a t g g c a t c c t g g t c a t c c a g c
    4 3 5 1 ~ M l u I ~ a l c g c g t 4 3 7 6
    BclI t|gatca4362
    4 3 5 1 ~ g g a t a g t t a a t g a t c a g c c c a c t g a c g c g t t g c g c g a g a a g a t t g t g c a c c g c c g c t t t a c a g g c t t c g a c g c c g
    4426 cttcgttctaccatcgacaccaccacgctggcacccagttgatcggcgcgagatttaatcgccgcgacaatttgc
    4 5 0 1 ~ g a c g g c g c g t g c a g g g c c a g a c t g g a g g t g g c a a c g c c a a t c a g c a a c g a c t g t t t g c c c g c c a g t t g t t g t g c c
    4 5 7 6 ~ a c g c g g t t g g g a a t g t a a t t c a g c t c c g c c a t c g c c g c t t c c a c t t t t t c c c g c g t t t t c g c a g a a a c g t g g c t g ~
    4 6 5 1 ~ g c c t g g t t c a c c a c g c g g g a a a c g g t c t g a t a a g a g a c a c c g g c a t a c t c t g c g a c a t c g t a t a a c g t t a c t g g t ~
    4 7 2 6 ~ t t c a c a t t c a c c a c c c t g a a t t g a c t c t c t t c c g g g c g c t a t c a t g c c a t a c c g c g a a a g g t t t t g c g c c a t t c g ~
    4 8 0 1 ~ a t g g t g t c c g g g a t c t c g a c g c t c t c c c t t a t g c g a c t c c t g c a t t a g g a a g c a g c c c a g t a g t a g g t t g a g g c c
    4 8 7 6 ~ g t t g a g c a c c g c c g c c g c a a g g a a t g g t g c a t g c a a g g a g a t g g c g c c c a a c a g t c c c c c g g c c a c g g g g c c t g c
    4 9 5 1 ~ A f e I ~ a g c l g c t 4 9 7 5 ~
    4 9 5 1 ~ c a c c a t a c c c a c g c c g a a a c a a g c g c t c a t g a g c c c g a a g t g g c g a g c c c g a t c t t c c c c a t c g g t g a t g t c g g c
```



```
gatct5098
    5 0 2 6 ~ g a t a t a g g c g c c a g c a a c c g c a c c t g t g g c g c c g g t g a t g c c g g c c a c g a t g c g t c c g g c g t a g a g g a t c g a g a t ~
    5 1 0 1 ~ X b a I ~ t l c t a g a 5 1 6 4 ~
    5 1 0 1 ~ c t c g a t c c c g c g a a a t t a a t a c g a c t c a c t a t a g g g g a a t t g t g a g c g g a t a a c a a t t c c c c t c t a g a a a t a a t t
    5 1 7 6 ~ N h e I ~ g l c t a g c 5 2 0 9 ~ E c o R I ~ g \| a ~
attc5247
    5 1 7 6 ~ N d e I ~ c a l t a t g 5 2 0 4 ~ B a m H I ~ g l g a t c c 5 2 ~
4 1
    1 M A S S M M T G G G Q & M M M G R D D P
5 1 7 6 \text { ttgtttaactttaagaaggagatatacatATGGCTAGCATGACTGGTGGACAGCAAATGGGTCGGGATCCGAATT}
5 2 5 1 ~ X h o I ~ c \| t c g a g 5 2 8 1
5 2 5 1 ~ N o t I ~ g c \| g g c c g c 5 2 7 3 ~
5 2 5 1 ~ S a l I ~ g \| t c g a c 5 2 6 0 ~ A v a I ~ c l y c g r g 5 2 8 1
    17 S S V D D K L A A A A L L E H H H
5 2 5 1 ~ C G A G C T C C G T C G A C A A G C T T G C G G C C G C A C T C G A G C A C C A C C A C C A C C A C C A C T G A g a t c c g g c t g c t a a c a a a g ~
5 3 2 6 ~ c c c g a a a g g a a g c t g a g t t g g c t g c t g c c a c c g c t g a g c a a t a a c t a g c a t a a c c c c t t g g g g c c t c t a a a c g g g ~
5 4 0 1 ~ t c t t g a g g g g t t t t t t g c t g a a a g ~
```

The sequences below are only the encoding part of the indicated plasmids. For their backbone sequences, please refer to their corresponding empty vectors listed above.

## F. 9 pUC57Simple-SpeI-high aff ZE-NheI-AleI-linker-COMP-XhoI

This plasmid was synthesized by Genscript. The whole backbone sequence of PUC57Simple can be found on the Genscript website. Note that the sequence of SpeI-high aff Ze -NheI and the sequence of AleI-linker COMP-XhoI are in different frames.


1 ACTAGTCTGGAAATCGAGGCAGCTGCTCTGGAACAGGAAAACACGGCGCTGGAGACCGAAGTTGCGGAACTGGAA
76 AleI cacn
n|nngtg147

```
7 6 ~ N h e I ~ g l c t a g c 1 3 7
26 G S A A A S S G E F H R R V S S V V Q N N P
26 R R K K C S S V W W R T T Slllllllllllllllllllllllllll
```



```
76 CAGGAAGTGCAGCGTCTGGAGAACATCGTGTCTCAGTACAAAACCCGTTATGGTCCGCTGGCTAGCCACCGCAGT
```



```
51 G R R R R R F W W R R R W W F Clllllllllllllllllllll
51 G A A A A V V L A A A V V V L L L R R R Clllllllllllllllllllllll
1 5 1 ~ G G G G C G G C G G C G G T T C T G G C G G C G G T G G T T C T G C T C C G C A G A T G C T G C G T G A A C T G C A G G A A A C C A A T G C T G C T C ~
```




```
76 C R R T F F V N C C C V R R Q W N N R R K N S P
2 2 6 ~ T G C A G G A C G T T C G T G A A C T G C T G C G T C A G G C A G T G G A A C A G G A A A T C A C C T T C C T G C A A A A C A C C G T T A T G G A A T ~
3 0 1 ~ X h o I ~ c l t c g a g 3 1 9 ~
3 0 1 ~ A v a I ~ c l y c g r g 3 1 9 ~
301 MluI alcgcgt305
101 D A S * * L E
101 * R V L I T R
101 L T R L N N S
301 CTGACGCGTCTTAATAACTCGAG
```


## F. 10 pUC57Simple-LZE-BMP2GC

This plasmid was synthesized by Genscript.

```
1 BamHI g|gatcc8
1 ~ E c o R I ~ g l a a t t c 2 ~ S p e I ~ a l c t a g t 5 0
```



```
1 ~ G A A T T C G G A T C C C A T C A C C A C C A C C A C C A C C T G G T T C C G C G T G G T T C T A C T A G T A T C A C C A T C C G T G C C G C G T T C ~
26 L E Q E N N T A L R R T E A A A E E L E E Q E N V G R L L E N N I
7 6 ~ C T G G A A C A G G A A A A C A C C G C C C T G C G T A C C G A A G C C G C T G A G C T C G A A C A G G A G G T C G G C C G T C T G G A A A A C A T T ~
1 5 1 ~ N h e I ~ g l c t a g c 1 8 5 ~ A g e I ~ a l c c g ~
gt221
```



```
151 GTTAGCCAGTACAAAACCCGTTATGGTCCGCTGGCTAGCGGCGGCGGCGGTTCTGGCGGCGGTGGTTCTACCGGT
2 2 6 ~ A v a I ~ c \| y c g r g 2 8 4
```



```
2 2 6 ~ A A G A T C C C A A A A G C C A G C T C C G T G C C G A C C G A A C T G T C A G C A A T C T C T A C G C T G T A C C T C G G G G G T G G C G G T T C T ~
3 0 1 ~ X b a I ~ t l c t a g a 3 2 5 ~
3 0 1 ~ R s r I I ~ c g \| g w c c g 3 1 9
301 PpuMI rg|gwccy312
101 C * * G S S V R L
3 0 1 ~ T G C T A A T A A G G G T C C T C G G T C C G T C T A G A ~
```


## F. 11 pUC57Simple-COMPm-RGDGC

This plasmid was synthesized by Genscript.

```
    1 BamHI glgatcc8
    1 ~ E c o R I ~ g l a a t t c 2 ~ S p e I ~ a l c t a g t 5 0
```



```
    1 ~ G A A T T C G G A T C C C A T C A C C A C C A C C A C C A C C T G G T T C C G C G T G G T T C T A C T A G T G C T C C G C A G A T G C T G C G T G A A ~
```



```
    7 6 ~ C T G C A G G A A A C C A A T G C T G C T C T G C A G G A C G T T C G T G A A C T G C T G C G T C A G G C A G T G C A G G A A A T C A C C T T C C T G ~
1 5 1 ~ N h e I ~ g l c t a g c 1 8 2 ~
1 5 1 ~ M l u I ~ a \| c g c g t 1 7 4 ~ A g e I ~ a \| c c g g t 2 ~
1 8
51 Q N N T V M M E S S D A S S A Sllllllllllllllllllll
1 5 1 ~ C A A A A C A C C G T T A T G G A A T C T G A C G C G T C T G C T A G C G G C G G C G G C G G T T C T G G C G G C G G T G G T T C T A C C G G T A C C ~
    76 I T T V Y A A V T F G R R G D D S P
2 2 6 ~ A T C A C C G T G T A T G C G G T T A C T G G T C G T G G C G A C T C T C C G G C A T C T T C T A A A C C G A T T G G T G G C G G T G G C T C T T G T ~
3 0 1 ~ X b a I ~ t \| c t a g a 3 2 2 ~
301 RsrII cg|gwccg316
301 PpuMI rg|gwccy309
101 * * G S S V R L
3 0 1 ~ T A A T A A G G G T C C T C G G T C C G T C T A G A ~
```


## F. 12 pJB-RZY10

1 tcgcgcgtttcggtgatgacggtgaaaacctctgacacatgcagctcccggagacggtcacagcttgtctgtaag
76 cggatgccgggagcagacaagcccgtcagggcgcgtcagcgggtgttggcgggtgtcggggctggcttaactatg
NdeI caltatg185
cggcatcagagcagattgtactgagagtgcaccatatgcggtgtgaaataccgcacagatgcgtaaggagaaaat
accgcatcaggcgccattcgccattcaggctgcgcaactgttgggaagggcgatcggtgcgggcctcttcgctat
tacgccagctggcgaaagggggatgtgctgcaaggcgattaagttgggtaacgccagggttttcccagtcacgac

EcoRI glaattc397 AfeI agc|gct420

376 gttgtaaaacgacggccagtGAATTCGTCGACGGGACCCTCAGCGCTCAGACCCCTTCTTCCAAGCAGTATGGCG


| 151 |
| :--- |
| 45 |
| 4 |
| 526 | CTCCGGCGCAGACACCGAGCAGCCAGTACGGTGCACCGGCTCAGACCCCTTCTTCCAAGCAGTATGGCGCTCCGG

## F. 13 pJB-SgrAI-CYL-QEQV12

1 tcgcgcgtttcggtgatgacggtgaaaacctctgacacatgcagctcccggagacggtcacagcttgtctgtaag
cggatgccgggagcagacaagcccgtcagggcgcgtcagcgggtgttggcgggtgtcggggctggcttaactatg
NdeI caltatg185
cggcatcagagcagattgtactgagagtgcaccatatgcggtgtgaaataccgcacagatgcgtaaggagaaaat
accgcatcaggcgccattcgccattcaggctgcgcaactgttgggaagggcgatcggtgcgggcctcttcgctat
tacgccagctggcgaaagggggatgtgctgcaaggcgattaagttgggtaacgccagggttttcccagtcacgac
XmaI c|ccggg411
AvaI clycgrg411
NheI glctagc403
EcoRI glaattc397
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}E & F & A & S & V & P & G & Q & G & V & P & G & E & G & V & P & G & Q & G\end{array}$
gttgtaaaacgacggccagtGAATTCGCTAGCGTCCCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGG
 GCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTG
 GCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGG
 GTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAG
 GTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGG
 GCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTG
 GCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGG
 GTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAG
 GTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGG
 GCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTG BamHI g|gatcc1154
XhoI c|tcgag1148
SgrAI cr|ccggyg1138 XbaI t|ctaga1160
AgeI alccggt1131 AvaI c|ycgrg1148 SalI g|tcgac1166
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}V & P & V & T & G & G & A & R & G & I & L & * & S & R & P\end{array}$
1126 GCGTACCGGTCACCGGCGGGGCTCGAGGGATCCTCTAGAGTCGACCTgcaggcatgcaagcttggcgtaatcatg
1201 gtcatagctgtttcctgtgtgaaattgttatccgctcacaattccacacaacatacgagccggaagcataaagtg
1276 taaagcctggggtgcctaatgagtgagctaactcacattaattgcgttgcgctcactgcccgctttccagtcggg
1351 aaacctgtcgtgccagctgcattaatgaatcggccaacgcgcggggagaggcggtttgcgtattgggcgctcttc
1426 SapI gctcttcn|nnn1427
1426 cgcttcctcgctcactgactcgctgcgctcggtcgttcggctgcggcgagcggtatcagctcactcaaaggcggt
1501 aatacggttatccacagaatcaggggataacgcaggaaagaacatgtgagcaaaaggccagcaaaaggccaggaa
1576 ccgtaaaaaggccgcgttgctggcgtttttccataggctccgcccccctgacgagcatcacaaaaatcgacgctc
1651 aagtcagaggtggcgaaacccgacaggactataaagataccaggcgtttccccctggaagctccctcgtgcgctc
1726 tcctgttccgaccctgccgcttaccggatacctgtccgcctttctcccttcgggaagcgtggcgctttctcatag
1801 ctcacgctgtaggtatctcagttcggtgtaggtcgttcgctccaagctgggctgtgtgcacgaaccccccgttca

1876 gcccgaccgctgcgccttatccggtaactatcgtcttgagtccaacccggtaagacacgacttatcgccactggc
1951 agcagccactggtaacaggattagcagagcgaggtatgtaggcggtgctacagagttcttgaagtggtggcctaa
2026 ctacggctacactagaaggacagtatttggtatctgcgctctgctgaagccagttaccttcggaaaaagagttgg
2101 tagctcttgatccggcaaacaaaccaccgctggtagcggtggtttttttgtttgcaagcagcagattacgcgcag
2176 aaaaaaaggatctcaagaagatcctttgatcttttctacggggtctgacgctcagtggaacgaaaactcacgtta
2251 agggattttggtcatgagattatcaaaaaggatcttcacctagatccttttaaattaaaaatgaagttttaaatc
2326 aatctaaagtatatatgagtaaacttggtctgacagttaccaatgcttaatcagtgaggcacctatctcagcgat
2401 ctgtctatttcgttcatccatagttgcctgactccccgtcgtgtagataactacgatacgggagggcttaccatc
2476 tggccccagtgctgcaatgataccgcgagacccacgctcaccggctccagatttatcagcaataaaccagccagc
2551 cggaagggccgagcgcagaagtggtcctgcaactttatccgcctccatccagtctattaattgttgccgggaagc
2626 tagagtaagtagttcgccagttaatagtttgcgcaacgttgttgccattgctacaggcatcgtggtgtcacgctc
2701 gtcgtttggtatggcttcattcagctccggttcccaacgatcaaggcgagttacatgatcccccatgttgtgcaa
2776 aaaagcggttagctccttcggtcctccgatcgttgtcagaagtaagttggccgcagtgttatcactcatggttat
2851 ScaI agt|act291
6
2851 ggcagcactgcataattctcttactgtcatgccatccgtaagatgcttttctgtgactggtgagtactcaaccaa
2926 gtcattctgagaatagtgtatgcggcgaccgagttgctcttgcccggcgtcaatacgggataataccgcgccaca
3001 tagcagaactttaaaagtgctcatcattggaaaacgttcttcggggcgaaaactctcaaggatcttaccgctgtt
3076 gagatccagttcgatgtaacccactcgtgcacccaactgatcttcagcatcttttactttcaccagcgtttctgg
3151 gtgagcaaaaacaggaaggcaaaatgccgcaaaaaagggaataagggcgacacggaaatgttgaatactcatact
3226 cttcctttttcaatattattgaagcatttatcagggttattgtctcatgagcggatacatatttgaatgtattta
3301 gaaaaataaacaaataggggttccgcgcacatttccccgaaaagtgccacctgacgtctaagaaaccattattat
3376 catgacattaacctataaaataggcgtatcacgaggccctttcgtc

## F. 14 pJB-YC12-Tetra

1 tcgcgcgtttcggtgatgacggtgaaaacctctgacacatgcagctcccggagacggtcacagcttgtctgtaag
76 cggatgccgggagcagacaagcccgtcagggcgcgtcagcgggtgttggcgggtgtcggggctggcttaactatg

> NdeI caltatg185
cggcatcagagcagattgtactgagagtgcaccatatgcggtgtgaaataccgcacagatgcgtaaggagaaaat
accgcatcaggcgccattcgccattcaggctgcgcaactgttgggaagggcgatcggtgcgggcctcttcgctat
tacgccagctggcgaaagggggatgtgctgcaaggcgattaagttgggtaacgccagggttttcccagtcacgac XmaI c|ccggg417
PpuMI rg|gwccy410
SalI g|tcgac403
EcoRI glaattc397 AvaI clycgrg417

 451 GTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGG
 GTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGG
 GCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGG
 GTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGG



2476 gtgtaggtcgttcgctccaagctgggctgtgtgcacgaaccccccgttcagcccgaccgctgcgccttatccggt
2551 aactatcgtcttgagtccaacccggtaagacacgacttatcgccactggcagcagccactggtaacaggattagc
2626 agagcgaggtatgtaggcggtgctacagagttcttgaagtggtggcctaactacggctacactagaaggacagta
2701 tttggtatctgcgctctgctgaagccagttaccttcggaaaaagagttggtagctcttgatccggcaaacaaacc
2776 accgctggtagcggtggtttttttgtttgcaagcagcagattacgcgcagaaaaaaaggatctcaagaagatcct
2851 ttgatcttttctacggggtctgacgctcagtggaacgaaaactcacgttaagggattttggtcatgagattatca
2926 aaaaggatcttcacctagatccttttaaattaaaaatgaagttttaaatcaatctaaagtatatatgagtaaact
3001 tggtctgacagttaccaatgcttaatcagtgaggcacctatctcagcgatctgtctatttcgttcatccatagtt
3076 gcctgactccccgtcgtgtagataactacgatacgggagggcttaccatctggccccagtgctgcaatgataccg
3151 cgagacccacgctcaccggctccagatttatcagcaataaaccagccagccggaagggccgagcgcagaagtggt
3226 cctgcaactttatccgcctccatccagtctattaattgttgccgggaagctagagtaagtagttcgccagttaat
3301 agtttgcgcaacgttgttgccattgctacaggcatcgtggtgtcacgctcgtcgtttggtatggcttcattcagc
3376 tccggttcccaacgatcaaggcgagttacatgatcccccatgttgtgcaaaaaagcggttagctccttcggtcct 3451 ccgatcgttgtcagaagtaagttggccgcagtgttatcactcatggttatggcagcactgcataattctcttact 3526 ScaI agt|act3566
3526 gtcatgccatccgtaagatgcttttctgtgactggtgagtactcaaccaagtcattctgagaatagtgtatgcgg
3601 cgaccgagttgctcttgcccggcgtcaatacgggataataccgcgccacatagcagaactttaaaagtgctcatc 3676 attggaaaacgttcttcggggcgaaaactctcaaggatcttaccgctgttgagatccagttcgatgtaacccact 3751 cgtgcacccaactgatcttcagcatcttttactttcaccagcgtttctgggtgagcaaaaacaggaaggcaaaat 3826 gccgcaaaaaagggaataagggcgacacggaaatgttgaatactcatactcttcctttttcaatattattgaagc 3901 atttatcagggttattgtctcatgagcggatacatatttgaatgtatttagaaaaataaacaaataggggttccg 3976 cgcacatttccccgaaaagtgccacctgacgtctaagaaaccattattatcatgacattaacctataaaaatagg 4051 cgtatcacgaggccctttcgtc

## F. 15 pET28aCYL-RZY10

```
        1 NheI glctagc8
        I M M A S M T G G Q Q M G G H H H H
        1 ATGATGGCTAGCATGACTGGTGGACAGCAAATGGGTCACCACCACCACCACCACCTGGTTCCGCGTGGTTCTAGT
```



```
        7 6 \text { GCTCAGACCCCTTCTTCCAAGCAGTATGGCGCTCCGGCGCAGACACCGAGCAGCCAGTACGGTGCACCGGCTCAG}
        51 T P P S S K L Q Y G A P P A Q T T P P
        1 5 1 ~ A C C C C T T C T T C C A A G C A G T A T G G C G C T C C G G C G C A G A C A C C G A G C A G C C A G T A C G G T G C A C C G G C T C A G A C C C C T ~
```



```
2 2 6 ~ T C T T C C A A G C A G T A T G G C G C T C C G G C G C A G A C A C C G A G C A G C C A G T A C G G T G C A C C G G C T C A G A C C C C T T C T T C C ~
101 K Q Y G A P A Q T P P S S S Q P Y G A A P A A Q T P
3 0 1 ~ A A G C A G T A T G G C G C T C C G G C G C A G A C A C C G A G C A G C C A G T A C G G T G C A C C G G C T C A G A C C C C T T C T T C C A A G C A G ~
3 7 6 ~ X h o I ~ c \| t c g
ag446
3 7 6 ~ R s r I I ~ c g \| g w c c g 4 3 0
3 7 6 ~ A l e I ~ c a c n n \| n n g t g 4 2 1 ~ A v a I ~ c \| y c g ~
rg446
    126 Y G A P A Q T P S S S Q Y Y G A Prllllllllllllllll
    3 7 6 ~ T A T G G C G C T C C G G C G C A G A C A C C G A G C A G C C A G T A C G G T G C A C C G A A G T G G G C G G A C C G T G G A A T G C G G C T C G A G ~
    151 * *
    4 5 1 ~ T A A T A A
```


## F. 16 pET21Q-SKGPG-RZY10

```
    1 PpuMI rg|gwccy27
    1 SalI gltcgac20 AfeI agc|gct37
1 M M S K K G P F G V D D G T T L S S A Cllllllllllllllllll
1 ~ A T G A G C A A A G G T C C G G G T G T C G A C G G G A C C C T C A G C G C T C A G A C C C C T T C T T C C A A G C A G T A T G G C G C T C C G G C G ~
26 Q T P S S Q Y G A A P A Q Q T P P
7 6 \text { CAGACACCGAGCAGCCAGTACGGTGCACCGGCTCAGACCCCTTCTTCCAAGCAGTATGGCGCTCCGGCGCAGACA}
```



```
1 5 1 ~ C C G A G C A G C C A G T A C G G T G C A C C G G C T C A G A C C C C T T C T T C C A A G C A G T A T G G C G C T C C G G C G C A G A C A C C G A G C ~
76 S Q Y G A P A Q T P P S S S K K Q Y F G A P
2 2 6 ~ A G C C A G T A C G G T G C A C C G G C T C A G A C C C C T T C T T C C A A G C A G T A T G G C G C T C C G G C G C A G A C A C C G A G C A G C C A G ~
101 Y G A P A Q T P S S S S K Q P Y G A A P A A Q T T P P
3 0 1 ~ T A C G G T G C A C C G G C T C A G A C C C C T T C T T C C A A G C A G T A T G G C G C T C C G G C G C A G A C A C C G A G C A G C C A G T A C G G T ~
3 7 6 ~ X h o I ~ c \| t c g a g 4 0 7 ~
3 7 6 ~ R s r I I ~ c g l g w c c g 3 9 1
376 AleI cacnn|nngtg382 AvaI clycgrg407
126 A P K W A D R G M R L E E * *
3 7 6 ~ G C A C C G A A G T G G G C G G A C C G T G G A A T G C G G C T C G A G T A A T G A ~
```


## F. 17 pET21b-RZY10-HZR_HZE

1 NheI g|ctagc5
AfeI agc
| gct73

1 ATGGCTAGCATGACTGGTGGACAGCAAATGGGTCACCACCACCACCACCACCTGGTTCCGCGTGGTTCTAGCGCT

76 CAGACCCCTTCTTCCAAGCAGTATGGCGCTCCGGCGCAGACACCGAGCAGCCAGTACGGTGCACCGGCTCAGACC

151 CCTTCTTCCAAGCAGTATGGCGCTCCGGCGCAGACACCGAGCAGCCAGTACGGTGCACCGGCTCAGACCCCTTCT
 226 TCCAAGCAGTATGGCGCTCCGGCGCAGACACCGAGCAGCCAGTACGGTGCACCGGCTCAGACCCCTTCTTCCAAG 101 Q Y G A P A Q T P 301 CAGTATGGCGCTCCGGCGCAGACACCGAGCAGCCAGTACGGTGCACCGGCTCAGACCCCTTCTTCCAAGCAGTAT 376 AleI cacnn|nngtg418

126 G A P A Q T P S S Q Y G A P 376 GGCGCTCCGGCGCAGACACCGAGCAGCCAGTACGGTGCACCGCAGTGGGGCGGCGGCGGAAGCGGCGGCGGCGGG
 451 AGCCTGGAAATCCGTGCAGCCGCGCTCCGCCGTCGCAACACAGCCCTGCGGACCCGTGTTGCGGAGCTGCGCCAA 526 MluI alcgcgt526

BglII a|gatct590

526 CGCGTTCAGCGTCTGCGCAATGAAGTAAGCCAGTACGAAACCCGCTATGGCCCGTTGTAATAAagatctcatcac
601 catcaccatcactaaccttaattagctgagcttggactcctgttgatagatccagtaatgacctcagaactccat

676 ctggatttgttcagaacgctcggttgccgccgggcgttttttattggtgagaatccaagctagcatgactggtgg

```
197 M M R G G G G G S L L E
7 5 1 ~ a c a g c a a a t g g g t c g g g a t c c g a a t t c a t t a a a g a g g a g a a a t t a a c t A T G A G A G G A G G T G G C G G A T C C C T G G A A ~
```



```
8 2 6 ~ A T C G A A G C G G C G G C G C T G G A A C A G G A A A A C A C C G C G C T G G A A A C C G A A G T T G C G G A A C T G G A A C A G G A A G T T C A G ~
231 R L E N I V S Q Y Y R T R I Y G P L N * *
901 CGTCTGGAAAACATCGTTTCTCAGTACCGTACCCGTTATGGTCCGCTGTAATAA
```


## F. 18 pET28aCYL-RZY10-LZR

```
    1 NheI glctagc8
    I M M A S M T G G Q Q M G G H F H H
    1 ATGATGGCTAGCATGACTGGTGGACAGCAAATGGGTCACCACCACCACCACCACCTGGTTCCGCGTGGTTCTAGT
```



```
76 GCTCAGACCCCTTCTTCCAAGCAGTATGGCGCTCCGGCGCAGACACCGAGCAGCCAGTACGGTGCACCGGCTCAG
51 T P S S K Q Y G A P A A Q T P P S S S Cllllllllllllll
151 ACCCCTTCTTCCAAGCAGTATGGCGCTCCGGCGCAGACACCGAGCAGCCAGTACGGTGCACCGGCTCAGACCCCT
76 S S K Q Y G A P A Q P T P P
226 TCTTCCAAGCAGTATGGCGCTCCGGCGCAGACACCGAGCAGCCAGTACGGTGCACCGGCTCAGACCCCTTCTTCC
101 K Q Y G A P A Q T P P S S S Q P Y G A P P A A Q T P
3 0 1 ~ A A G C A G T A T G G C G C T C C G G C G C A G A C A C C G A G C A G C C A G T A C G G T G C A C C G G C T C A G A C C C C T T C T T C C A A G C A G ~
3 7 6 ~ A l e I ~ c a c n n \| n n g t g 4 2 1 ~
126 Y G A P A Q T P S S Q Y G A P Q W W G G G G S G G G G
3 7 6 ~ T A T G G C G C T C C G G C G C A G A C A C C G A G C A G C C A G T A C G G T G C A C C G C A G T G G G G C G G A G G G G G C A G C G G C G G C G G C ~
```



```
4 5 1 ~ G G C A G C T T A G A G A T T C G T G C C G C C T T T T T G G A A C A G G A A A A T A C G G C C C T G C G C A C T C G C G C A G C G G A A C T G C G C ~
```



```
5 2 6 ~ C A G C G C G T T G G T C G T C T G C G C A A C A T C G T C T C C C A A T A T G A A A C C C G C T A C G G C C C G C T G T A A T A A ~
```


## F. 19 pET28aCYL-RZY10-COMPm

```
    1 NheI glctagc8
    I M M A S M T G G Q Q M M G H H H H H
    1 ATGATGGCTAGCATGACTGGTGGACAGCAAATGGGTCACCACCACCACCACCACCTGGTTCCGCGTGGTTCTAGT
26 A Q T P P S S K L Q Y G A P A A Q T T P P
7 6 \text { GCTCAGACCCCTTCTTCCAAGCAGTATGGCGCTCCGGCGCAGACACCGAGCAGCCAGTACGGTGCACCGGCTCAG}
```



```
1 5 1 ~ A C C C C T T C T T C C A A G C A G T A T G G C G C T C C G G C G C A G A C A C C G A G C A G C C A G T A C G G T G C A C C G G C T C A G A C C C C T ~
76 S S K Q Y G A P A Q T P P S S S Q Y F G A P A A Q T P
2 2 6 ~ T C T T C C A A G C A G T A T G G C G C T C C G G C G C A G A C A C C G A G C A G C C A G T A C G G T G C A C C G G C T C A G A C C C C T T C T T C C ~
101 K Q Y G A P A Q T P P S S S Q P Y G A P P A A Q T P
3 0 1 ~ A A G C A G T A T G G C G C T C C G G C G C A G A C A C C G A G C A G C C A G T A C G G T G C A C C G G C T C A G A C C C C T T C T T C C A A G C A G ~
3 7 6 ~ A l e I ~ c a c n n \| n n g t g 4 2 1 ~
126 Y G A P A Q T P S S Q Y G A P P Q W G G G G S C G G G G
3 7 6 ~ T A T G G C G C T C C G G C G C A G A C A C C G A G C A G C C A G T A C G G T G C A C C G C A G T G G G G C G G C G G C G G T T C T G G C G G C G G T ~
```



```
4 5 1 ~ G G T T C T G C T C C G C A G A T G C T G C G T G A A C T G C A G G A A A C C A A T G C T G C T C T G C A G G A C G T T C G T G A A C T G C T G C G T ~
```

```
5 2 6 ~ M l u I ~ a l c g c g t 5 7 6
176 Q A V Q E I T F L Q N T V M E S D A S * *
5 2 6 ~ C A G G C A G T G C A G G A A A T C A C C T T C C T G C A A A A C A C C G T T A T G G A A T C T G A C G C G T C T T A A T A A ~
```


## F. 20 pET21Q-HZE-BMP2GC

```
        1 \text { BamHI glgatcc5 SpeI alctagt47}
    I M M G S H H H H H H H H H
    1 ~ A T G G G A T C C C A T C A C C A C C A C C A C C A C C T G G T T C C G C G T G G T T C T A C T A G T C T G G A A A T C G A G G C A G C T G C T C T G ~
26 E Q E N T A L E T E V A A E L E Q E E V Q R L L E N N I I V
7 6 \text { GAACAGGAAAACACGGCGCTGGAGACCGAAGTTGCGGAACTGGAACAGGAAGTGCAGCGTCTGGAGAACATCGTG}
1 5 1 ~ N h e I ~ g l c t a g c 1 8 2 ~ A g e I ~ a l c c g g t 2 ~
1 8
```



```
1 5 1 ~ T C T C A G T A C A A A A C C C G T T A T G G T C C G C T G G C T A G C G G C G G C G G C G G T T C T G G C G G C G G T G G T T C T A C C G G T A A G ~
2 2 6 ~ A v a I ~ c l y c g r g 2 8 1 ~
```



```
2 2 6 ~ A T C C C A A A A G C C A G C T C C G T G C C G A C C G A A C T G T C A G C A A T C T C T A C G C T G T A C C T C G G G G G T G G C G G T T C T T G C ~
101 * *
301 TAATAA
```


## F. 21 pET21Q-HZE-RGDGC

```
1 BamHI g|gatcc5
                                    SpeI a|ctagt47
    1 M G S H H H H H H H L V V P R R G S S T S L L E I I E A A A L
    1 ~ A T G G G A T C C C A T C A C C A C C A C C A C C A C C T G G T T C C G C G T G G T T C T A C T A G T C T G G A A A T C G A G G C A G C T G C T C T G ~
26 E Q E N T A L E T E E V A E L L E Q E E V Q R L L E E N I I V
7 6 \text { GAACAGGAAAACACGGCGCTGGAGACCGAAGTTGCGGAACTGGAACAGGAAGTGCAGCGTCTGGAGAACATCGTG}
1 5 1 ~ N h e I ~ g l c t a g c 1 8 2 ~ A g e I ~ a l c c g g t 2 ~
```



```
1 5 1 ~ T C T C A G T A C A A A A C C C G T T A T G G T C C G C T G G C T A G C G G C G G C G G C G G T T C T G G C G G C G G T G G T T C T A C C G G T A C C ~
76 I T T V Y A V V T G R R G D D S P P A S S S I K P
226 ATCACCGTGTATGCGGTTACTGGTCGTGGCGACTCTCCGGCATCTTCTAAACCGATTGGTGGCGGTGGCTCTTGT
101 * *
301 TAATAA
```

18

## F. 22 pET21Q-LZE-BMP2GC

```
1 BamHI glgatcc5 SpeI alctagt47
1 M G S H H H H H H H L V V P R R G S S T S S I T T I R R A A A
1 ~ A T G G G A T C C C A T C A C C A C C A C C A C C A C C T G G T T C C G C G T G G T T C T A C T A G T A T C A C C A T C C G T G C C G C G T T C C T G ~
26 E Q E N N T A A L R T T E A A A E L L E Q E E V G R R L E E N N I I V
7 6 \text { GAACAGGAAAACACCGCCCTGCGTACCGAAGCCGCTGAGCTCGAACAGGAGGTCGGCCGTCTGGAAAACATTGTT}
1 5 1 ~ N h e I ~ g l c t a g c 1 8 2 ~ A g e I ~ a \| c c g g t 2
```

18


```
1 5 1 ~ A G C C A G T A C A A A A C C C G T T A T G G T C C G C T G G C T A G C G G C G G C G G C G G T T C T G G C G G C G G T G G T T C T A C C G G T A A G ~
2 2 6 ~ A v a I ~ c l y c g r g 2 8 1
```



```
2 2 6 ~ A T C C C A A A A G C C A G C T C C G T G C C G A C C G A A C T G T C A G C A A T C T C T A C G C T G T A C C T C G G G G G T G G C G G T T C T T G C ~
101 * *
301 TAATAA
```


## F. 23 pET21Q-COMPm-BMP2GC

```
    1 BamHI glgatcc5 SpeI alctagt47
    1 M G S F H H H H H H H
    1 ~ A T G G G A T C C C A T C A C C A C C A C C A C C A C C T G G T T C C G C G T G G T T C T A C T A G T G C T C C G C A G A T G C T G C G T G A A C T G ~
```



```
    7 6 \text { CAGGAAACCAATGCTGCTCTGCAGGACGTTCGTGAACTGCTGCGTCAGGCAGTGCAGGAAATCACCTTCCTGCAA}
1 5 1 ~ N h e I ~ g l c t a g c 1 7 9 ~
1 5 1 ~ M l u I ~ a \| c g c g t 1 7 1 ~ A g e I ~ a l c c g g t 2 1 5 ~
```



```
1 5 1 ~ A A C A C C G T T A T G G A A T C T G A C G C G T C T G C T A G C G G C G G C G G C G G T T C T G G C G G C G G T G G T T C T A C C G G T A A G A T C ~
2 2 6 ~ A v a I ~ c l y c g r g 2 7 8 ~
76 P
2 2 6 ~ C C A A A A G C C A G C T C C G T G C C G A C C G A A C T G T C A G C A A T C T C T A C G C T G T A C C T C G G G G G T G G C G G T T C T T G C T A A ~
101 *
3 0 1 ~ T A A
```


## F. 24 pET21Q-COMPm-RGDGC

1 BamHI glgatcc5 SpeI alctagt47

1 ATGGGATCCCATCACCACCACCACCACCTGGTTCCGCGTGGTTCTACTAGTGCTCCGCAGATGCTGCGTGAACTG

76 CAGGAAACCAATGCTGCTCTGCAGGACGTTCGTGAACTGCTGCGTCAGGCAGTGCAGGAAATCACCTTCCTGCAA
151 NheI glctagc179

151 MluI alcgcgt171 AgeI alccggt215

151 AACACCGTTATGGAATCTGACGCGTCTGCTAGCGGCGGCGGCGGTTCTGGCGGCGGTGGTTCTACCGGTACCATC
 226 ACCGTGTATGCGGTTACTGGTCGTGGCGACTCTCCGGCATCTTCTAAACCGATTGGTGGCGGTGGCTCTTGTTAA 101 *

301 TAA

## F. 25 pET28aRW-YKV72

1 NheI glctagc8

1 ATGATGGCTAGCATGACTGGTGGACAGCAAATGGGTCACCACCACCACCACCACCATGATGATGATGATAAACTC

```
        76 XmaI clccggg88
        76 AvaI clycgrg88
        76 PpuMI rg|gwccy81
        26 D G T T L P P G Y G V V P G K K G G V P
        7 6 \text { GACGGGACCCTCCCGGGCTATGGGGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGTTACGGCGTACCG}
        51 G K K G V P F G V G V P P G Y G G V P P G G K G G V P
        1 5 1 ~ G G T A A A G G G G T T C C A G G C G T G G G T G T A C C G G G C T A T G G G G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G ~
        76 G Y G V P G K G V V P G G V G V V P F G Y G G V P
        2 2 6 ~ G G T T A C G G C G T A C C G G G T A A A G G G G T T C C A G G C G T G G G T G T A C C G G G C T A T G G G G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G ~
```



```
    3 0 1 ~ G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G T T A C G G C G T A C C G G G T A A A G G G G T T C C A G G C G T G G G T G T A C C G G G C T A T G G G G T G C C G ~
```



```
    3 7 6 \text { GGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGTTACGGCGTACCGGGTAAAGGGGTTCCAGGCGTGGGTGTACCG}
    151 G Y G V P G K G V P G V V G V P P G F Y G V P P G K G G V P
    4 5 1 ~ G G C T A T G G G G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G T T A C G G C G T A C C G G G T A A A G G G G T T C C A ~
    176 G V G V P G Y G V P F G K K G V P P G V G G V P F G Y G G V P
    526 GGCGTGGGTGTACCGGGCTATGGGGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGTTACGGCGTACCG
```



```
    6 0 1 \text { GGTAAAGGGGTTCCAGGCGTGGGTGTACCGGGCTATGGGGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCG}
    226 G Y G V P G K G V P G V G G V P F G Y G G V P F G K G G V P
    6 7 6 \text { GGTTACGGCGTACCGGGTAAAGGGGTTCCAGGCGTGGGTGTACCGGGCTATGGGGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCG}
    251 G V V G V P P G Y G G V P P G K K G V V P P G V V G V V P
    7 5 1 ~ G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G T T A C G G C G T A C C G G G T A A A G G G G T T C C A G G C G T G G G T G T A C C G G G C T A T G G G G T G C C G ~
    276 G K G V P G V G V P F G Y G V V P F G K K G V V P G V V G V P
    8 2 6 ~ G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G T T A C G G C G T A C C G G G T A A A G G G G T T C C A G G C G T G G G T G T A C C G ~
    301 G Y G V P G K G V P G V V G V P P G Y G V V P F G K K G V P
    901 GGCTATGGGGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGTTACGGCGTACCGGGTAAAGGGGTTCCA
    326 G V G V P G Y G V V P G K K G V V P F G V V G V V P G F Y G V P
    976 GGCGTGGGTGTACCGGGCTATGGGGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGTTACGGCGTACCG
    351 G K G V P G V G V P G G Y G V F P G K K G V V P G V G G V P
    1 0 5 1 ~ G G T A A A G G G G T T C C A G G C G T G G G T G T A C C G G G C T A T G G G G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G ~
    1126 XhoI c|tcgag1
1 9 3
    1126
    RsrII cglgwccg1177
    1126
1 9 3
    376 G Y G V P G K G V P G V G G V P V F A D R G G M R L L E *
    1126 GGTTACGGCGTACCGGGTAAAGGGGTTCCAGGCGTGGGTGTACCGGTAGCGGACCGTGGAATGCGGCTCGAGTAA
    401 *
    1201 TAA
```


## F. 26 pET28aRW-YKV96

```
1 NheI glctagc8
I M M A S M T G G Q Q M G H H H H H H H
1 ATGATGGCTAGCATGACTGGTGGACAGCAAATGGGTCACCACCACCACCACCACCATGATGATGATGATAAACTC
76 XmaI clccggg88
```

```
                AvaI clycgrg88
    76 PpuMI rg|gwccy81
    26 D G T L P G Y G V P G K K G V P F G V G V V P
    7 6 \text { GACGGGACCCTCCCGGGCTATGGGGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGTTACGGCGTACCG}
```



```
1 5 1 ~ G G T A A A G G G G T T C C A G G C G T G G G T G T A C C G G G C T A T G G G G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G ~
    76 G Y G V P G K G V F P G V V G V P P G F Y G V V P
2 2 6 ~ G G T T A C G G C G T A C C G G G T A A A G G G G T T C C A G G C G T G G G T G T A C C G G G C T A T G G G G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G ~
```



```
3 0 1 ~ G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G T T A C G G C G T A C C G G G T A A A G G G G T T C C A G G C G T G G G T G T A C C G G G C T A T G G G G T G C C G ~
```



```
3 7 6 \text { GGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGTTACGGCGTACCGGGTAAAGGGGTTCCAGGCGTGGGTGTACCG}
151 G Y G V P G K G V P G V G V V P G G Y G V V P G K G G V P
4 5 1 ~ G G C T A T G G G G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G T T A C G G C G T A C C G G G T A A A G G G G T T C C A ~
176 G V G V P G Y G V P F G K G G V P P G V G G V P P
526 GGCGTGGGTGTACCGGGCTATGGGGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGTTACGGCGTACCG
201 G K G V P G V G V P F G Y G G V P P G K K G V P P G V V G V P
6 0 1 \text { GGTAAAGGGGTTCCAGGCGTGGGTGTACCGGGCTATGGGGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCG}
226 G Y G V P G K G V P G V V G V P P G Y F G V P P
6 7 6 \text { GGTTACGGCGTACCGGGTAAAGGGGTTCCAGGCGTGGGTGTACCGGGCTATGGGGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCG}
251 G V V G V P F G Y G G V P P G K K G V V P
7 5 1 \text { GGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGTTACGGCGTACCGGGTAAAGGGGTTCCAGGCGTGGGTGTACCGGGCTATGGGGTGCCG}
276 G K G V P F G V G V P P G Y G V V P P G K K G V P P
826 GGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGTTACGGCGTACCGGGTAAAGGGGTTCCAGGCGTGGGTGTACCG
301 G Y G V P G K G V P G V G G V P G G Y G V F P
901 GGCTATGGGGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGTTACGGCGTACCGGGTAAAGGGGTTCCA
```



```
976 GGCGTGGGTGTACCGGGCTATGGGGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGTTACGGCGTACCG
```



```
1051 GGTAAAGGGGTTCCAGGCGTGGGTGTACCGGGCTATGGGGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCG
376 G Y G V P G K G V P F G V G V V P F G Y G G V P P
1126 GGTTACGGCGTACCGGGTAAAGGGGTTCCAGGCGTGGGTGTACCGGGCTATGGGGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCG
```



```
1201 GGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGTTACGGCGTACCGGGTAAAGGGGTTCCAGGCGTGGGTGTACCGGGCTATGGGGTGCCG
```



```
1276 GGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGTTACGGCGTACCGGGTAAAGGGGTTCCAGGCGTGGGTGTACCG
```



```
1351 GGCTATGGGGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGTTACGGCGTACCGGGTAAAGGGGTTCCA
    476 G V V G V P F G Y G G V P P G K K G V P P G V V G V V P
1426 GGCGTGGGTGTACCGGGCTATGGGGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGTTACGGCGTACCG
1 5 0 1 ~ X h o I ~ c \| t c g a g 1 5 5 3
1 5 0 1 ~ R s r I I ~ c g \| g w c c g 1 5 3 7
1 5 0 1 ~ A g e I ~ a l c c g g t 1 5 2 8 ~ A v a I ~ c l y c g r g 1 5 5 3
    501 G K G V P G V G V P V V A D D R G M M R L E * *
1501 GGTAAAGGGGTTCCAGGCGTGGGTGTACCGGTAGCGGACCGTGGAATGCGGCTCGAGTAATAA
```


## F. 27 pET21Q-SKGPG-YKV48

```
    1 ~ X m a I ~ c \| c c g g g 3 4
    1 AvaI clycgrg34
    1 PpuMI rg|gwccy27
    1 SalI g|tcgac20
```



```
    1 ~ A T G A G C A A A G G T C C G G G T G T C G A C G G G A C C C T C C C G G G C T A T G G G G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C ~
26 V P F G Y G V P P G K K G V V P F G V V G V V P
76 GTACCGGGTTACGGCGTACCGGGTAAAGGGGTTCCAGGCGTGGGTGTACCGGGCTATGGGGTGCCGGGTAAAGGC
51 V P P G V V G V P P G Y F G V V P F G K K G V V P
1 5 1 ~ G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G T T A C G G C G T A C C G G G T A A A G G G G T T C C A G G C G T G G G T G T A C C G G G C T A T G G G ~
```



```
2 2 6 ~ G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G T T A C G G C G T A C C G G G T A A A G G G G T T C C A G G C G T G G G T ~
101 V P F G Y G V P F G K G G V V P G G V G G V P
3 0 1 ~ G T A C C G G G C T A T G G G G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G T T A C G G C G T A C C G G G T A A A G G G ~
126 V P P G V G G V P F G Y F G V V P F G K K G V V P
3 7 6 ~ G T T C C A G G C G T G G G T G T A C C G G G C T A T G G G G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G T T A C G G C ~
151 V P P G K G G V P F G V G G V P P G F Y G G V P
4 5 1 ~ G T A C C G G G T A A A G G G G T T C C A G G C G T G G G T G T A C C G G G C T A T G G G G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C ~
```



```
5 2 6 ~ G T A C C G G G T T A C G G C G T A C C G G G T A A A G G G G T T C C A G G C G T G G G T G T A C C G G G C T A T G G G G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C ~
```



```
6 0 1 ~ G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G T T A C G G C G T A C C G G G T A A A G G G G T T C C A G G C G T G G G T G T A C C G G G C T A T G G G ~
```



```
6 7 6 \text { GTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGTTACGGCGTACCGGGTAAAGGGGTTCCAGGCGTGGGT}
7 5 1 ~ X h o I ~ c \| t c g a g 7 9 4 ~
7 5 1 ~ R s r I I ~ c g l g w c c g 7 6 3 ~ A v a I ~ c l y c g r g 7 9 4 ~
7 5 1 ~ A g e I ~ a l c c g g t 7 5 4 ~ E c o R I ~ g l a a t t c 7 8 8 ~
251 V P V A A D R G M M R L L D K K E F F L L E * *
7 5 1 ~ G T A C C G G T A G C G G A C C G T G G A A T G C G G C T C G A C A A A G A A T T C C T C G A G T A A T G A ~
```


## F. 28 pET28aRW-QEQV12 (aka QEQV48)



```
301 GGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCG
```



``` 376 GGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCG
```



``` 451 GGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCG
```



``` 526 GGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCG
```



``` 601 GGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCG
```



``` 676 GGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCG 751 RsrII cglgwceg 817
751 AgeI alccggt808
```



``` 751 GGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCGGTAGCGGACCGTGGA
826 XhoI cltcgag833
826 AvaI c|ycgrg833
276 M R L E * *
826 ATGCGGCTCGAGTAATAA
```


## F. 29 pET21Q-SKGPG-QEQV12 (aka SKGPG-QEQV48)

```
                                    XmaI c|ccggg43
                                    AvaI clycgrg43
                                    EcoRI g|aattc29
                            SalI g|tcgac20 NheI glctagc35
```



```
1 ~ A T G A G C A A A G G T C C G G G T G T C G A C A A A G A A T T C G C T A G C G T C C C G G G C C A G G G T G T G C C G G G C G A A G G T G T T C C G ~
26 G Q G V P G V G V P F G Q G V F P G E E G V P P G Q C G V P
7 6 ~ G G T C A G G G C G T G C C G G G T G T T G G C G T A C C G G G C C A G G G T G T G C C G G G C G A A G G T G T T C C G G G T C A G G G C G T G C C G ~
```



```
151 GGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCG
76 G Q G V P G E G V P G Q G V P G G V G V P F G Q G V P
2 2 6 ~ G G C C A G G G T G T G C C G G G C G A A G G T G T T C C G G G T C A G G G C G T G C C G G G T G T T G G C G T A C C G G G C C A G G G T G T G C C G ~
101 G E G V P G Q G V P F G V G V V P G G Q G V F P G E E G V V P
3 0 1 ~ G G C G A A G G T G T T C C G G G T C A G G G C G T G C C G G G T G T T G G C G T A C C G G G C C A G G G T G T G C C G G G C G A A G G T G T T C C G ~
```



```
3 7 6 \text { GGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCG}
151 G V G V P G Q G V P G E G V P G G Q G V P F G V G V P
4 5 1 ~ G G T G T T G G C G T A C C G G G C C A G G G T G T G C C G G G C G A A G G T G T T C C G G G T C A G G G C G T G C C G G G T G T T G G C G T A C C G ~
176 G Q G V P G E G G V P P G Q G F V P F G V G G V P
526 GGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCG
201 G E G V P G Q G V P G V G V P F G Q G V P P G E E G V P
6 0 1 ~ G G C G A A G G T G T T C C G G G T C A G G G C G T G C C G G G T G T T G G C G T A C C G G G C C A G G G T G T G C C G G G C G A A G G T G T T C C G ~
226 G Q G V P G V G V P G Q G V P F G E G G V P G G Q G V P
6 7 6 \text { GGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCG}
```

```
7 5 1
7 5 1
751
7 5 1 ~ A g e I ~ a l c c g g t 7 6 3 ~ A v a I ~ c l y c g r g 7 8 0 ~
251 G V G V P V T G G A R G I L *
751 GGTGTTGGCGTACCGGTCACCGGCGGGGCTCGAGGGATCCTCTAG
```


## F. 30 pET21Q-SKGPG-YC12-His

```
                                    XmaI clccggg34
                                    AvaI c|ycgrg34
                                    PpuMI rg|gwccy27
            SalI g|tcgac20
```



```
    1 ~ A T G A G C A A A G G T C C G G G T G T C G A C G G G A C C C T C C C G G G C T A C G G T G T G C C G G G T A A G G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C ~
    26 V P P G C C G V P P G K K G V V P F G V V G V V P
    76 GTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGC
    51 V P P G V G G V P P G C C G V V P P
1 5 1 ~ G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T T C C G G G T T G C G G C G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G C T A C G G T ~
```



```
    2 2 6 ~ G T G C C G G G T A A G G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T T C C G G G T T G C G G C G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C ~
101 V P F G Y G V P F G K G G V P P G F V G G V P
3 0 1 ~ G T A C C G G G C T A C G G T G T G C C G G G T A A G G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T T C C G G G T T G C G G C G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C ~
126 V P F G V G V F P G Y G G V P P G F K G V V P
3 7 6 \text { GTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGC}
151 V P P G K G G V P F G V G G V P P G F Y G V V P
4 5 1 ~ G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G C T A C G G T G T G C C G G G T A A G G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C ~
```



```
526 GTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGC
201 V P P G V V G V P P G C C G V V P F G K K G V V P
6 0 1 ~ G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T T C C G G G T T G C G G C G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G C T A C G G T ~
226 V P P G K G G V P P G V G G V P P G C C G V V P
676 GTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGC
251 V P P G Y G V P F G K K G V V P G G V G G V P
7 5 1 ~ G T A C C G G G C T A C G G T G T G C C G G G T A A G G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T T C C G G G T T G C G G C G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C ~
276 V P P G V G G V P F G Y F G V V P F G K K G G V P
826 GTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGC
301 V P F G K G V P F G V G V V P F G Y G G V P
901 GTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGC
```



```
976 GTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGC
1051
                                    RsrII cg
| gwccg1123
1051
                                    AgeI a|ccggt1114
    351 V P G V V G V P G C C G V P P G K K G V V P F G V G G V P
1051 GTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGTAGCGGAC
1126 XhoI c|tcgag1139
```

```
1126 AvaI clycgrg1139
    376 R G M R L E H H H H H H *
1126 CGTGGAATGCGGCTCGAGCACCACCACCACCACCACTGA
```


## F. 31 pET21Q-SKGPG-YC12-M-His

```
    1 XmaI clccggg34
    1 \text { AvaI clycgrg34}
    1 PpuMI rg|gwccy27
    1 SalI gltcgac20
    1 M S K G P G V D G T L P G Y G V P G K G V P G V G
    1 ATGAGCAAAGGTCCGGGTGTCGACGGGACCCTCCCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGC
    26 V P G C G V P G K G V P G V G V P G Y G V P G K G
    76 GTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGC
    51 V P G V G V P G C G V P G K G V P G V G V P G Y G
151 GTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGT
    76 V P G K G V P G V G V P G C G V P G K G V P G V G
2 2 6 ~ G T G C C G G G T A A G G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T T C C G G G T T G C G G C G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C ~
101 V P G Y G V P G K G V P G V G V P G C G V P G K G
3 0 1 ~ G T A C C G G G C T A C G G T G T G C C G G G T A A G G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T T C C G G G T T G C G G C G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C ~
126 V P G V G V P G Y G V P G K G V P G V G V P G C G
376 GTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGC
151 V P G K G V P G V G V P G Y G V P G K G V P G V G
4 5 1 ~ G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G C T A C G G T G T G C C G G G T A A G G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C ~
176 V P G C G V P G K G V P G V G V P G Y G V P G K G
526 GTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGC
201 V P G V G V P G C G V P G K G V P G V G V P G Y G
601 GTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGT
226 V P G K G V P G V G V P G C G V P G K G V P G V G
676 GTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGC
251 V P G Y G V P G K G V P G V G V P G C C G V P G K G
7 5 1 ~ G T A C C G G G C T A C G G T G T G C C G G G T A A G G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T T C C G G G T T G C G G C G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C ~
276 V P G V G V P G Y G V P G K G V P G V G V P G C G
826 GTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGC
301 V P G K G V P G V G V P G Y G V P G K G V P G V G
901 GTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGC
326 V P G C G V P G K G V P G V G V P G Y G V P G K G
976 GTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGC
1051 RSrII cg
| gwccg1123
1 0 5 1 ~ A g e I ~ a l c c g g t 1 1 1 4
```



```
1051 GTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGTAGCGGAC
    376 R G M R R L D D S K K I Prllllllllllllllllllllllll
1 1 2 6 ~ C G T G G A A T G C G G C T C G A T A G C A A A A T C C C G A A A G C C A G C T C C G T G C C G A C C G A A C T G T C A G C A A T C T C T A C G C T G ~
1201 XhoI cltcgag1208
1201 AvaI clycgrg1208
```

401 Y L L E H H H H H H
1201 TACCTGCTCGAGCACCACCACCACCACCACTGA

## F. 32 pET21Q-SKGPG-YC12-D-His

```
                    XmaI clccggg34
                                    AvaI clycgrg34
                                    PpuMI rglgwccy27
                                    SalI gltcgac20
                                    1 M M S K K G P P G V V D G G T L L P F G F Y G G V P
                    ATGAGCAAAGGTCCGGGTGTCGACGGGACCCTCCCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGC
                    26 V P P G C C G V P P G K K G V V P F G V V G V V P
                                    76 GTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGC
                                    51 V F P F G V G V V P F G C C G V V P P
                                    1 5 1 ~ G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T T C C G G G T T G C G G C G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G C T A C G G T ~
```



```
                                    2 2 6 ~ G T G C C G G G T A A G G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T T C C G G G T T G C G G C G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C ~
                                    101 V P F G Y G V P F G K G V V P F G V G G V P
                                    3 0 1 ~ G T A C C G G G C T A C G G T G T G C C G G G T A A G G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T T C C G G G T T G C G G C G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C ~
                                    126 V P F G V G V P F G Y F G V V P G G K G G V P
                                    3 7 6 \text { GTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGC}
                                    151 V P P G K G G V P F G V G G V P P G F Y G G V P
                                    4 5 1 ~ G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G C T A C G G T G T G C C G G G T A A G G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C ~
                                    176 V P P G C G V V P F G K K G V V P F G V G G V V P
                                    526 GTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGC
                                    201 V P F G V G V P F G C G G V P P G K K G V V P P G V V G V P
                                    6 0 1 ~ G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T T C C G G G T T G C G G C G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G C T A C G G T ~
```



```
                                    676 GTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGC
                                    251 V P P G Y G V P F G K K G V V P G G V G G V P
                                    7 5 1 ~ G T A C C G G G C T A C G G T G T G C C G G G T A A G G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T T C C G G G T T G C G G C G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C ~
```



```
                                    826 GTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGC
                                    301 V P P G K G G V P F G V G G V P P G F Y G V V P
                                    901 GTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGC
                                    326 V P P G C C G V V P F G K K G V V P F G V V G G V P
                                    976 GTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGC
                                    1051 RsrII Cg
| gwccg1123
1 0 5 1 ~ A g e I ~ a l c c g g t 1 1 1 4
```



```
1051 GTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGTAGCGGAC
    376 R G M M R L L D S S K I I P
1126 CGTGGAATGCGGCTCGATAGCAAAATCCCGAAAGCCAGCTCCGTGCCGACCGAACTGTCAGCAATCTCTACGCTG
    401 Y L L L D S I K I Prlllllllllllllllllllllllllll
1201 TACCTGCTCGATAGCAAAATCCCGAAAGCCAGCTCCGTGCCGACCGAACTGTCAGCAATCTCTACGCTGTACCTG
1276 XhoI c|tcgag1277
```

1276 AvaI c|ycgrg1277
426 L E H H H H H H *
1276 CTCGAGCACCACCACCACCACCACTGA

## F. 33 pET21Q-SKGPG-YC12-T-His

```
    1 \mp@code { X m a I ~ c \| c c g g g 3 4 }
    1 \mp@code { A v a I ~ c l y c g r g 3 4 }
    1 PpuMI rg|gwccy27
    1 SalI gltcgac20
    1 M S S K G P F G V V D G T L L P P G F Y G F V P
    1 ~ A T G A G C A A A G G T C C G G G T G T C G A C G G G A C C C T C C C G G G C T A C G G T G T G C C G G G T A A G G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C ~
    26
    76 GTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGC
```



```
1 5 1 ~ G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T T C C G G G T T G C G G C G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G C T A C G G T ~
    76 V P P G K G G V P F G V G G V P P G C C G V V P
2 2 6 ~ G T G C C G G G T A A G G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T T C C G G G T T G C G G C G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C ~
101 V P P G Y G V P P G K G G V P P G G V G G V P
3 0 1 ~ G T A C C G G G C T A C G G T G T G C C G G G T A A G G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T T C C G G G T T G C G G C G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C ~
126 V P G V V G V P G F Y G V P P G K K G V V P F G V V G V P
3 7 6 \text { GTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGC}
151 V P P G K G G V P F G V G G V P P G G Y G G V P
451 GTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGC
176 V P P G C G G V P F G K K G V V P G G V G G V P
526 GTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGC
201 V P F G V G V P G G C G V V P G G K G G V P
6 0 1 ~ G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T T C C G G G T T G C G G C G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G C T A C G G T ~
226 V P F G K G V P P G V G G V P P G C C G V V P
676 GTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGC
251 V P P G Y G G V P F G K K G V V P F G V V G V V P
7 5 1 ~ G T A C C G G G C T A C G G T G T G C C G G G T A A G G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T T C C G G G T T G C G G C G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C ~
276 V P F G V G V P G G Y G G V P P G K K G V V P
826 GTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGC
```



```
901 GTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGC
326 V P P G C C G V P P G K K G V V P F G V V G V V P
976 GTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGC
1051 RsrII cg
| gwccg1123
1 0 5 1 ~ A g e I ~ a l c c g g t 1 1 1 4
```



```
1051 GTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGTAGCGGAC
    376 R G M M R L L D S S K I I Prlllllllllllllllllllllll
1126 CGTGGAATGCGGCTCGATAGCAAAATCCCGAAAGCCAGCTCCGTGCCGACCGAACTGTCAGCAATCTCTACGCTG
    401 Y L L L D S S K I I P I K A Slllllllllllllllllllllll
1 2 0 1 ~ T A C C T G C T C G A T A G C A A A A T C C C G A A A G C C A G C T C C G T G C C G A C C G A A C T G T C A G C A A T C T C T A C G C T G T A C C T G ~
```



```
1276 CTCGATAGCAAAATCCCGAAAGCCAGCTCCGTGCCGACCGAACTGTCAGCAATCTCTACGCTGTACCTGCTCGAT
1 3 5 1 ~ X h o I ~ c \| t c g a g 1 4 1 5 ~
1 3 5 1
    AvaI clycgrg1415
```



```
1351 AGCAAAATCCCGAAAGCCAGCTCCGTGCCGACCGAACTGTCAGCAATCTCTACGCTGTACCTGCTCGAGCACCAC
    476 H H H H *
1 4 2 6 ~ C A C C A C C A C C A C T G A ~
```


## F. 34 pET21Q-SKGPG-YC12-RGD

```
1 XmaI c|ccggg34
1 AvaI c|ycgrg34
1 PpuMI rg|gwccy27
1 SalI g|tcgac20
1 M S K F G P F G V D D G T L L P G G Y F G V P
```

ATGAGCAAAGGTCCGGGTGTCGACGGGACCCTCCCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGC

76 GTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGC

151 GTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGT

226 GTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGC

301 GTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGC

376 GTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGC

451 GTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGC

526 GTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGC

601 GTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGT

676 GTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGC

751 GTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGC
276 V P G V G V P G Y G V P
826 GTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTTCCGGGTTGCGGC

901 GTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGC

976 GTTCCGGGTTGCGGCGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGCTACGGTGTGCCGGGTAAGGGC
1051 RsrII cg
| $9 w c c g 1123$

```
1 0 5 1 ~ A g e I ~ a l c c g g t 1 1 1 4 ~
351 V P F G V G V P F G C G G V P F G K K G V V P
```

```
    1 0 5 1 ~ G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T T C C G G G T T G C G G C G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G T A G C G G A C ~
    1126 XhoI C|
tcgag1199
    1126 AvaI c|
ycgrg1199
```



```
    1126 CGTGGAATGCGGGGGGGCACTGTGTACGCGGTAACGGGCCGCGGTGACTCCCCGGCATCAAGCGGTGGTGGTCTC
        401 E H H H H H H *
    1 2 0 1 ~ G A G C A C C A C C A C C A C C A C C A C T G A ~
```


## F. 35 pET21Q-SKGPG-YKV24-QEQV24-BMP2

```
    1 XmaI c|ccggg28
    1 AvaI clycgrg28
    1 M M S K G P F G V D D C C P G F Y G F V P
    ATGAGCAAAGGTCCGGGTGTCGATTGCCCGGGCTATGGGGTGCCGGGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCG
26 G Y G V P G K G V P G V F G V P P G Y G V V P G G K G V P
7 6 ~ G G T T A C G G C G T A C C G G G T A A A G G G G T T C C A G G C G T G G G T G T A C C G G G C T A T G G G G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G ~
51 G V G V P G Y G V P F G K G G V P P G V V G V P
151 GGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGTTACGGCGTACCGGGTAAAGGGGTTCCAGGCGTGGGTGTACCGGGCTATGGGGTGCCG
    76 G K K G V P G V G V P P G Y G G V P P G K K G V V P
2 2 6 \text { GGTAAAGGCGTTCCGGGTGTGGGCGTACCGGGTTACGGCGTACCGGGTAAAGGGGTTCCAGGCGTGGGTGTACCG}
101 G Y G V P G K G V P G V G V V P G F Y G V P F G K G G V P
3 0 1 ~ G G C T A T G G G G T G C C G G G T A A A G G C G T T C C G G G T G T G G G C G T A C C G G G T T A C G G C G T A C C G G G T A A A G G G G T T C C A ~
3 7 6 ~ X m a I ~ c l c c g g g 4 1 2
3 7 6 ~ A v a I ~ c l y c g r g 4 1 2
3 7 6 ~ N h e I ~ g l c t a g c 4 0 4
3 7 6 ~ E c o R I ~ g \| a a t t c 3 9 8 ~
126 G V G V P G C E F A S V V P F G Q G V P P G E E G V P
3 7 6 \text { GGCGTGGGTGTACCGGGCTGTGAATTCGCTAGCGTCCCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAG}
```



```
4 5 1 \text { GGCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTT}
176 G V P G Q G V P G E G V P F G Q G V V P G V G G V P P
5 2 6 ~ G G C G T A C C G G G C C A G G G T G T G C C G G G C G A A G G T G T T C C G G G T C A G G G C G T G C C G G G T G T T G G C G T A C C G G G C C A G ~
201 G V P G E G V P G Q G V P G V V G V P G Q G V P P G E
6 0 1 \text { GGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAA}
226 G V P G Q G V P G G V G V P P G Q G G V P P G E E G V P
6 7 6 \text { GGTGTTCCGGGTCAGGGCGTGCCGGGTGTTGGCGTACCGGGCCAGGGTGTGCCGGGCGAAGGTGTTCCGGGTCAG}
7 5 1 ~ A g e I ~ a l c c g g t 7 7 2 ~
251 G V P G V G V P V C C G G G G S S T S S K I I P
7 5 1 ~ G G C G T G C C G G G T G T T G G C G T A C C G G T G T G C G G C G G C G G C G G T T C T A C T A G C A A G A T C C C A A A A G C C A G C T C C G T G ~
826 SpeI alctagt863
```



```
826 CCGACCGAACTGTCAGCAATCTCTACGCTGTACCTCACTAGTTAATTCGAGCACCACCACCACCACCACTGA
```


## F. 36 pJB-91x3

1
GTCAGCCAAAGGCTCCA-5' TALE-
CDKN2A reverse53
1 GAATTCACTAGTGTGGTGGGGAGCAGCATCGAGCCGGTGGCGGGGAGCAGCAGTCAGCCAAAGGCTCCATGGCTG
260 CTTAAGTGATCACACCACCCCTCGTCGTAGCTCGGCCACCGCCCCTCGTCGTCAGTCGGTTTCCGAGGTACCGAC
76 GTCAGCCAAAGGCTCC
A-5' TALE-CDKN2A reverse140
76 GCCACGCTAGCTGAATTCACTAGTGTGGTGGGGAGCAGCATCGAGCCGGTGGCGGGGAGCAGCAGTCAGCCAAAG
185 CGGTGCGATCGACTTAAGTGATCACACCACCCCTCGTCGTAGCTCGGCCACCGCCCCTCGTCGTCAGTCGGTTTC
151 GCTCCATGGCTGGCCACGCTAGCTGAATTCACTAGTGTGGTGGGGAGCAGCATCGAGCCGGTGGCGGGGAGCAGC
110 CGAGGTACCGACCGGTGCGATCGACTTAAGTGATCACACCACCCCTCGTCGTAGCTCGGCCACCGCCCCTCGTCG
226 GTCAGCCAAAGGCTCCA-5' TALE-CDKN2A reverse227
226 AGTCAGCCAAAGGCTCCATGGCTGGCCACGCTAGC
35 TCAGTCGGTTTCCGAGGTACCGACCGGTGCGATCG

## F. 37 pJB-57

1 TGGAGCCTTCGGCTGAC-
3' TALE-CDKN2A60
1 GAATTCGTCGACGGGACCCTCAGCGGGGAGCAGCATGGAGCCGGTGGTGGGGAGCAGCATGGAGCCTTCGGCTGA
95 CTTAAGCAGCTGCCCTGGGAGTCGCCCCTCGTCGTACCTCGGCCACCACCCCTCGTCGTACCTCGGAAGCCGACT
76 CTGGCTAAGTGGGCGGACCG
20 GACCGATTCACCCGCCTGGC

## F. 38 pET21b-MBD-RZ2-TALE13-His



```
    1 ~ A T G G C T G A A G A C T G G C T G G A T A G C C C A G C A T T A G G T C C G G G T T G G A A G C G C C G T G A A G T T T T T C G T A A G T C C G G T ~
    26 A T A A G R S D D T Y Y Y Q S P
    7 6 \text { GCTACCGCAGGCCGCTCCGACACCTACTACCAGTCTCCAACCGGGGACCGCATCCGCAGCAAAGTGGAGCTGACC}
    151 XmaI c|c
cggg223
```



```
cgrg223
    1 5 1 ~ R s r I I ~ c g l g w c c g 2 1 0
```



```
    1 5 1 ~ C G C T A C C T G G G C C C G G C A G G C G A C C T G A C T C T G T T C G A T T T T A A A C A G G G C A T C C T G C G G A C C G G C A T G G G C C C G ~
```



```
    2 2 6 ~ G G G G G T C G T C C G T C T G A C T C C T A T G G T G C T C C T G G T G G T G G C A A T C T G G A G G T G C T G T T C C A G G G T C C G G G T G G C ~
    3 0 1 ~ A g e I ~ a l c c g g t 3 4 3
```



```
    3 0 1 ~ C G T C C G T C G G A T A G C T A C G G T G C T C C G G G C G G T G G C A A T G G A C C G G T G G G C T G C A C T A G C A T G A C T G G T G G A C A G ~
    3 7 6 ~ S a l I ~ g \| t c g a c 4 0 0
    3 7 6 ~ X b a I ~ t \| c t a g a 3 9 4 ~
    376 BamHI glgatcc388
```

 376 CAAATGGGTCGGGATCCTCTAGAGTCGACCTGCAGGCATGCATCGCGCAATGCACTGACGGGTGCCCCCCTGAAC
 451 CTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTG
 526 TTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGCAAG
 601 CAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTG 226 A $\quad$ I $A$ 676 GCTATCGCCAGCAACATTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGAC
 751 CATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAG
 826 CGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACTCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCCACGATGGC
 901 GGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACTCCGGACCAA
 976 GTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCCACGATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGC
 1051 CAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACGGTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACG
 1126 GTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAAC 401 G G G K Q A L E T 1201 GGTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCG
 1276 GACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACGGTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTG
 1351 CTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTC $476 \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{Q} \quad \mathrm{R} \quad \mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{Q} \quad \mathrm{D}$ 1426 GAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCC $501 \mathrm{~S} \quad \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{K} \quad$ Q $\quad$ A $\quad \mathrm{L}$ 1501 AGCAACAATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTG
 1576 ACTCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCCACGATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTG
 1651 CCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACGGTGGCGGCAAGCAA
 1726 GCGCTCGAAAGCATTGTGGCCCAGCTGAGCCGGCCTGATCCGGCGTTGGCCGCGTTGACCAACGACCACCTCGTC
 1801 GCCTTGGCCTGCCTCGGCGGACGTCCTGCCATGGATGCAGTGAAAAAGGGATTGCCGCACGCGCCGGAATTGATC
 1876 AGAAGAGTCAATCGCCGTATTGGCGAACGCACGTCCCATCGCGTTGCCGACTACGCGCAAGTGGTTCGCGTGCTG
 1951 GAGTTTTTCCAGTGCCACTCCCACCCAGCGTACGCATTTGATGAGGCCATGACGCAGTTCGGGATGAGCAGGAAC
 2026 GGGTTGGTACAGCTCTTTCGCAGAGTGGGCGTCACCGAACTCGAAGCCCGCGGTCACCACCACCACCACCACTGA 701 * *

2101 TAATAA

## F. 39 pET21b-MBD-RZ2-TALE17 via pTAL2m



```
1 4 2 6 ~ A A C A A T G G C G G C A A G C A A G C G C T C G A A A C G G T G C A G C G G C T G T T G C C G G T G C T G T G C C A G G A C C A T G G C C T G A C T ~
```



```
1501 CCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCCACGATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCG
    526 V L C Q D F H G L T T P D D Q V V V A A I A A S N N G G G G M Q A
1576 GTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACGGTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCG
```



```
1651 CTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATC
    576 A S N N N G G K & Q A L L E T T V V Q R R L L L P
1726 GCCAGCAACAATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGC
```



```
1 8 0 1 ~ C T G A C C C C G G A C C A A G T G G T G G C T A T C G C C A G C A A C A T T G G C G G C A A G C A A G C G C T C G A A A C G G T G C A G C G G C T G ~
```



```
1 8 7 6 ~ T T G C C G G T G C T G T G C C A G G A C C A T G G C C T G A C T C C G G A C C A A G T G G T G G C T A T C G C C A G C C A C G A T G G C G G C A A G ~
    651 Q A L E T T V Q R L L L P P V L L C C C D F H
1 9 5 1 \text { CAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTG}
    676 A I A A S N N G G G K K Q A L L E E S S I I V A A Q I L S S R P
2 0 2 6 ~ G C T A T C G C C A G C A A C G G T G G C G G C A A G C A A G C G C T C G A A A G C A T T G T G G C C C A G C T G A G C C G G C C T G A T C C G G C G ~
2 1 0 1 ~ X h o I ~ c \| t c g a g 2 1 3 5
2 1 0 1 ~ A v a I ~ c \| y c g r g 2 1 3 5
2 1 0 1 ~ N h e I ~ g l c t a g c 2 1 2 9
    701 L A A L T N D D H L A A S L E E H H H H H H H *
2 1 0 1 ~ T T G G C C G C G T T G A C C A A C G A C C A C C T C G C T A G C C T C G A G C A C C A C C A C C A C C A C C A C T G A ~
```


## F. 40 PPM-13



```
    1 ~ A T G G C G G A C T A C A A A G A C C A T G A C G G T G A T T A T A A A G A T C A T G A C A T C G A T T A C A A G G A T G A C G A T G A C A A G A T G ~
```



```
    76 GCCCCCAAGAAGAAGAGGAAGGTGGGCATTCACCGCGGGGTACCTATGGTGGACTTGAGGACACTCGGTTATTCG
```



```
    1 5 1 ~ C A A C A G C A A C A G G A G A A A A T C A A G C C T A A G G T C A G G A G C A C C G T C G C G C A A C A C C A C G A G G C G C T T G T G G G G C A T ~
    76 G F T H H A H I V V A L L S Q H H
2 2 6 ~ G G C T T C A C T C A T G C G C A T A T T G T C G C G C T T T C A C A G C A C C C T G C G G C G C T T G G G A C G G T G G C T G T C A A A T A C C A A ~
101 D M I A A A L P E E A T F H
3 0 1 ~ G A T A T G A T T G C G G C C C T G C C C G A A G C C A C G C A C G A G G C A A T T G T A G G G G T C G G T A A A C A G T G G T C G G G A G C G C G A ~
126 A L E E A L L L T V V A A G E L L R R G F P
3 7 6 \text { GCACTTGAGGCGCTGCTGACTGTGGCGGGTGAGCTTAGGGGGCCTCCGCTCCAGCTCGACACCGGGCAGCTGCTG}
4 5 1 ~ S g r A I ~ c r l c c g g y g 5
```




```
4 5 1 ~ A A G A T C G C G A A G A G A G G G G G A G T A A C A G C G G T A G A G G C A G T G C A C G C C T G G C G C A A T G C G C T C A C C G G T G C C C C C ~
```



```
5 2 6 ~ C T G A A C C T G A C C C C G G A C C A A G T G G T G G C T A T C G C C A G C A A C A A T G G C G G C A A G C A A G C G C T C G A A A C G G T G C A G ~
```



```
6 0 1 ~ C G G C T G T T G C C G G T G C T G T G C C A G G A C C A T G G C C T G A C C C C G G A C C A A G T G G T G G C T A T C G C C A G C A A C A A T G G C ~
```


15

676 GGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAA
 751 GTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACATTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGC
 826 CAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACG
 901 GTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACTCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCCAC
 976 GATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACTCCG
 1051 GACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCCACGATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTG
 1126 CTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACGGTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTC
 1201 GAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCC
 1276 AGCAACGGTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTG
 1351 ACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTG
 1426 CCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGCAAGCAA
 1501 GCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCT
 1576 ATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCAT
 1651 GGCCTGACTCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCCACGATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGG
 1726 CTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACGGTGGCGGC
 1801 AAGCAAGCGCTCGAAAGCATTGTGGCCCAGCTGAGCCGGCCTGATCCGGCGTTGGCCGCGTTGACCAACGACCAT
 1876 CTGGTGGCGTTGGCATGTCTTGGTGGACGACCCGCGCTCGATGCAGTCAAAAAGGGTCTGCCTCATGCTCCCGCA 1951 Avai clycgrg1979 BamHI glgatcc2006
 1951 TTGATCAAAAGAACCAACCGGCGGATTCCCGAGAGAACTTCCCATCGAGTCGCGGGATCCCTGGAGGTGCTGTTC 2026 NdeI caltatg2037
 2026 CAGGGCCCCCATATGGCTGAGGACTGGCTGGACAGCCCGGCCCTGGGCCCTGGCTGGAAGCGCCGCGAAGTCTTT 2101

BamHI glgatcc2163

2101 CGCAAGTCAGGGGCCACCGCGGGACGCTCAGACACCTATTACCAGAGCCCCACAGGAGACAGGATCCGAAGCAAA
 2176 GTTGAGCTGACTCGATACCTGGGCCCTGCGGGCGATCTCACCCTCTTCGACTTCAAACAAGGCATCTTGGGCGGT
2251 XhoI c|tcgag2276
2251 AvaI clycgrg2276
$751 \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{S} \quad \mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{C}$ *
2251 GGCGGTACCGGCGGTGGCGGTAGCCTCGAGCATCACCATCACCATCACTGCTAA

## F. 41 PPM-17

1 ATGGCGGACTACAAAGACCATGACGGTGATTATAAAGATCATGACATCGATTACAAGGATGACGATGACAAGATG
76 GCCCCCAAGAAGAAGAGGAAGGTGGGCATTCACCGCGGGGTACCTATGGTGGACTTGAGGACACTCGGTTATTCG
151 CAACAGCAACAGGAGAAAATCAAGCCTAAGGTCAGGAGCACCGTCGCGCAACACCACGAGGCGCTTGTGGGGCAT
226 GGCTTCACTCATGCGCATATTGTCGCGCTTTCACAGCACCCTGCGGCGCTTGGGACGGTGGCTGTCAAATACCAA
101 D $\quad$ M $\quad$ I $A$
301 GATATGATTGCGGCCCTGCCCGAAGCCACGCACGAGGCAATTGTAGGGGTCGGTAAACAGTGGTCGGGAGCGCGA
376 GCACTTGAGGCGCTGCTGACTGTGGCGGGTGAGCTTAGGGGGCCTCCGCTCCAGCTCGACACCGGGCAGCTGCTG
451 SgrAI cr|ccggyg5 15

1576 ATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCAT
 1651 GGCCTGACTCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCCACGATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGG
 1726 CTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACGGTGGCGGC
 1801 AAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTG
 1876 GTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAG
 1951 GACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACATTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTG
 2026 CAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACTCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCCACGAT
 2101 GGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGAC
 2176 CAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACGGTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAAGCATTGTGGCCCAGCTGAGCCGGCCT
 2251 GATCCGGCGTTGGCCGCGTTGACCAACGACCATCTGGTGGCGTTGGCATGTCTTGGTGGACGACCCGCGCTCGAT 2326 AvaI c|ycgrg2387
 2326 GCAGTCAAAAAGGGTCTGCCTCATGCTCCCGCATTGATCAAAAGAACCAACCGGCGGATTCCCGAGAGAACTTCC 2401 BamHI glgatcc2414 NdeI caltatg2445
 2401 CATCGAGTCGCGGGATCCCTGGAGGTGCTGTTCCAGGGCCCCCATATGGCTGAGGACTGGCTGGACAGCCCGGCC
 2476 CTGGGCCCTGGCTGGAAGCGCCGCGAAGTCTTTCGCAAGTCAGGGGCCACCGCGGGACGCTCAGACACCTATTAC 2551 BamHI glgatcc2571
 2551 CAGAGCCCCACAGGAGACAGGATCCGAAGCAAAGTTGAGCTGACTCGATACCTGGGCCCTGCGGGCGATCTCACC 2626 XhoI c|tcgag2684
2626 AvaI clycgrg2684

2626 CTCTTCGACTTCAAACAAGGCATCTTGGGCGGTGGCGGTACCGGCGGTGGCGGTAGCCTCGAGCATCACCATCAC
901 H H C *
2701 CATCACTGCTAA

## F. 42 PPMG-17

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { ATGGCGGACTACAAAGACCATGACGGTGATTATAAAGATCATGACATCGATTACAAGGATGACGATGACAAGATG }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 76 \text { GCCCCCAAGAAGAAGAGGAAGGTGGGCATTCACCGCGGGGTACCTATGGTGGACTTGAGGACACTCGGTTATTCG }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 151 \text { CAACAGCAACAGGAGAAAATCAAGCCTAAGGTCAGGAGCACCGTCGCGCAACACCACGAGGCGCTTGTGGGGCAT }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 226 \text { GGCTTCACTCATGCGCATATTGTCGCGCTTTCACAGCACCCTGCGGCGCTTGGGACGGTGGCTGTCAAATACCAA }
\end{aligned}
$$


301 GATATGATTGCGGCCCTGCCCGAAGCCACGCACGAGGCAATTGTAGGGGTCGGTAAACAGTGGTCGGGAGCGCGA
 376 GCACTTGAGGCGCTGCTGACTGTGGCGGGTGAGCTTAGGGGGCCTCCGCTCCAGCTCGACACCGGGCAGCTGCTG 451 SgrAI cr|ccggyg5 15

451 AgeI alccggt515
 451 AAGATCGCGAAGAGAGGGGGAGTAACAGCGGTAGAGGCAGTGCACGCCTGGCGCAATGCGCTCACCGGTGCCCCC
 526 CTGAACCTGACTCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAG
 601 CGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACTCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCCACGATGGC
 676 GGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACTCCGGACCAA
 751 GTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCCACGATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGC
 826 CAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACG
 901 GTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAAC
 976 ATTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCG
 1051 GACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTG
 1126 CTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTC
 1201 GAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACTCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCC
 1276 AGCCACGATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTG
 1351 ACTCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCCACGATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTG
 1426 CCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGGAGACCC
 1501 GGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGAC
 1576 CAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCCACGATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTG
 1651 TGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACGGTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAA
 1726 ACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACTCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGC
 1801 CACGATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACC
 1876 CCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACATTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCG


```
    1 9 5 1 ~ G T G C T G T G C C A G G A C C A T G G C C T G A C C C C G G A C C A A G T G G T G G C T A T C G C C A G C A A C A T T G G C G G C A A G C A A G C G ~
```



```
        2026 CTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATC
        701 A S N N G G G G K Q Q A L L E F T V V Q R R L L L P
        2 1 0 1 ~ G C C A G C A A C G G T G G C G G C A A G C A A G C G C T C G A A A C G G T G C A G C G G C T G T T G C C G G T G C T G T G C C A G G A C C A T G G C ~
```



```
    2176 CTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACGGTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAAGCATTGTGGCCCAG
```



```
    2 2 5 1 ~ C T G A G C C G G C C T G A T C C G G C G T T G G C C G C G T T G A C C A A C G A C C A T C T G G T G G C G T T G G C A T G T C T T G G T G G A C G A ~
    2326
        AvaI cl
ycgrg2399
```



```
        2326 CCCGCGCTCGATGCAGTCAAAAAGGGTCTGCCTCATGCTCCCGCATTGATCAAAAGAACCAACCGGCGGATTCCC
        2401 XmaI c|ccggg2
4 6 8
    2 4 0 1 ~ A v a I ~ c l y c g r g 2 ~
468
    2 4 0 1 ~ B a m H I ~ g l g a t c c 2 4 2 6 ~ N d e I ~ c a l t a t g 2 4 5 7 ~
```



```
    2 4 0 1 ~ G A G A G A A C T T C C C A T C G A G T C G C G G G A T C C C T G G A G G T G C T G T T C C A G G G C C C C C A T A T G C C G C G G C C C G G G A T G ~
    2 4 7 6 ~ E c o R I ~ g l a a t t c 2 5 3 1
        826 P K K K K K R K V V G S S G S S G S S G S S G G G S S E F F A A E E D D W L
    2 4 7 6 \text { CCAAAAAAGAAGAGAAAGGTAGGTAGCGGCAGCGGTAGCGGTTCCGGAGGCAGCGAATTCGCTGAGGACTGGCTG}
        851 D S S P A L G G P G W W K R R R R E F V F
    2 5 5 1 ~ G A C A G C C C G G C C C T G G G C C C T G G C T G G A A G C G C C G C G A A G T C T T T C G C A A G T C A G G G G C C A C C G C G G G A C G C T C A ~
    2 6 2 6 ~ B a m H I ~ g l g a t c c 2 6 5 8
```



```
    2626 GACACCTATTACCAGAGCCCCACAGGAGACAGGATCCGAAGCAAAGTTGAGCTGACTCGATACCTGGGCCCTGCG
```



```
cggt2773
        901 G D L T L F D F K Q G I L G G G G G T G G G Q F F V P
    2 7 0 1 ~ G G C G A T C T C A C C C T C T T C G A C T T C A A A C A A G G C A T C T T G G G C G G T G G C G G T A C C G G C G G T G G C C A A T T C G T A C C G ~
    2776 AleI cacnn|nngtg2786 AleI cacnn|nngtg2816
        926 V A T M M V S K K G E E E L L F T T G G V V V P
    2 7 7 6 \text { GTCGCCACCATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAGCTGTTCACCGGGGTGGTGCCCATCCTGGTCGAGCTGGACGGCGAC}
```



```
    2 8 5 1 ~ G T A A A C G G C C A C A A G T T C A G C G T G T C C G G C G A G G G C G A G G G C G A T G C C A C C T A C G G C A A G C T G A C C C T G A A G T T C ~
    2 9 2 6 ~ A l e I ~ c a c n n \| n n g t g 2 9 6 6 ~
```



```
        2 9 2 6 ~ A T C T G C A C C A C C G G C A A G C T G C C C G T G C C C T G G C C C A C C C T C G T G A C C A C C C T G A C C T A C G G C G T G C A G T G C T T C ~
```



```
        3001 AGCCGCTACCCCGACCACATGAAGCAGCACGACTTCTTCAAGTCCGCCATGCCCGAAGGCTACGTCCAGGAGCGC
        3076
        AleI cacnn|nn
gtg3143
```



```
    3 0 7 6 ~ A C C A T C T T C T T C A A G G A C G A C G G C A A C T A C A A G A C C C G C G C C G A G G T G A A G T T C G A G G G C G A C A C C C T G G T G A A C ~
```



```
    3 1 5 1 ~ C G C A T C G A G C T G A A G G G C A T C G A C T T C A A G G A G G A C G G C A A C A T C C T G G G G C A C A A G C T G G A G T A C A A C T A C A A C ~
```



```
    3 2 2 6 ~ A G C C A C A A C G T C T A T A T C A T G G C C G A C A A G C A G A A G A A C G G C A T C A A G G T G A A C T T C A A G A T C C G C C A C A A C A T C ~
    1101 E D G S V Q L A D F H Y Q Q Q N N T P P
    3 3 0 1 ~ G A G G A C G G C A G C G T G C A G C T C G C C G A C C A C T A C C A G C A G A A C A C C C C C A T C G G C G A C G G C C C C G T G C T G C T G C C C ~
```



```
    3 3 7 6 \text { GACAACCACTACCTGAGCACCCAGTCCAAGCTGAGCAAAGACCCCAACGAGAAGCGCGATCACATGGTCCTGCTG}
    3 4 5 1 ~ S a l I ~ g l t c g a c 3
518
    3 4 5 1 ~ X b a I ~ t \| c t a g a 3 5 1 2 ~
```



```
    3 4 5 1 ~ G A G T T C G T G A C C G C C G C C G G G A T C A C T C T C G G C A T G G A C G A G C T G T A C A A G C A A T T G T C C T C T A G A G T C G A C A G C ~
    3526 AgeI alccggt3532
1176 C L P V R H H H H H H * *
3526 TGCCTACCGGTCAGGCATCACCATCACCATCACTAATAA
```


## F. 43 pET28aRW-PPMG-17

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { ATGGCGGACTACAAAGACCATGACGGTGATTATAAAGATCATGACATCGATTACAAGGATGACGATGACAAGATG }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 76 \text { GCCCCCAAGAAGAAGAGGAAGGTGGGCATTCACCGCGGGGTACCTATGGTGGACTTGAGGACACTCGGTTATTCG }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 151 \text { CAACAGCAACAGGAGAAAATCAAGCCTAAGGTCAGGAGCACCGTCGCGCAACACCACGAGGCGCTTGTGGGGCAT }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 226 \text { GGCTTCACTCATGCGCATATTGTCGCGCTTTCACAGCACCCTGCGGCGCTTGGGACGGTGGCTGTCAAATACCAA }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 301 \text { GATATGATTGCGGCCCTGCCCGAAGCCACGCACGAGGCAATTGTAGGGGTCGGTAAACAGTGGTCGGGAGCGCGA }
\end{aligned}
$$

15

976 ATTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCG
 1051 GACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTG
 1126 CTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTC
 1201 GAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACTCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCC
 1276 AGCCACGATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTG
 1351 ACTCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCCACGATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTG
 1426 CCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGGAGACCC 501 G G K Q A L E T V 1501 GGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGAC
 1576 CAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCCACGATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTG
 1651 TGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACGGTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAA
 1726 ACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACTCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGC
 1801 CACGATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACC
 1876 CCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACATTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCG $651 \mathrm{~V} \quad \mathrm{~L} \quad \mathrm{C}$ Q $\quad \mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{D}$ Q V 1951 GTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACATTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCG
 2026 CTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATC
 2101 GCCAGCAACGGTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGC
 2176 CTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACGGTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAAGCATTGTGGCCCAG
 2251 CTGAGCCGGCCTGATCCGGCGTTGGCCGCGTTGACCAACGACCATCTGGTGGCGTTGGCATGTCTTGGTGGACGA 2326 AvaI c। ycgrg2399
 2326 CCCGCGCTCGATGCAGTCAAAAAGGGTCTGCCTCATGCTCCCGCATTGATCAAAAGAACCAACCGGCGGATTCCC 2401 XmaI clccggg2 468

2401 AvaI c|ycgrg2
468

2401 GAGAGAACTTCCCATCGAGTCGCGGGATCCCTGGAGGTGCTGTTCCAGGGCCCCCATATGCCGCGGCCCGGGATG
2476 EcoRI glaattc2531


```
    2 4 7 6 \text { CCAAAAAAGAAGAGAAAGGTAGGTAGCGGCAGCGGTAGCGGTTCCGGAGGCAGCGAATTCGCTGAGGACTGGCTG}
```



```
        2 5 5 1 ~ G A C A G C C C G G C C C T G G G C C C T G G C T G G A A G C G C C G C G A A G T C T T T C G C A A G T C A G G G G C C A C C G C G G G A C G C T C A ~
        2 6 2 6 ~ B a m H I ~ g l g a t c c 2 6 5 8
```



```
        2626 GACACCTATTACCAGAGCCCCACAGGAGACAGGATCCGAAGCAAAGTTGAGCTGACTCGATACCTGGGCCCTGCG
        2 7 0 1 ~ A g e I ~ a l c ~
cggt2773
        901 G D L T L F D F F K Q G I L L G G G G G T G G G G Q F F V P
        2 7 0 1 ~ G G C G A T C T C A C C C T C T T C G A C T T C A A A C A A G G C A T C T T G G G C G G T G G C G G T A C C G G C G G T G G C C A A T T C G T A C C G ~
        2776 AleI cacnn|nngtg2786 AleI cacnn|nngtg2816
```



```
        2 7 7 6 \text { GTCGCCACCATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAGCTGTTCACCGGGGTGGTGCCCATCCTGGTCGAGCTGGACGGCGAC}
```



```
        2 8 5 1 ~ G T A A A C G G C C A C A A G T T C A G C G T G T C C G G C G A G G G C G A G G G C G A T G C C A C C T A C G G C A A G C T G A C C C T G A A G T T C ~
        2 9 2 6 ~ A l e I ~ c a c n n \| n n g t g 2 9 6 6
```



```
        2 9 2 6 ~ A T C T G C A C C A C C G G C A A G C T G C C C G T G C C C T G G C C C A C C C T C G T G A C C A C C C T G A C C T A C G G C G T G C A G T G C T T C ~
```



```
        3001 AGCCGCTACCCCGACCACATGAAGCAGCACGACTTCTTCAAGTCCGCCATGCCCGAAGGCTACGTCCAGGAGCGC
        3 0 7 6 ~ A l e I ~ c a c n n \| n n
gtg3143
```



```
    3 0 7 6 ~ A C C A T C T T C T T C A A G G A C G A C G G C A A C T A C A A G A C C C G C G C C G A G G T G A A G T T C G A G G G C G A C A C C C T G G T G A A C ~
    1051 R I E L K K G I D F F K E E D G N N I I L L G H
    3 1 5 1 ~ C G C A T C G A G C T G A A G G G C A T C G A C T T C A A G G A G G A C G G C A A C A T C C T G G G G C A C A A G C T G G A G T A C A A C T A C A A C ~
```



```
    3226 AGCCACAACGTCTATATCATGGCCGACAAGCAGAAGAACGGCATCAAGGTGAACTTCAAGATCCGCCACAACATC
```



```
    3 3 0 1 ~ G A G G A C G G C A G C G T G C A G C T C G C C G A C C A C T A C C A G C A G A A C A C C C C C A T C G G C G A C G G C C C C G T G C T G C T G C C C ~
```



```
    3 3 7 6 ~ G A C A A C C A C T A C C T G A G C A C C C A G T C C A A G C T G A G C A A A G A C C C C A A C G A G A A G C G C G A T C A C A T G G T C C T G C T G ~
    1151 E F F V T A A G I I T L L G M D D E L L F Y K N Q L L
    3 4 5 1 ~ G A G T T C G T G A C C G C C G C C G G G A T C A C T C T C G G C A T G G A C G A G C T G T A C A A G C A A T T G T C C T C T A G C A T G A C T G G T ~
    3 5 2 6 ~ X h o I ~ c l t c g a g 3 5 7 8
    3 5 2 6 ~ A v a I ~ c l y c g r g 3 5 7 8 ~
    1176 G Q Q M G H H H H H H H H D D D D D D D K L E E * *
    3526 GGACAGCAAATGGGTCACCACCACCACCACCACCATGATGATGATGATAAACTCGAGTAATAA
```


## F. 44 pET28aRW-PPdMG-17

 1 ATGGCGGACTACAAAGACCATGACGGTGATTATAAAGATCATGACATCGATTACAAGGATGACGATGACAAGATG
 76 GCCCCCAAGAAGAAGAGGAAGGTGGGCATTCACCGCGGGGTACCTATGGTGGACTTGAGGACACTCGGTTATTCG
 151 CAACAGCAACAGGAGAAAATCAAGCCTAAGGTCAGGAGCACCGTCGCGCAACACCACGAGGCGCTTGTGGGGCAT

```
    76 G F T H H A H I V A L S S Q H F P
    2 2 6 ~ G G C T T C A C T C A T G C G C A T A T T G T C G C G C T T T C A C A G C A C C C T G C G G C G C T T G G G A C G G T G G C T G T C A A A T A C C A A ~
```



```
    3 0 1 ~ G A T A T G A T T G C G G C C C T G C C C G A A G C C A C G C A C G A G G C A A T T G T A G G G G T C G G T A A A C A G T G G T C G G G A G C G C G A ~
    126 A L E E A L L L T T V A A G E L L R R G F P
    3 7 6 ~ G C A C T T G A G G C G C T G C T G A C T G T G G C G G G T G A G C T T A G G G G G C C T C C G C T C C A G C T C G A C A C C G G G C A G C T G C T G ~
    4 5 1 ~ S g r A I ~ c r \| c c g g y g 5
1 5
4 5 1 ~ A g e I ~ a l c c g g t 5 1 5
151 K I A A K R R G G V T A A V F E A A V F H
4 5 1 \text { AAGATCGCGAAGAGAGGGGGAGTAACAGCGGTAGAGGCAGTGCACGCCTGGCGCAATGCGCTCACCGGTGCCCCC}
```



```
5 2 6 ~ C T G A A C C T G A C T C C G G A C C A A G T G G T G G C T A T C G C C A G C A A C A A T G G C G G C A A G C A A G C G C T C G A A A C G G T G C A G ~
```



```
6 0 1 ~ C G G C T G T T G C C G G T G C T G T G C C A G G A C C A T G G C C T G A C T C C G G A C C A A G T G G T G G C T A T C G C C A G C C A C G A T G G C ~
226 G K Q A L E T V V Q R L L L P P V L L C C C D D H
6 7 6 \text { GGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACTCCGGACCAA}
```



```
7 5 1 \text { GTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCCACGATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGC}
```



```
8 2 6 ~ C A G G A C C A T G G C C T G A C C C C G G A C C A A G T G G T G G C T A T C G C C A G C A A C A A T G G C G G C A A G C A A G C G C T C G A A A C G ~
```



```
901 GTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAAC
326 I G G K Q A L E T F V Q R R L L L P
9 7 6 ~ A T T G G C G G C A A G C A A G C G C T C G A A A C G G T G C A G C G G C T G T T G C C G G T G C T G T G C C A G G A C C A T G G C C T G A C C C C G ~
```



```
1 0 5 1 \text { GACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTG}
```



```
1126 CTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTC
401 E T V Q R L L P V L L C Q D D H C L L T P D D Q V V A A I A
1 2 0 1 ~ G A A A C G G T G C A G C G G C T G T T G C C G G T G C T G T G C C A G G A C C A T G G C C T G A C T C C G G A C C A A G T G G T G G C T A T C G C C ~
```



```
1276 AGCCACGATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTG
```



```
1 3 5 1 ~ A C T C C G G A C C A A G T G G T G G C T A T C G C C A G C C A C G A T G G C G G C A A G C A A G C G C T C G A A A C G G T G C A G C G G C T G T T G ~
476 P V L C Q D H G L T P D Q Q V V A I I A S S N N N G G
1426 CCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACAATGGCGGGAGACCC
```



```
1501 GGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGAC
```



```
1 5 7 6 \text { CAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCCACGATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTG}
```



```
1 6 5 1 ~ T G C C A G G A C C A T G G C C T G A C C C C G G A C C A A G T G G T G G C T A T C G C C A G C A A C G G T G G C G G C A A G C A A G C G C T C G A A ~
```



```
1726 ACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACTCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGC
```



```
1 8 0 1 \text { CACGATGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACC}
```



```
    1 8 7 6 \text { CCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACATTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCG}
```



```
    1 9 5 1 ~ G T G C T G T G C C A G G A C C A T G G C C T G A C C C C G G A C C A A G T G G T G G C T A T C G C C A G C A A C A T T G G C G G C A A G C A A G C G ~
        676 L E E T V Q P R L L L P V V L Cllllllllllllllllllll
        2026 CTCGAAACGGTGCAGCGGCTGTTGCCGGTGCTGTGCCAGGACCATGGCCTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATC
        701 A S N N G G G K Q A A L E E T V V Q R R L L L P
    2 1 0 1 ~ G C C A G C A A C G G T G G C G G C A A G C A A G C G C T C G A A A C G G T G C A G C G G C T G T T G C C G G T G C T G T G C C A G G A C C A T G G C ~
```



```
    2176 CTGACCCCGGACCAAGTGGTGGCTATCGCCAGCAACGGTGGCGGCAAGCAAGCGCTCGAAAGCATTGTGGCCCAG
        751 L S S R P D D P A L L A A A L L T T N N D D H
        2251 CTGAGCCGGCCTGATCCGGCGTTGGCCGCGTTGACCAACGACCATCTGGTGGCGTTGGCATGTCTTGGTGGACGA
```



```
ycgrg2399
```



```
        2326 CCCGCGCTCGATGCAGTCAAAAAGGGTCTGCCTCATGCTCCCGCATTGATCAAAAGAACCAACCGGCGGATTCCC
        2 4 0 1 ~ X m a I ~ c l c c g g g 2
468
    2 4 0 1 ~ A v a I ~ c \| y c g r g 2 ~
4 6 8
    2 4 0 1 ~ B a m H I ~ g l g a t c c 2 4 2 6 ~ N d e I ~ c a l t a t g 2 4 5 7 ~
```



```
    2 4 0 1 ~ G A G A G A A C T T C C C A T C G A G T C G C G G G A T C C C T G G A G G T G C T G T T C C A G G G C C C C C A T A T G C C G C G G C C C G G G A T G ~
    2 4 7 6 ~ E c o R I ~ g l a a t t c 2 5 3 1
```



```
    2 4 7 6 \text { CCAAAAAAGAAGAGAAAGGTAGGTAGCGGCAGCGGTAGCGGTTCCGGAGGCAGCGAATTCGCTGAGGACTGGCTG}
    851 D S P P A L L G P F G W K K R R R E E V F
    2 5 5 1 ~ G A C A G C C C G G C C C T G G G C C C T G G C T G G A A G C G C C G C G A A G T C T T T C G C A A G T C A G G G G C C A C C G C G G G A C G C T C A ~
    2 6 2 6 ~ B a m H I ~ g l g a t c c 2 6 5 8
```



```
    2626 GACACCTATTACCAGAGCCCCACAGGAGACAGGATCCGAAGCAAAGTTGAGCTGACTCGATACCTGGGCCCTGCG
    901 G D L T L F D F K Q G I L L G G G G T G G G G Q F F A E
    2 7 0 1 ~ G G C G A T C T C A C C C T C T T C G A C T T C A A A C A A G G C A T C T T G G G C G G T G G C G G T A C C G G C G G T G G C C A A T T C G C T G A G ~
```



```
    2 7 7 6 \text { GACTGGCTGGACAGCCCGGCCCTGGGCCCTGGCTGGAAGCGCCGCGAAGTCTTTCGCAAGTCAGGGGCCACCGCG}
    2 8 5 1 ~ B a m H I ~ g l g a t c c 2 8 9 2
```



```
    2 8 5 1 ~ G G A C G C T C A G A C A C C T A T T A C C A G A G C C C C A C A G G A G A C A G G A T C C G A A G C A A A G T T G A G C T G A C T C G A T A C C T G ~
```



```
    2 9 2 6 ~ G G C C C T G C G G G C G A T C T C A C C C T C T T C G A C T T C A A A C A A G G C A T C T T G G G C G G T G G C G G T A C C G G C G G T G G C C A A ~
    3 0 0 1 ~ A l e I ~ c a c n n \| n n g t g 3 0 2 0
    3 0 0 1 ~ A g e I ~ a l c c g g t 3 0 0 7 ~ A l e I ~ c a c n n \| n n g t g 3 0 5 0 ~
```



```
    3 0 0 1 ~ T T C G T A C C G G T C G C C A C C A T G G T G A G C A A G G G C G A G G A G C T G T T C A C C G G G G T G G T G C C C A T C C T G G T C G A G C T G ~
```



```
    3 0 7 6 \text { GACGGCGACGTAAACGGCCACAAGTTCAGCGTGTCCGGCGAGGGCGAGGGCGATGCCACCTACGGCAAGCTGACC}
    3 1 5 1 ~ A l e I ~ c a c n n \| n n g t g 3 2 0 0 ~
```



```
    3 1 5 1 ~ C T G A A G T T C A T C T G C A C C A C C G G C A A G C T G C C C G T G C C C T G G C C C A C C C T C G T G A C C A C C C T G A C C T A C G G C G T G ~
```



```
3 2 2 6 ~ C A G T G C T T C A G C C G C T A C C C C G A C C A C A T G A A G C A G C A C G A C T T C T T C A A G T C C G C C A T G C C C G A A G G C T A C G T C ~
```



```
3 3 0 1 ~ C A G G A G C G C A C C A T C T T C T T C A A G G A C G A C G G C A A C T A C A A G A C C C G C G C C G A G G T G A A G T T C G A G G G C G A C A C C ~
3376 AleI cacnn|nngtg3377
```



```
3 3 7 6 ~ C T G G T G A A C C G C A T C G A G C T G A A G G G C A T C G A C T T C A A G G A G G A C G G C A A C A T C C T G G G G C A C A A G C T G G A G T A C ~
```



```
3451 AACTACAACAGCCACAACGTCTATATCATGGCCGACAAGCAGAAGAACGGCATCAAGGTGAACTTCAAGATCCGC
1176 H N I E D G S S V Q L A D D H Y Y Q Q N N T P P I I G D D G P V
3 5 2 6 ~ C A C A A C A T C G A G G A C G G C A G C G T G C A G C T C G C C G A C C A C T A C C A G C A G A A C A C C C C C A T C G G C G A C G G C C C C G T G ~
```



```
3601 CTGCTGCCCGACAACCACTACCTGAGCACCCAGTCCAAGCTGAGCAAAGACCCCAACGAGAAGCGCGATCACATG
```



```
3676 GTCCTGCTGGAGTTCGTGACCGCCGCCGGGATCACTCTCGGCATGGACGAGCTGTACAAGCAATTGTCCTCTAGC
3 7 5 1 ~ X h o I ~ c \| t c g a g 3 8 1 2 ~
3751
AvaI clycgrg3812
1251 M T G G Q Q M G H H H H H H H H H D D D D D D N K L L E * *
3 7 5 1 ~ A T G A C T G G T G G A C A G C A A A T G G G T C A C C A C C A C C A C C A C C A C C A T G A T G A T G A T G A T A A A C T C G A G T A A T A A ~
```


## F. 45 pTH-R20-VN173

For the whole backbone sequence of pTH-R20, refer to pTH-TelR20-mCherry from Addgene (Plasmid \#49637)


```
    1 ~ A T G G A C T A T A A G G A C C A C G A C G G A G A C T A C A A G G A T C A T G A T A T T G A T T A C A A A G A C G A T G A C G A T A A G A T G G C C ~
    26 P
    7 6 ~ C C A A A G A A G A A G C G G A A G G T C G G T A T C C A C G G A G T C C C A G C A G C C G T A G A T T T G A G A A C T T T G G G A T A T T C A C A G ~
    51 Q Q Q E K K I K P P K V V R S S T V V A Q Q H H E E A L L V V G H
    1 5 1 ~ C A G C A G C A G G A A A A G A T C A A G C C C A A A G T G A G G T C G A C A G T C G C G C A G C A T C A C G A A G C G C T G G T G G G T C A T G G G ~
    76 F Tlllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll
    2 2 6 ~ T T T A C A C A T G C C C A C A T C G T A G C C T T G T C G C A G C A C C C T G C A G C C C T T G G C A C G G T C G C C G T C A A G T A C C A G G A C ~
3 0 1 ~ A v a I ~ c l y c g r g ~
3 6 9
```



```
3 0 1 ~ A T G A T T G C G G C G T T G C C G G A A G C C A C A C A T G A G G C G A T C G T C G G T G T G G G G A A A C A G T G G A G C G G A G C C C G A G C G ~
126 L E E A L L L T V A A G E E L L R F G
3 7 6 \text { CTTGAGGCCCTGTTGACGGTCGCGGGAGAGCTGAGAGGGCCTCCCCTTCAGCTGGACACGGGCCAGTTGCTGAAG}
151 I A A K R R G G V T T A V V E A A V F H
4 5 1 ~ A T C G C G A A G C G G G G A G G A G T C A C G G C G G T C G A G G C G G T G C A C G C G T G G C G C A A T G C G C T C A C G G G A G C A C C C C T C ~
```



```
5 2 6 ~ A A C C T G A C C C C A G A G C A G G T C G T G G C A A T T G C G A G C A A C A T C G G G G G A A A G C A G G C A C T C G A A A C C G T C C A G A G G ~
```



```
6 0 1 ~ T T G C T G C C T G T G C T G T G C C A A G C G C A C G G A C T T A C G C C A G A G C A G G T C G T G G C A A T T G C G A G C A A C A T C G G G G G A ~
226 K Q A L E T T V Q R L L L P P V V L Cllllllllllllllllllll
6 7 6 ~ A A G C A G G C A C T C G A A A C C G T C C A G A G G T T G C T G C C T G T G C T G T G C C A A G C G C A C G G A C T A A C C C C A G A G C A G G T C ~
```

 751 GTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAA
 826 GCGCACGGGTTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTC
 901 CAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGAC
 976 GGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTGACACCAGAG
 1051 CAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTG
 1126 TGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACACCCGAACAAGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAA
 1201 ACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACGCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGC
 1276 AACATCGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTAACC
 1351 CCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCT
 1426 GTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGGTTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCA
 1501 CTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATT
 1576 GCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGA
 1651 CTGACACCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTG
 1726 CTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTCACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAG
 1801 CAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACGCCAGAGCAGGTCGTG
 1876 GCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCG
 1951 CACGGACTAACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAG
 2026 AGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGGTTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGG
 2101 GGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTGACCCCAGAGCAG
 2176 GTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGC
 2251 CAAGCGCACGGACTGACACCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACC
 2326 GTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTCACGCCTGAGCAGGTAGTGGCTATTGCATCCAAC 801 I G G R P A L E S I I V 2401 ATCGGGGGCAGACCCGCACTGGAGTCAATCGTGGCCCAGCTTTCGAGGCCGGACCCCGCGCTGGCCGCACTCACT
 2476 AATGATCATCTTGTAGCGCTGGCCTGCCTCGGCGGACGACCCGCCTTGGATGCGGTGAAGAAGGGGCTCCCGCAC

```
    2 5 5 1 ~ A v a I ~ c l y c g r g 2 5 8 8
        851 A P A L I I K R R T N N R R R I Prcclllllllllllllll
    2 5 5 1 ~ G C G C C T G C A T T G A T T A A G C G G A C C A A C A G A A G G A T T C C C G A G A G G A C A T C A C A T C G A G T G G C A G G C C T G C A G G G A ~
    2626 EcoRI glaattc2656 EcoRV gat|atc2676
    2626 NotI gc|ggccgc2649 BglII algatct2668 XbaI tlctaga2
6 9 3
```



```
2626 GGCGGAGGTGGCTCCAAGCTTGCGGCCGCGAATTCATCGATAGATCTGATATCGGTACCAGTCGACTCTAGAAGA
```



```
2 7 0 1 ~ T C C A T C G C C A C C A T G G T G A G C A A G G G C G A G G A G C T G T T C A C C G G G G T G G T G C C C A T C C T G G T C G A G C T G G A C G G C ~
```



```
2 7 7 6 \text { GACGTAAACGGCCACAAGTTCAGCGTGTCCGGCGAGGGCGAGGGCGATGCCACCTACGGCAAGCTGACCCTGAAG}
```



```
2851 CTGATCTGCACCACCGGCAAGCTGCCCGTGCCCTGGCCCACCCTCGTGACCACCCTGGGCTACGGCCTGCAGTGC
```



```
2 9 2 6 ~ T T C G C C C G C T A C C C C G A C C A C A T G A A G C A G C A C G A C T T C T T C A A G T C C G C C A T G C C C G A A G G C T A C G T C C A G G A G ~
```



```
3 0 0 1 ~ C G C A C C A T C T T C T T C A A G G A C G A C G G C A A C T A C A A G A C C C G C G C C G A G G T G A A G T T C G A G G G C G A C A C C C T G G T G ~
```



```
3076 AACCGCATCGAGCTGAAGGGCATCGACTTCAAGGAGGACGGCAACATCCTGGGGCACAAGCTGGAGTACAACTAC
```



```
3 1 5 1 ~ A A C A G C C A C A A C G T C T A T A T C A C C G C C G A C A A G C A G A A G A A C G G C A T C A A G G C C A A C T T C A A G A T C C G C C A C A A C ~
1076 I E *
3 2 2 6 ~ A T C G A G T A G ~
```


## F. 46 pTH-R20-VC155

```
    1 M D D Y K I D H D D G D D Y F K D D H
    1 ~ A T G G A C T A T A A G G A C C A C G A C G G A G A C T A C A A G G A T C A T G A T A T T G A T T A C A A A G A C G A T G A C G A T A A G A T G G C C ~
26 P
7 6 \text { CCAAAGAAGAAGCGGAAGGTCGGTATCCACGGAGTCCCAGCAGCCGTAGATTTGAGAACTTTGGGATATTCACAG}
```



```
1 5 1 ~ C A G C A G C A G G A A A A G A T C A A G C C C A A A G T G A G G T C G A C A G T C G C G C A G C A T C A C G A A G C G C T G G T G G G T C A T G G G ~
    76 F T F H A H I I V A L L S Q P H P
2 2 6 ~ T T T A C A C A T G C C C A C A T C G T A G C C T T G T C G C A G C A C C C T G C A G C C C T T G G C A C G G T C G C C G T C A A G T A C C A G G A C ~
3 0 1 ~ A v a I ~ c l y c g r g )
```



```
3 0 1 ~ A T G A T T G C G G C G T T G C C G G A A G C C A C A C A T G A G G C G A T C G T C G G T G T G G G G A A A C A G T G G A G C G G A G C C C G A G C G ~
126 L E A L L L T T V A F G E L L R F G
3 7 6 \text { CTTGAGGCCCTGTTGACGGTCGCGGGAGAGCTGAGAGGGCCTCCCCTTCAGCTGGACACGGGCCAGTTGCTGAAG}
151 I A A K R R G G V V T A A V E E A N
4 5 1 ~ A T C G C G A A G C G G G G A G G A G T C A C G G C G G T C G A G G C G G T G C A C G C G T G G C G C A A T G C G C T C A C G G G A G C A C C C C T C ~
```



```
5 2 6 ~ A A C C T G A C C C C A G A G C A G G T C G T G G C A A T T G C G A G C A A C A T C G G G G G A A A G C A G G C A C T C G A A A C C G T C C A G A G G ~
```



```
6 0 1 ~ T T G C T G C C T G T G C T G T G C C A A G C G C A C G G A C T T A C G C C A G A G C A G G T C G T G G C A A T T G C G A G C A A C A T C G G G G G A ~
```

369
 676 AAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTAACCCCAGAGCAGGTC
 751 GTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAA
 826 GCGCACGGGTTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTC
 901 CAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGAC
 976 GGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTGACACCAGAG
 1051 CAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTG
 1126 TGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACACCCGAACAAGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAA
 1201 ACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACGCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGC
 1276 AACATCGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTAACC
 1351 CCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCT
 1426 GTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGGTTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCA
 1501 CTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATT
 1576 GCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGA
 1651 CTGACACCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTG
 1726 CTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTCACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAG
 1801 CAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACGCCAGAGCAGGTCGTG
 1876 GCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCG
 1951 CACGGACTAACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAG
 2026 AGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGGTTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGG
 2101 GGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTGACCCCAGAGCAG
 2176 GTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGC
 2251 CAAGCGCACGGACTGACACCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACC
 2326 GTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTCACGCCTGAGCAGGTAGTGGCTATTGCATCCAAC 801 I G G R P A L E S I 2401 ATCGGGGGCAGACCCGCACTGGAGTCAATCGTGGCCCAGCTTTCGAGGCCGGACCCCGCGCTGGCCGCACTCACT


```
2 4 7 6 ~ A A T G A T C A T C T T G T A G C G C T G G C C T G C C T C G G C G G A C G A C C C G C C T T G G A T G C G G T G A A G A A G G G G C T C C C G C A C ~
2 5 5 1 ~ A v a I ~ c l y c g r g 2 5 8 8
851 A P P A L I I K R R T N N R R R I Prcclllllllllllllll
2 5 5 1 ~ G C G C C T G C A T T G A T T A A G C G G A C C A A C A G A A G G A T T C C C G A G A G G A C A T C A C A T C G A G T G G C A G G C C T G C A G G G A ~
2 6 2 6 ~ X h o I ~ c \| t c g a g 2 6 4 9
2 6 2 6 ~ A v a I ~ c \| y c g r g 2 6 4 9
    876 G G G G S K L S R G T R R P A Cllllllllllllllll
2626 GGCGGAGGTGGCTCCAAGCTTTCTCGAGGTACCCGTCCGGCGTGCAAAATCCCGAACGACCTGAAACAGAAAGTC
```



```
2 7 0 1 ~ A T G A A C C A C G A C A A G C A G A A G A A C G G C A T C A A G G C C A A C T T C A A G A T C C G C C A C A A C A T C G A G G A C G G C G G C G T G ~
```



```
2 7 7 6 \text { CAGCTCGCCGACCACTACCAGCAGAACACCCCCATCGGCGACGGCCCCGTGCTGCTGCCCGACAACCACTACCTG}
```



```
2 8 5 1 ~ A G C T A C C A G T C C A A A C T G A G C A A A G A C C C C A A C G A G A A G C G C G A T C A C A T G G T C C T G C T G G A G T T C G T G A C C G C C ~
    976 A G I T L G M D E L Y K *
2926 GCCGGGATCACTCTCGGCATGGACGAGCTGTACAAGTAG
```


## F. 47 pTH-L20-VN173

 1 ATGGACTATAAGGACCACGACGGAGACTACAAGGATCATGATATTGATTACAAAGACGATGACGATAAGATGGCC
 76 CCAAAGAAGAAGCGGAAGGTCGGTATCCACGGAGTCCCAGCAGCCGTAGATTTGAGAACTTTGGGATATTCACAG
 151 CAGCAGCAGGAAAAGATCAAGCCCAAAGTGAGGTCGACAGTCGCGCAGCATCACGAAGCGCTGGTGGGTCATGGG
 226 TTTACACATGCCCACATCGTAGCCTTGTCGCAGCACCCTGCAGCCCTTGGCACGGTCGCCGTCAAGTACCAGGAC 301 AvaI clycgrg
369

301 ATGATTGCGGCGTTGCCGGAAGCCACACATGAGGCGATCGTCGGTGTGGGGAAACAGTGGAGCGGAGCCCGAGCG
 376 CTTGAGGCCCTGTTGACGGTCGCGGGAGAGCTGAGAGGGCCTCCCCTTCAGCTGGACACGGGCCAGTTGCTGAAG
 451 ATCGCGAAGCGGGGAGGAGTCACGGCGGTCGAGGCGGTGCACGCGTGGCGCAATGCGCTCACGGGAGCACCCCTC
 526 AACCTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGG $201 \mathrm{~L} \quad \mathrm{~L} \quad \mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{V}$ L C Q A H 601 TTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACGCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACCACGGGGGA
 676 AAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTAACCCCAGAGCAGGTC
 751 GTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACCACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAA 276 A H G L T P E Q V V A I A 826 GCGCACGGGTTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACCACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTC


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 901 \text { CAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGA }
\end{aligned}
$$

976 GGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTGACACCAGAG
351 Q V V $\quad$ V $\quad$ I $A$
1051 CAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTG
1126 TGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACACCCGAACAAGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAA
1201 ACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACGCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGC
1276 AACCACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTAACC
1351 CCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACCACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCT
1426 GTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGGTTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACCACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCA
1501 CTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATT
1576 GCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGA
1651 CTGACACCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTG
1726 CTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTCACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAG
1801 CAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACGCCAGAGCAGGTCGTG
626 A $\quad$ I $A$
1876 GCAATTGCGAGCAACCACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCG
1951 CACGGACTAACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACCACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAG
2026 AGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGGTTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACCACGGG
2101 GGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTGACCCCAGAGCAG
2176 GTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGC
2251 CAAGCGCACGGACTGACACCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACC
$776 \mathrm{~V} \quad$ Q $\quad \mathrm{R} \quad \mathrm{L}$
2326 GTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTCACGCCTGAGCAGGTAGTGGCTATTGCATCCAAC
801 I G G R P A L E S I I V A
2401 ATCGGGGGCAGACCCGCACTGGAGTCAATCGTGGCCCAGCTTTCGAGGCCGGACCCCGCGCTGGCCGCACTCACT
2476 AATGATCATCTTGTAGCGCTGGCCTGCCTCGGCGGACGACCCGCCTTGGATGCGGTGAAGAAGGGGCTCCCGCAC
2551 AvaI clycgrg2588
2551 GCGCCTGCATTGATTAAGCGGACCAACAGAAGGATTCCCGAGAGGACATCACATCGAGTGGCAGGCCTGCAGGGA
2626
EcoRI glaattc2656 EcoRV gat|atc2676
2626 NotI gc|ggccgc2649 BglII algatct2668 XbaI t|ctaga2

876 G G G G S K L A A A N S S I D L I S V P V D S R R
2626 GGCGGAGGTGGCTCCAAGCTTGCGGCCGCGAATTCATCGATAGATCTGATATCGGTACCAGTCGACTCTAGAAGA 901 S I A T M V S K G E E L F T G V V P I L V E L D G
2701 TCCATCGCCACCATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAGCTGTTCACCGGGGTGGTGCCCATCCTGGTCGAGCTGGACGGC
 2776 GACGTAAACGGCCACAAGTTCAGCGTGTCCGGCGAGGGCGAGGGCGATGCCACCTACGGCAAGCTGACCCTGAAG


2851 CTGATCTGCACCACCGGCAAGCTGCCCGTGCCCTGGCCCACCCTCGTGACCACCCTGGGCTACGGCCTGCAGTGC
 2926 TTCGCCCGCTACCCCGACCACATGAAGCAGCACGACTTCTTCAAGTCCGCCATGCCCGAAGGCTACGTCCAGGAG
 3001 CGCACCATCTTCTTCAAGGACGACGGCAACTACAAGACCCGCGCCGAGGTGAAGTTCGAGGGCGACACCCTGGTG
 3076 AACCGCATCGAGCTGAAGGGCATCGACTTCAAGGAGGACGGCAACATCCTGGGGCACAAGCTGGAGTACAACTAC
 3151 AACAGCCACAACGTCTATATCACCGCCGACAAGCAGAAGAACGGCATCAAGGCCAACTTCAAGATCCGCCACAAC 1076 I E *

3226 ATCGAGTAG

## F. 48 pTH-L20-VC155

```
1 M D D Y K D D H D D G D D Y F K D D F H
1 \text { ATGGACTATAAGGACCACGACGGAGACTACAAGGATCATGATATTGATTACAAAGACGATGACGATAAGATGGCC}
26
7 6 \text { CCAAAGAAGAAGCGGAAGGTCGGTATCCACGGAGTCCCAGCAGCCGTAGATTTGAGAACTTTGGGATATTCACAG}
```



```
1 5 1 ~ C A G C A G C A G G A A A A G A T C A A G C C C A A A G T G A G G T C G A C A G T C G C G C A G C A T C A C G A A G C G C T G G T G G G T C A T G G G ~
76 F
2 2 6 ~ T T T A C A C A T G C C C A C A T C G T A G C C T T G T C G C A G C A C C C T G C A G C C C T T G G C A C G G T C G C C G T C A A G T A C C A G G A C ~
3 0 1
```



```
3 0 1 ~ A T G A T T G C G G C G T T G C C G G A A G C C A C A C A T G A G G C G A T C G T C G G T G T G G G G A A A C A G T G G A G C G G A G C C C G A G C G ~
```



```
3 7 6 \text { CTTGAGGCCCTGTTGACGGTCGCGGGAGAGCTGAGAGGGCCTCCCCTTCAGCTGGACACGGGCCAGTTGCTGAAG}
```



```
4 5 1 ~ A T C G C G A A G C G G G G A G G A G T C A C G G C G G T C G A G G C G G T G C A C G C G T G G C G C A A T G C G C T C A C G G G A G C A C C C C T C ~
```



```
5 2 6 ~ A A C C T G A C C C C A G A G C A G G T C G T G G C A A T T G C G A G C A A C A T C G G G G G A A A G C A G G C A C T C G A A A C C G T C C A G A G G ~
```



```
6 0 1 ~ T T G C T G C C T G T G C T G T G C C A A G C G C A C G G A C T T A C G C C A G A G C A G G T C G T G G C A A T T G C G A G C A A C C A C G G G G G A ~
```



```
6 7 6 ~ A A G C A G G C A C T C G A A A C C G T C C A G A G G T T G C T G C C T G T G C T G T G C C A A G C G C A C G G A C T A A C C C C A G A G C A G G T C ~
251 V A I I A S S N N H G G G F K Q A A L
7 5 1 \text { GTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACCACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAA}
```


369

826 GCGCACGGGTTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACCACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTC
 901 CAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGA
 976 GGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTGACACCAGAG
 1051 CAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTG
 1126 TGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACACCCGAACAAGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAA
 1201 ACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACGCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGC
 1276 AACCACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTAACC
 1351 CCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACCACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCT
 1426 GTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGGTTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACCACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCA
 1501 CTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATT
 1576 GCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGA
 1651 CTGACACCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTG
 1726 CTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTCACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAG
 1801 CAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACGCCAGAGCAGGTCGTG
 1876 GCAATTGCGAGCAACCACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCG
 1951 CACGGACTAACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACCACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAG
 2026 AGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGGTTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACCACGGG
 2101 GGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTGACCCCAGAGCAG
 2176 GTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGC
 2251 CAAGCGCACGGACTGACACCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACC
 2326 GTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTCACGCCTGAGCAGGTAGTGGCTATTGCATCCAAC
 2401 ATCGGGGGCAGACCCGCACTGGAGTCAATCGTGGCCCAGCTTTCGAGGCCGGACCCCGCGCTGGCCGCACTCACT
 2476 AATGATCATCTTGTAGCGCTGGCCTGCCTCGGCGGACGACCCGCCTTGGATGCGGTGAAGAAGGGGCTCCCGCAC 2551 AvaI clycgrg2588
 2551 GCGCCTGCATTGATTAAGCGGACCAACAGAAGGATTCCCGAGAGGACATCACATCGAGTGGCAGGCCTGCAGGGA

```
2 6 2 6 ~ X h o I ~ c \| t c g a g 2 6 4 9
2 6 2 6 ~ A v a I ~ c l y c g r g 2 6 4 9
```



```
2626 GGCGGAGGTGGCTCCAAGCTTTCTCGAGGTACCCGTCCGGCGTGCAAAATCCCGAACGACCTGAAACAGAAAGTC
```



```
2 7 0 1 ~ A T G A A C C A C G A C A A G C A G A A G A A C G G C A T C A A G G C C A A C T T C A A G A T C C G C C A C A A C A T C G A G G A C G G C G G C G T G ~
```



```
2 7 7 6 \text { CAGCTCGCCGACCACTACCAGCAGAACACCCCCATCGGCGACGGCCCCGTGCTGCTGCCCGACAACCACTACCTG}
```



```
2 8 5 1 ~ A G C T A C C A G T C C A A A C T G A G C A A A G A C C C C A A C G A G A A G C G C G A T C A C A T G G T C C T G C T G G A G T T C G T G A C C G C C ~
    976 A G I T L G M D E L Y K *
2926 GCCGGGATCACTCTCGGCATGGACGAGCTGTACAAGTAG
```


## F. 49 pTH-R20-VN210

1 ATGGACTATAAGGACCACGACGGAGACTACAAGGATCATGATATTGATTACAAAGACGATGACGATAAGATGGCC
76 CCAAAGAAGAAGCGGAAGGTCGGTATCCACGGAGTCCCAGCAGCCGTAGATTTGAGAACTTTGGGATATTCACAG
151 CAGCAGCAGGAAAAGATCAAGCCCAAAGTGAGGTCGACAGTCGCGCAGCATCACGAAGCGCTGGTGGGTCATGGG
226 TTTACACATGCCCACATCGTAGCCTTGTCGCAGCACCCTGCAGCCCTTGGCACGGTCGCCGTCAAGTACCAGGAC
301
AvaI clycgrg

369

301 ATGATTGCGGCGTTGCCGGAAGCCACACATGAGGCGATCGTCGGTGTGGGGAAACAGTGGAGCGGAGCCCGAGCG
 376 CTTGAGGCCCTGTTGACGGTCGCGGGAGAGCTGAGAGGGCCTCCCCTTCAGCTGGACACGGGCCAGTTGCTGAAG
 451 ATCGCGAAGCGGGGAGGAGTCACGGCGGTCGAGGCGGTGCACGCGTGGCGCAATGCGCTCACGGGAGCACCCCTC
 526 AACCTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGG
 601 TTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACGCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGA
 676 AAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTAACCCCAGAGCAGGTC 251 V A I A 751 GTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAA
 826 GCGCACGGGTTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTC
 901 CAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGAC
 976 GGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTGACACCAGAG
 1051 CAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTG
 1126 TGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACACCCGAACAAGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAA
 1201 ACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACGCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGC $426 \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{I} \quad \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{K} \quad \mathrm{Q} \quad \mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{Q} \quad \mathrm{R}$ 1276 AACATCGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTAACC
 1351 CCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCT
 1426 GTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGGTTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCA
 1501 CTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATT
 1576 GCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGA
 1651 CTGACACCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTG
 1726 CTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTCACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAG
 1801 CAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACGCCAGAGCAGGTCGTG
 1876 GCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCG
 1951 CACGGACTAACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAG
 2026 AGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGGTTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGG
 2101 GGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTGACCCCAGAGCAG
 2176 GTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGC
 2251 CAAGCGCACGGACTGACACCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACC
 2326 GTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTCACGCCTGAGCAGGTAGTGGCTATTGCATCCAAC 801 I G G R P A L E S S I 2401 ATCGGGGGCAGACCCGCACTGGAGTCAATCGTGGCCCAGCTTTCGAGGCCGGACCCCGCGCTGGCCGCACTCACT
 2476 AATGATCATCTTGTAGCGCTGGCCTGCCTCGGCGGACGACCCGCCTTGGATGCGGTGAAGAAGGGGCTCCCGCAC 2551 AvaI clycgrg2588

2551 GCGCCTGCATTGATTAAGCGGACCAACAGAAGGATTCCCGAGAGGACATCACATCGAGTGGCAGGCCTGCAGGGA
 2626 AGTGGAAGTGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAGCTGTTCACCGGGGTGGTGCCCATCCTGGTCGAGCTGGACGGCGACGTA
 2701 AACGGCCACAAGTTCAGCGTGTCCGGCGAGGGCGAGGGCGATGCCACCTACGGCAAGCTGACCCTGAAGCTGATC
 2776 TGCACCACCGGCAAGCTGCCCGTGCCCTGGCCCACCCTCGTGACCACCCTGGGCTACGGCCTGCAGTGCTTCGCC


2851 CGCTACCCCGACCACATGAAGCAGCACGACTTCTTCAAGTCCGCCATGCCCGAAGGCTACGTCCAGGAGCGCACC
 2926 ATCTTCTTCAAGGACGACGGCAACTACAAGACCCGCGCCGAGGTGAAGTTCGAGGGCGACACCCTGGTGAACCGC
 3001 ATCGAGCTGAAGGGCATCGACTTCAAGGAGGACGGCAACATCCTGGGGCACAAGCTGGAGTACAACTACAACAGC
 3076 CACAACGTCTATATCACCGCCGACAAGCAGAAGAACGGCATCAAGGCCAACTTCAAGATCCGCCACAACATCGAG
 3151 GACGGCGGCGTGCAGCTCGCCGACCACTACCAGCAGAACACCCCCATCGGCGACGGCCCCGTGCTGCTGCCCGAC 1076 N H Y L S Y Q S K L
3226 AACCACTACCTGAGCTACCAGTCCAAGCTGAGCAAAGACTAA

## F. 50 pTH-R20-linker-VN210

 1 ATGGACTATAAGGACCACGACGGAGACTACAAGGATCATGATATTGATTACAAAGACGATGACGATAAGATGGCC
 76 CCAAAGAAGAAGCGGAAGGTCGGTATCCACGGAGTCCCAGCAGCCGTAGATTTGAGAACTTTGGGATATTCACAG
 151 CAGCAGCAGGAAAAGATCAAGCCCAAAGTGAGGTCGACAGTCGCGCAGCATCACGAAGCGCTGGTGGGTCATGGG
 226 TTTACACATGCCCACATCGTAGCCTTGTCGCAGCACCCTGCAGCCCTTGGCACGGTCGCCGTCAAGTACCAGGAC 301 AvaI clycgrg 369
 301 ATGATTGCGGCGTTGCCGGAAGCCACACATGAGGCGATCGTCGGTGTGGGGAAACAGTGGAGCGGAGCCCGAGCG
 376 CTTGAGGCCCTGTTGACGGTCGCGGGAGAGCTGAGAGGGCCTCCCCTTCAGCTGGACACGGGCCAGTTGCTGAAG
 451 ATCGCGAAGCGGGGAGGAGTCACGGCGGTCGAGGCGGTGCACGCGTGGCGCAATGCGCTCACGGGAGCACCCCTC
 526 AACCTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGG
 601 TTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACGCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGA
 676 AAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTAACCCCAGAGCAGGTC
 751 GTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAA 276 A $\quad$ H $\quad$ G $L$ 826 GCGCACGGGTTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTC
 901 CAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGAC 326 G G K Q A L E T V 976 GGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTGACACCAGAG
 1051 CAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTG


1126 TGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACACCCGAACAAGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAA
 1201 ACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACGCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGC
 1276 AACATCGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTAACC
 1351 CCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCT
 1426 GTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGGTTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCA
 1501 CTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATT
 1576 GCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGA
 1651 CTGACACCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTG
 1726 CTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTCACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAG
 1801 CAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTTACGCCAGAGCAGGTCGTG
 1876 GCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCG
 1951 CACGGACTAACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAG
 2026 AGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGGTTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGG
 2101 GGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTGACCCCAGAGCAG
 2176 GTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGC
 2251 CAAGCGCACGGACTGACACCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACC
 2326 GTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTCACGCCTGAGCAGGTAGTGGCTATTGCATCCAAC 801 I G G R P A L E S S I 2401 ATCGGGGGCAGACCCGCACTGGAGTCAATCGTGGCCCAGCTTTCGAGGCCGGACCCCGCGCTGGCCGCACTCACT
 2476 AATGATCATCTTGTAGCGCTGGCCTGCCTCGGCGGACGACCCGCCTTGGATGCGGTGAAGAAGGGGCTCCCGCAC 2551
AvaI clycgrg2588
 2551 GCGCCTGCATTGATTAAGCGGACCAACAGAAGGATTCCCGAGAGGACATCACATCGAGTGGCAGGCCTGCAGGGA
 2626 TCAGGTTCTGGAAGTGGAAGTGGGTCTGGACTGCAGGGAAGTGGAAGTGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAGCTGTTCACC
 2701 GGGGTGGTGCCCATCCTGGTCGAGCTGGACGGCGACGTAAACGGCCACAAGTTCAGCGTGTCCGGCGAGGGCGAG
 2776 GGCGATGCCACCTACGGCAAGCTGACCCTGAAGCTGATCTGCACCACCGGCAAGCTGCCCGTGCCCTGGCCCACC
 2851 CTCGTGACCACCCTGGGCTACGGCCTGCAGTGCTTCGCCCGCTACCCCGACCACATGAAGCAGCACGACTTCTTC
 2926 AAGTCCGCCATGCCCGAAGGCTACGTCCAGGAGCGCACCATCTTCTTCAAGGACGACGGCAACTACAAGACCCGC
 3001 GCCGAGGTGAAGTTCGAGGGCGACACCCTGGTGAACCGCATCGAGCTGAAGGGCATCGACTTCAAGGAGGACGGC
 3076 AACATCCTGGGGCACAAGCTGGAGTACAACTACAACAGCCACAACGTCTATATCACCGCCGACAAGCAGAAGAAC
 3151 GGCATCAAGGCCAACTTCAAGATCCGCCACAACATCGAGGACGGCGGCGTGCAGCTCGCCGACCACTACCAGCAG
 3226 AACACCCCCATCGGCGACGGCCCCGTGCTGCTGCCCGACAACCACTACCTGAGCTACCAGTCCAAGCTGAGCAAA 1101 D *
3301 GACTAA

## F. 51 pTH-R20-VC210



```
    1 ~ A T G G A C T A T A A G G A C C A C G A C G G A G A C T A C A A G G A T C A T G A T A T T G A T T A C A A A G A C G A T G A C G A T A A G A T G G C C ~
26 P
7 6 \text { CCAAAGAAGAAGCGGAAGGTCGGTATCCACGGAGTCCCAGCAGCCGTAGATTTGAGAACTTTGGGATATTCACAG}
```



```
1 5 1 \text { CAGCAGCAGGAAAAGATCAAGCCCAAAGTGAGGTCGACAGTCGCGCAGCATCACGAAGCGCTGGTGGGTCATGGG}
    76 F Tlllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll
226 TTTACACATGCCCACATCGTAGCCTTGTCGCAGCACCCTGCAGCCCTTGGCACGGTCGCCGTCAAGTACCAGGAC
3 0 1 ~ A v a I ~ c \| y c g r g ~
101 M I A A L P P E A T T H E A A I I V V G V V G
3 0 1 ~ A T G A T T G C G G C G T T G C C G G A A G C C A C A C A T G A G G C G A T C G T C G G T G T G G G G A A A C A G T G G A G C G G A G C C C G A G C G ~
```



```
3 7 6 \text { CTTGAGGCCCTGTTGACGGTCGCGGGAGAGCTGAGAGGGCCTCCCCTTCAGCTGGACACGGGCCAGTTGCTGAAG}
151 I A A K R R G G V T T A A V E E A N V F H
4 5 1 ~ A T C G C G A A G C G G G G A G G A G T C A C G G C G G T C G A G G C G G T G C A C G C G T G G C G C A A T G C G C T C A C G G G A G C A C C C C T C ~
```



```
5 2 6 ~ A A C C T G A C C C C A G A G C A G G T C G T G G C A A T T G C G A G C A A C A T C G G G G G A A A G C A G G C A C T C G A A A C C G T C C A G A G G ~
```



```
6 0 1 ~ T T G C T G C C T G T G C T G T G C C A A G C G C A C G G A C T T A C G C C A G A G C A G G T C G T G G C A A T T G C G A G C A A C A T C G G G G G A ~
```



```
6 7 6 \text { AAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTAACCCCAGAGCAGGTC}
```



```
7 5 1 ~ G T G G C A A T T G C G A G C C A T G A C G G G G G A A A G C A G G C A C T C G A A A C C G T C C A G A G G T T G C T G C C T G T G C T G T G C C A A ~
276 A H G L T T P E Q V V V A A I A A S F H
826 GCGCACGGGTTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTC
301 Q R L L L P V V L C C Q A A H
9 0 1 ~ C A G A G G T T G C T G C C T G T G C T G T G C C A A G C G C A C G G C C T G A C C C C A G A G C A G G T C G T G G C A A T T G C G A G C C A T G A C ~
```



```
976 GGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTGACACCAGAG
```



```
1 0 5 1 ~ C A G G T C G T G G C A A T T G C G A G C A A C G G A G G G G G A A A G C A G G C A C T C G A A A C C G T C C A G A G G T T G C T G C C T G T G C T G ~
```

369


```
1 1 2 6 ~ T G C C A A G C G C A C G G A C T T A C A C C C G A A C A A G T C G T G G C A A T T G C G A G C A A C A T C G G G G G A A A G C A G G C A C T C G A A ~
    401 T V Q R L L P V L L C C A A F H
1 2 0 1 ~ A C C G T C C A G A G G T T G C T G C C T G T G C T G T G C C A A G C G C A C G G A C T T A C G C C A G A G C A G G T C G T G G C A A T T G C G A G C ~
```



```
1 2 7 6 ~ A A C A T C G G G G G A A A G C A G G C A C T C G A A A C C G T C C A G A G G T T G C T G C C T G T G C T G T G C C A A G C G C A C G G A C T A A C C ~
```



```
1 3 5 1 ~ C C A G A G C A G G T C G T G G C A A T T G C G A G C C A T G A C G G G G G A A A G C A G G C A C T C G A A A C C G T C C A G A G G T T G C T G C C T ~
```



```
1426 GTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGGTTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCA
```



```
1501 CTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTGACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATT
```



```
1576 GCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGA
```



```
1651 CTGACACCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTG
```



```
1726 CTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGCCTCACCCCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAG
```



```
1 8 0 1 ~ C A G G C A C T C G A A A C C G T C C A G A G G T T G C T G C C T G T G C T G T G C C A A G C G C A C G G A C T T A C G C C A G A G C A G G T C G T G ~
```



```
1876 GCAATTGCGAGCAACATCGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCG
```



```
1 9 5 1 ~ C A C G G A C T A A C C C C A G A G C A G G T C G T G G C A A T T G C G A G C C A T G A C G G G G G A A A G C A G G C A C T C G A A A C C G T C C A G ~
```



```
2 0 2 6 ~ A G G T T G C T G C C T G T G C T G T G C C A A G C G C A C G G G T T G A C C C C A G A G C A G G T C G T G G C A A T T G C G A G C C A T G A C G G G ~
```



```
2 1 0 1 ~ G G A A A G C A G G C A C T C G A A A C C G T C C A G A G G T T G C T G C C T G T G C T G T G C C A A G C G C A C G G C C T G A C C C C A G A G C A G ~
```



```
2 1 7 6 \text { GTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCCATGACGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACCGTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGC}
```



```
2 2 5 1 \text { CAAGCGCACGGACTGACACCAGAGCAGGTCGTGGCAATTGCGAGCAACGGAGGGGGAAAGCAGGCACTCGAAACC}
```



```
2326 GTCCAGAGGTTGCTGCCTGTGCTGTGCCAAGCGCACGGACTCACGCCTGAGCAGGTAGTGGCTATTGCATCCAAC
```



```
2 4 0 1 ~ A T C G G G G G C A G A C C C G C A C T G G A G T C A A T C G T G G C C C A G C T T T C G A G G C C G G A C C C C G C G C T G G C C G C A C T C A C T ~
```



```
2 4 7 6 ~ A A T G A T C A T C T T G T A G C G C T G G C C T G C C T C G G C G G A C G A C C C G C C T T G G A T G C G G T G A A G A A G G G G C T C C C G C A C ~
2 5 5 1 ~ A v a I ~ c l y c g r g 2 5 8 8
```



```
2 5 5 1 ~ G C G C C T G C A T T G A T T A A G C G G A C C A A C A G A A G G A T T C C C G A G A G G A C A T C A C A T C G A G T G G C A G G C C T G C A G G G A ~
```



```
2 6 2 6 ~ A G T G G A A G T G A C C C C A A C G A G A A G C G C G A T C A C A T G G T C C T G C T G G A G T T C G T G A C C G C C G C C G G G A T C A C T C T C ~
    901 G M D E L Y K *
2 7 0 1 \text { GGCATGGACGAGCTGTACAAGTAG}
```


## F. 52 pTH-tMBD-VN210


 1501 GACAAGCAGAAGAACGGCATCAAGGCCAACTTCAAGATCCGCCACAACATCGAGGACGGCGGCGTGCAGCTCGCC
 1576 GACCACTACCAGCAGAACACCCCCATCGGCGACGGCCCCGTGCTGCTGCCCGACAACCACTACCTGAGCTACCAG 1651 XbaI t|ctaga1673
551 S K L S K D * S R
1651 TCCAAGCTGAGCAAAGACTAATCTAGA

## F. 53 pTH-tMBD-VC210



```
376 * S R
```

1126 TAGTCTAGA

## F. 54 pTH-BG-VN210



```
    1 ~ A T G G A C T A T A A G G A C C A C G A C G G A G A C T A C A A G G A T C A T G A T A T T G A T T A C A A A G A C G A T G A C G A T A A G A T G G C C ~
26 P
7 6 \text { CCAAAGAAGAAGCGGAAGGTCGGTATCCACGGAGTCCCAGCAGCCGTAGATTTGAGAACTTTGGGATATTCACAG}
1 5 1 ~ S a l I ~ g \| t c g a c 1 8 4
```



```
1 5 1 ~ C A G C A G C A G G A A A A G A T C A A G C C C A A A G T G A G G T C G A C A G T C G C G C A G C A T C A C G A A G C G C T G G C C T G C C T C G G C ~
    76 G R P A L D D A V F K K F G L L P F H
2 2 6 \text { GGACGACCCGCCTTGGATGCGGTGAAGAAGGGGCTCCCGCACGCGCCTGCATTGATTAAGCGGACCAACAGAAGG}
301 AvaI clycgrg305
```



```
3 0 1 ~ A T T C C C G A G A G G A C A T C A C A T C G A G T G G C A G G C C T G C A G G G A A G T G G A A G T G T G A G C A A G G G C G A G G A G C T G T T C ~
```



```
3 7 6 ~ A C C G G G G T G G T G C C C A T C C T G G T C G A G C T G G A C G G C G A C G T A A A C G G C C A C A A G T T C A G C G T G T C C G G C G A G G G C ~
151 E G D D A T F Y G K K L T T I L K K L I I Clllllllllllllllll
4 5 1 ~ G A G G G C G A T G C C A C C T A C G G C A A G C T G A C C C T G A A G C T G A T C T G C A C C A C C G G C A A G C T G C C C G T G C C C T G G C C C ~
```



```
5 2 6 ~ A C C C T C G T G A C C A C C C T G G G C T A C G G C C T G C A G T G C T T C G C C C G C T A C C C C G A C C A C A T G A A G C A G C A C G A C T T C ~
```



```
6 0 1 ~ T T C A A G T C C G C C A T G C C C G A A G G C T A C G T C C A G G A G C G C A C C A T C T T C T T C A A G G A C G A C G G C A A C T A C A A G A C C ~
```



```
6 7 6 \text { CGCGCCGAGGTGAAGTTCGAGGGCGACACCCTGGTGAACCGCATCGAGCTGAAGGGCATCGACTTCAAGGAGGAC}
```



```
7 5 1 \text { GGCAACATCCTGGGGCACAAGCTGGAGTACAACTACAACAGCCACAACGTCTATATCACCGCCGACAAGCAGAAG}
```



```
8 2 6 ~ A A C G G C A T C A A G G C C A A C T T C A A G A T C C G C C A C A A C A T C G A G G A C G G C G G C G T G C A G C T C G C C G A C C A C T A C C A G ~
301 Q N T T P I G D D G P V V L L L P P D N N H F Y L L
9 0 1 ~ C A G A A C A C C C C C A T C G G C G A C G G C C C C G T G C T G C T G C C C G A C A A C C A C T A C C T G A G C T A C C A G T C C A A G C T G A G C ~
976 XbaI t|ctaga986
326 K D * S R
9 7 6 ~ A A A G A C T A A T C T A G A ~
```


## F. 55 pTH-BG-VC210


1 ATGGACTATAAGGACCACGACGGAGACTACAAGGATCATGATATTGATTACAAAGACGATGACGATAAGATGGCC
 76 CCAAAGAAGAAGCGGAAGGTCGGTATCCACGGAGTCCCAGCAGCCGTAGATTTGAGAACTTTGGGATATTCACAG 151 SalI g|tcgac184

151 CAGCAGCAGGAAAAGATCAAGCCCAAAGTGAGGTCGACAGTCGCGCAGCATCACGAAGCGCTGGCCTGCCTCGGC


```
2 2 6 \text { GGACGACCCGCCTTGGATGCGGTGAAGAAGGGGCTCCCGCACGCGCCTGCATTGATTAAGCGGACCAACAGAAGG}
301 AvaI clycgrg305
101 I P E R R T S H R V V A G L Q G G S G G S D D P
3 0 1 ~ A T T C C C G A G A G G A C A T C A C A T C G A G T G G C A G G C C T G C A G G G A A G T G G A A G T G A C C C C A A C G A G A A G C G C G A T C A C ~
3 7 6 ~ X b a I ~ t \| c t a g a 4
4 3
126 M V L L E F V T A A A G I T T L G M D D E L M M K * S S R
3 7 6 ~ A T G G T C C T G C T G G A G T T C G T G A C C G C C G C C G G G A T C A C T C T C G G C A T G G A C G A G C T G T A C A A G T A G T C T A G A ~
```
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