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#### Abstract

Phytophthora root and stem rot is the most destructive soybean soil-borne disease worldwide and can be managed using soybean cultivars with genes conferring resistance to Phytophthora sojae. Here we show that soybean Rps11 is an $\sim 27-\mathrm{kb}$ nucleotide-binding site leucine-rich repeat (NLR) gene that confers broad-spectrum resistance to the pathogen. This giant gene is located in a genomic region containing 12 unusually large NLR genes of a single origin and was formed by rounds of intergenic/intragenic unequal recombination that involves the promoter regions and the LRR regions. Comparison of the genomic region in the Rps11 donor line with its corresponding regions in 29 diverse soybean genomes revealed drastic regional diversification including NLR copy number variation ranging from 5 to 23, and absence of allelic copy of Rpsll in all 29 genomes. This study highlights innovative evolution and complexity of an NLR cluster and enables precise selection of Rps11 for cultivar improvement.


## CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

Soybean (Glycine max) is the most important legume crop, providing $69 \%$ of world protein meal and $28 \%$ of vegetable oil (www.soystats.com). However, Phytophthora root and stem rot (PRSR), caused by the soil-borne pathogen Phytophthora sojae, threatens global soybean production, with annual losses of $\$ 200$ million in the United States and 1-2 billion worldwide (Dorrance et al., 2007; Tyler, 2007). Deployment of soybean varieties with genes conferring durable and broad-spectrum resistance is the most effective and environmentally friendly strategy to prevent PRSR (Dorrance and Schmitthenner, 2000; Hartman et al., 1999). Rpsl-k has been widely used in commercial cultivars since 1982 because of its excellent resistance to known races of $P$. sojae (Gordon et al., 2006). However, an increasing number of isolates of $P$. sojae, such as Race 25 and Race 31, have been reported in subsequent years to be virulent to Rpsl-k (Abney et al., 1997). Studies suggested that $P$. sojae populations could shift rapidly under strong selection pressure (Kaitany et al., 2001; Tooley and Grau, 1982), and individual Rps genes could remain effective for only 8 to 15 years of deployment in cultivars (Schmitthenner, 1985). Therefore, it is highly desired to identify novel Rps genes with durable and broad-spectrum resistance to $P$. sojae for effective management of PRSR.

To this end, more than 30 loci/alleles resistant to $P$. sojae ( Rps ) have been identified in past decades, most of which were mapped to genomic regions enriched in nucleotide-binding site leucine-rich repeat (NLR) genes (www.soybase.org). Unfortunately, the complex genomic variations found at these loci, including structural and copy number variations, have hindered understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying the resistance and restricted their application in soybean breeding. First, the high sequence similarity among the different NLR genes often makes it difficult to find unique markers tightly linked to the resistant gene within an NLR gene cluster. Second, marker-assisted introgression is not only time-consuming but also leads to the introduction of an entire cluster of NLR genes, putting the resistant gene at high risk of being disrupted by unequal recombination between different NLR genes. Therefore, precise isolation of the resistant gene from NLR gene clusters is highly desirable, as it will allow more accurate, efficient, and stable introduction of resistance genes into target soybean cultivars.

## CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 Plant defense strategies and known Rps loci

Plant defense includes both constitutive and induced strategies to protect plant from pathogen invasion (Anderson et al., 2010; Doughari, 2015). Constitutive defense includes physical barriers that can avoid the pathogens from entering and spreading in the plant cell and tissues, and biochemical reactions with products that can inhibit or kill the pathogens (Osbourn, 1996; Underwood, 2012). Induced defense also consists of two layers of protection. The first layer is known as pathogen associated molecular pattern (PAMP) triggered immunity (PTI) that recognizes conserved molecules or structure of pathogens and is usually not race specific; The second layer is effector triggered immunity (ETI) that recognizes the effectors released by pathogens and is usually race specific (Jones and Dangl, 2006). Soybean defense against Phytophthora sojae is usually ETI controlled by Resistant-to-P.sojae (Rps) genes.

For effective management of PRSR, about 34 Rps loci/alleles have been identified onto nine soybean chromosomes in past decades (Table 2.1). Among these loci/alleles, Rpsla, Rps1b, Rpslc, Rpsld, Rpslk, Rps7, Rps9, RpsYu25, RpsZheng, RpsYD29, RpsX, RpsHC18, RpsQ, RpsHN, RpsUN1, RpsWY and RpsGZ were mapped in a genome region on chromosome 3, forming the largest cluster of Rps loci (Table 2.1). The second largest cluster of Rps loci is located on chromosome 18, including Rps4, Rps5, Rps6, Rps12 and RpsJS (Table 2.1). Chromosome 13 has the third largest cluster of Rps loci, harboring Rps3a, Rps3b, Rps3c and RpsSN10 (Table 2.1). Besides these Rps cluster, Rps2 and RpsUN2 are located on chromosome 16; RpsZS18 is located on chromosome 2; Rpsll is located on chromosome 7; Rps8 is located on chromosome 8 ; RpsSu is located on chromosome $10 ; \operatorname{Rps} 10$ is located on chromosome 17; RpsYB30 is located on chromosome 19 (Table 2.1). Interestingly, most of these Rps loci/alleles were mapped into genome regions enriched with NLR genes. Since these loci are identified from various donor lines, whether they are controlled by different alleles of the same NLR gene or different NLR genes remain unclear.

Table 2.1 Summary of known Rps loci

| Rps | Donor | Chromosome | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RpsZS18 | Zaoshu18 | 2 | (Zhong et al., 2018b) |
| Rps1a | Mukden | 3 | (Bernard et al., 1957) |
| Rps1b | FC 31745 | 3 | (Hartwig et al., 1968) |
| Rps1c | Arksoy | 3 | (Mueller et al., 1978) |
| Rps1d | PI 103091 | 3 | (Buzzell and Anderson, 1992) |
| Rps1k | Kingwa | 3 | (Bernard and Cremeens, 1981) |
| Rps7 | Harosoy | 3 | (Anderson and Buzzell, 1992) |
| Rps9 | Ludou 4 | 3 | (WU et al., 2011a) |
| RpsYu25 | Yudou 25 | 3 | (Sun et al., 2011) |
| RpsZheng | Zheng 97196 | 3 | (ZHANG et al., 2020) |
| RpsYD29 | Yudou 2 | 3 | (Zhang et al., 2013a) |
| RpsX | Xiu94-11 | 3 | (Zhong et al., 2019) |
| RpsHC18 | Huachun 18 | 3 | (Zhong et al., 2018a) |
| RpsQ | Qichadou 1 | 3 | (Li et al., 2017) |
| RpsHN | Meng8206 | 3 | (Niu et al., 2017) |
| RpsUN1 | PI 567139 B | 3 | (Lin et al., 2013) |
| RpsWY | Wayao | 3 | (Cheng et al., 2017) |
| RpsGZ | Guizao1 | 3 | (Jiang et al., 2020) |
| Rps11 | PI 594527 | 7 | (Ping et al., 2016) |
| Rps8 | PI 399073 | 8 | (Burnham et al., 2003) |
| RpsSu | Su88-M21 | 10 | (Wu et al., 2011b) |
| Rps3a | Mukden | 13 | (Mueller et al., 1978) |
| Rps3b | PI 84637 | 13 | (Mueller et al., 1978) |
| Rps3c | PI 54615-1 | 13 | (Mueller et al., 1978) |
| RpsSN10 | Suinong 10 | CNS | 13 |
| Rps2 | CYu et al., 2010) |  |  |
| RpsUN2 | PI 567139 B | 16 | 16 |
| Rps10 | Wandou 15 | 17 | (Kilen et al., 1974) |
| Rps4 | PI 86050 | 18 | (Lin et al., 2013) |
| Rps5 | L62-904 | 18 | (Zhang et al., 2013b) |
| Rps6 | Altona | 18 | (Athow et al., 1980) |
| Rps12 | PI 399036 | 18 | (Buzzell, 1981) |
| RpsJS | Nannong 10-1 | 18 | (Athow and Laviolette, 1982) |
| RpsYB30 | Youbian 30 | 19 | (Sahoo et al., 2017) |
|  |  | (Sun et al., 2014) |  |
|  | (Zhendong et al., 2010) |  |  |

### 2.2 Summary of released high-quality soybean genomes

Soybean (Glycine max) is proposed to be domesticated from its wild relative, Glycine soja, $\sim 6,000-$ 9,000 years ago (Carter et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2012). Two rounds of whole genome duplication have occurred at approximately 59 and 13 million years ago, respectively, resulting in a highly duplicated genome with nearly $3 / 4$ of the genes present in multiple copies (Schmutz et al., 2010). In 2010, Schmutz et al. reported the first chromosome-level reference genome of a soybean cultivar, Williams 82 (Schmutz et al., 2010). However, a lot of sequencing gaps are still present in this reference genome, especially in genome regions enriched of nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat genes (NLR), due to the limitation in sequencing technology.

In 2018, Shen et al. reported the first high-quality soybean reference genome, Zhonghuang 13, assembled based on a combination of SMRT, Hi-C and optical mapping data (Shen et al., 2018) and most of the NLR gene clusters were well assembled (Table 2.2). In 2019, Xie et al. reported the first high-quality reference-grade genome of wild soybean (Table 2.2), W05, and a few structural variations has been identified compared to G. max genomes (Xie et al., 2019). Another milestone of soybean genome sequencing is the pan-genome of wild and cultivated soybeans, release by Liu et al. in 2020. The authors reported the high-quality genomes of 26 soybean accessions, including 3 wild soybeans, 9 landraces and 14 elite cultivars, which are selected as representatives of 2,898 accessions (Table 2.2). The breakthrough sequencing and assembly technologies have allowed us to decode complete complex NLR gene regions that are highly repetitive and variable in gene copy number and structure. For example, the physical distance of the NLR gene cluster in the Rpsll region ranges from 422 kb in SoyW02 to 1,206 kb in SoyC10 (Table 2.2), suggesting complicate structural and copy number variations. Besides the 29 released soybean genomes, $\sim 4,000$ soybean accessions have been re-sequenced and several million single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and insertions/deletions (InDels) have been identified (Liu et al., 2020; Valliyodan et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2015), and over 20,000 soybean germplasm have been genotyped using the Illumina Infinium SoySNP6k BeadChip (Illumina, San Diego, Calif. USA) (Song et al., 2015), which have highly accelerated the genetic study and breeding of soybeans.

Table 2.2 Summary of released high-quality soybean genomes

| Soybean Variety | Accession Name | Genome Size (Mb) | Length of Rpsl1 Region (Kb) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SoyW01 | PI 562565 | 1008.7 | 479.6 |
| SoyW02 | PI 549046 | 1005.1 | 422.1 |
| SoyW03 | PI 578357 | 1013.3 | 632.7 |
| SoyL01 | Zhutwinning2 | 996.9 | 628.5 |
| SoyL02 | Zi Hua No.4 | 1004.1 | 474.2 |
| SoyL03 | Tong Shan Tian E | 1033.9 | 453.8 |
| SoyL04 | Dan | 1000.7 | 602.5 |
| SoyL05 | 58-161 | 459.5 |  |
| SoyL06 | Zhang Chun Man | 1051.5 | 521.3 |
| SoyL07 | Cang Jin | 996.9 | 531.7 |
| SoyL08 | Fieng Di Huang Si Li Huang | 1003.4 | 532.8 |
| SoyL09 | Shi Sheng Chang Ye | 998.5 | 404.7 |
| SoyC01 | Xu Dou No.1 | 1025.4 | 834.5 |
| SoyC02 | Tie Feng No.18 | 1000.2 | 685.7 |
| SoyC03 | Ju Xuan No.23 | 999.1 | 713.3 |
| SoyC04 | Wan Dou No.28 | 995.4 | 517.5 |
| SoyC05 | Amsoy | 988.3 | 642.5 |
| SoyC06 | Yu Dou No.22 | 1000.5 | 595.1 |
| SoyC07 | Jin Dou No.23 | 1006.0 | 817.6 |
| SoyC08 | Qi Huang No.34 | 995.6 | 517.0 |
| SoyC09 | Han Dou No.5 | 993.1 | 611.2 |
| SoyC10 | PI 548362 | 998.3 | 1206.6 |
| SoyC11 | Ji Dou No.17 | 1019.0 | 972.7 |
| SoyC12 | Dong Nong No.50 | 1015.6 | 521.0 |
| SoyC13 | Hei He No.43 | 1007.8 | 516.5 |
| SoyC14 | Ke Shan No.1 | 1003.9 | 530.4 |
| ZH13 | Zhong Huang 13 | 1011.2 | 471.9 |
| W05 | W05 | 1013.2 | 603.3 |
| Williams 82 | PI 518671 | 978.4 | 517.6 |

### 2.3 Phytophthora soaje and virulence genes

Phytophthora is a genus of plant-damaging oomycetes with more than 170 identified species (Goheen and Frankel, 2009), many of which are plant pathogens of considerable economic importance such as Phytophthora infestans that caused the Irish potato famine and subsequent
diaspora (Nowicki et al., 2012), and Phytophthora sojae that causes the Phytophthora stem and root Rot (PRSR) of soybean, which has been ranked as the second most destructive soybean disease since it was first found in Indiana in 1948 (Kaufmann and Gerdemann, 1958; Wrather and Koenning, 2009). The zoospores produced by P. sojae can be attracted by soybean roots in wet conditions, and then infect plant tissues. Infected soybean plants usually develop lesions on root and stem and the entire plants are eventually killed.
$P$. sojae is a diploid organism with a genome size $\sim 95 \mathrm{Mb}$ (Tyler et al., 2006) and the pathogenic mechanisms of $P$. soja have been extensively explored. Several hundreds of effector proteins have been identified to date. Among these effector proteins, a conserved RXLR motif has been proposed to play crucial role in virulence by P. sojae (Dou et al., 2008; Jiang et al., 2008). In addition, several dozen of $P$. sojae islates have been re-sequenced, which will benefit the studies of the natural diversity of P . sojae populations and guide better deployment of Rps genes towards effective management of PRSR.

## CHAPTER 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 3.1 Plant materials

The mapping populations were generated from an initial cross between PI 594527 and Williams. In 2015, 2640 F3 plants derived from heterozygous F2 individuals were screened for identification of recombinants. In 2016 and 2017, two additional larger populations, including 7680 and 6730 F4 plants respectively, derived from heterozygous F3 individuals, were screened for more recombinants (Figure 3.1). Only the recombinants with one side heterozygous and the other side homozygous Williams genotype were used for fine mapping, because the two expected phenotypes could be easily and accurately distinguished.


Figure 3.1 Flowchart of the Rps11 fine mapping process and the plant materials used.

### 3.2 Isolates of $P$. sojae and resistance evaluation

Fourteen isolates of P. sojae (Table 3.1) were used for inoculation of a progeny populations, including 14 lines with Rpsll/Rpsll (PI 594527) genotype and 14 lines with rps1l/rps11 (Williams) genotype derived from the mapping population; 158 isolates collected from fields across Indiana (Figure 3.2 and Table 3.2) were used to further explore the resistance spectrum of the Rpsll locus. Race 1 was used for the inoculation of all the recombinants.

For inoculating the recombinants, about 30 seedlings from each line were inoculated with $P$. sojae Race 1 using a protocol previously described (Dorrance et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2013). Lines with less than $25 \%$ of progenies surviving after inoculation were classified as susceptible (S); lines with more than $25 \%$ of progenies surviving were classified as segregating $(\mathrm{H})$. Evaluation was repeated twice for each line. For resistance spectrum tests, lines with less than $25 \%$ of progenies surviving after inoculation were classified as susceptible (S), lines with $25 \%$ to $75 \%$ of progenies surviving after inoculation were classified as partially resistant (H), and lines with more than $75 \%$ of progenies surviving after inoculation were classified as completely resistant (R).

Table 3.1 List of P. sojae isolates used to inoculate segregating progeny population.

| Isolates of P. sojae |
| :---: |
| Race 1 |
| Race 3 |
| Race 4 |
| Race 7 |
| Race 25 |
| OH001 |
| OHC2S1 |
| OH003 |
| MINI2004.03.01 |
| MINI2004.01.01 |
| MINI2002.01.05 |
| MINI2002.05.01 |
| MINI2005.07.02 |
| MINI2002.05.05 |



Figure 3.2 Geographic distrubution of the 158 isolates collected from Indiana.

Table 3.2 Informaiton of the 158 isolates collected from indiana.

| Isolates | Latitude | Longitude | City | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 A-1 | N 40.90496 | W 084.98903 | Decatur | Adams |
| $2 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | N 40.90496 | W 084.98903 | NA | NA |
| $3 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 40.58507 | W 084.86088 | Geneva | Adams |
| $4 \mathrm{E}-2$ | N 40.63035 | W 084.81773 | Berne | Adams |
| $5 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | N 41.22283 | W 084.95517 | Grabill | Allen |
| $6 \mathrm{E}-2$ | N 41.04152 | W 084.95053 | New Haven | Allen |
| $7 \mathrm{E}-2$ | N 40.97488 | W 085.25479 | Roanoke | Allen |
| 8 A-1 | N 40.91072 | W 085.34553 | Roanoke | Huntington |
| $9 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | N 40.82905 | W 086.86231 | Monon | White |
| $9 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | N 40.82905 | W 086.86231 | Monon | White |
| $10 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 40.78548 | W 086.88977 | Reynolds | White |
| $11 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 40.70295 | W 086.95348 | Reynolds | White |
| $12 \mathrm{E}-2$ | N 40.93737 | W 087.43598 | Morocco | Newton |
| 14 A-1 | N 41.34976 | W 086.69878 | Brems | Starke |
| 15 A-1 | N 41.28823 | W 086.57769 | Knox | Starke |
| $16 \mathrm{C}-3$ | N 41.08433 | W 086.53154 | Monteray | Pulaski |
| 16b C-2 | N 41.08380 | W 086.53840 | Monteray | Pulaski |
| 16b C-2 | N 41.08380 | W 086.53840 | Monteray | Pulaski |
| 16 b -5 | N 41.08380 | W 086.53840 | Monteray | Pulaski |
| 17 A-1 | N 39.42980 | W 086.43896 | Martinsville | Morgan |
| $18 \mathrm{H}-3$ | N 39.41669 | W 086.38110 | Martinsville | Morgan |
| $19 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | N 39.35630 | W 086.30166 | Morgantown | Morgan |
| $20 \mathrm{~B}-2$ | N 39.28340 | W 086.25600 | Beanblossom | Brown |
| $21 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | N 39.30665 | W 085.62824 | Greensburg | Decatur |
| $22 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | N 39.29596 | W 085.67756 | Greensburg | Decatur |
| $25 \mathrm{C}-2$ | N 39.10662 | W 085.89056 | Waynesville | Bartholomew |
| 29 A-2 | N 39.13217 | W 085.50211 | North Vernon | Jennings |
| $29 \mathrm{E}-2$ | N 39.13217 | W 085.50211 | North Vernon | Jennings |
| $30 \mathrm{C}-1$ | N 39.12446 | W 085.61291 | North Vernon | Jennings |
| $32 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 40.66336 | W 086.40500 | Deer Creek | Carroll |
| $33 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 40.65193 | W 086.46801 | Deer Creek | Carroll |
| 35 A-1 | N 40.70462 | W 086.48701 | Clymers | Cass |
| $35 \mathrm{~F}-3$ | N 40.70462 | W 086.48701 | Clymers | Cass |
| 36 A-1 | N 41.36051 | W 086.06642 | Bourbon | Marshall |
| 36 A-1 | N 41.36051 | W 086.06642 | Bourbon | Marshall |
| $36 \mathrm{~B}-2$ | N 41.36051 | W 086.06642 | Bourbon | Marshall |
| $37 \mathrm{E}-3$ | N 41.35224 | W 085.74662 | Syracuse | Kosciusko |
| 38 G-4 | N 41.04783 | W 085.69312 | North Manchester | Kosciusko |

Table 3.2 continued

| 39 B-2 | N 41.12950 | W 085.87054 | Claypool | Kosciusko |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $40 \mathrm{G}-4$ | N 41.51197 | W 085.31149 | Kendallville | Nobel |
| $42 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 41.45224 | W 084.87048 | Butler | Dekalb |
| 43 A-1 | N 41.30648 | W 085.08826 | Auburn | Dekalb |
| $43 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 41.30648 | W 085.08826 | Auburn | Dekalb |
| $44 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 40.81373 | W 085.98716 | Peru | Miami |
| $45 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 40.58074 | W 085.92374 | Converse | Miami |
| $46 \mathrm{C}-2$ | N 40.78996 | W 086.08952 | Peru | Miami |
| $47 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | N 40.34442 | W 086.57448 | Frankfort | Clinton |
| $48 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | N 40.44903 | W 087.24055 | Pine Village | Warren |
| $48 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 40.44903 | W 087.24055 | Pine Village | Warren |
| 49 A-1 | N 40.38105 | W 087.14914 | Otterbein | Warren |
| $50 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 40.35755 | W 087.17988 | Attica | Warren |
| $51 \mathrm{M}-4$ | N 40.03183 | W 087.49126 | Perrysville | Vermillion |
| $52 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 39.66355 | W 087.44700 | Clinton | Vermillion |
| $52 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 39.66355 | W 087.44700 | Clinton | Vermillion |
| $54 \mathrm{~F}-4$ | N 40.65244 | W 085.64075 | La Fontaine | Grant |
| 55 A-3 | N 40.52213 | W 085.48476 | Upland | Grant |
| $56 \mathrm{C}-1$ | N 41.50157 | W 086.98971 | Valparasio | Porter |
| $57 \mathrm{E}-1$ | N 41.50291 | W 086.98766 | Valparasio | Porter |
| 58 J-4 | N 41.20449 | W 086.99295 | Wheatfield | Jasper |
| $58 \mathrm{~L}-5$ | N 41.20449 | W 086.99295 | Wheatfield | Jasper |
| 59 A-1 | N 40.95789 | W 087.17056 | Rensselear | Jasper |
| 61 A-1 | N 39.24440 | W 085.05331 | Sunman | Dearborn |
| $62 \mathrm{~B}-2$ | N 39.23475 | W 085.05738 | Sunman | Dearborn |
| $65 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 38.32988 | W 085.97841 | Georgetown | Floyd |
| $67 \mathrm{~F}-3$ | N 38.49106 | W 085.6161 | Charlestown | Clark |
| $69 \mathrm{E}-2$ | N 38.58755 | W 085.9325 | Salem | Washington |
| 70 A-1 | N 38.63133 | W 086.2307 | Campbellsburg | Washington |
| $70 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 38.63133 | W 086.2307 | Campbellsburg | Washington |
| 71 D-1 | N 38.85856 | W 085.0488 | Bennington | Switzerland |
| $72 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 40.60660 | W 087.34538 | Fowler | Benton |
| $73 \mathrm{E}-2$ | N 40.62072 | W 087.30252 | Fowler | Benton |
| $73 \mathrm{E}-2$ | N 40.62072 | W 087.30252 | Fowler | Benton |
| 75 E-3 | N 39.90692 | W 085.68655 | Greenfield | Hancock |
| 77 F-4 | N 39.85382 | W 085.73348 | Greenfield | Hancock |
| 79 A-1 | N 40.35025 | W 086.65878 | Mulberry | Clinton |
| $82 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | N 40.63588 | W 086.35467 | Camden | Cass |
| 85 A-1 | N 40.47463 | W 086.78065 | Buck Creek | Tippecanoe |
| $85 \mathrm{~B}-2$ | N 40.47463 | W 086.78065 | Buck Creek | Tippecanoe |

Table 3.2 continued

| 86 A-1 | N 40.31692 | W 086.79200 | Lafayette | Tippecanoe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87 A-1 | N 40.31547 | W 086.77392 | Lafayette | Tippecanoe |
| 89 G-2 | N 40.52630 | W 087.03092 | West Lafayette | Tippecanoe |
| 89 I-3 | N 40.52630 | W 087.03092 | West Lafayette | Tippecanoe |
| 90 B-2 | N 40.53872 | W 086.99163 | West Lafayette | Tippecanoe |
| $91 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | N 40.36445 | W 085.35042 | Eaton | Delaware |
| $91 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 40.36445 | W 085.35042 | Eaton | Delaware |
| $92 \mathrm{C}-2$ | N 40.44283 | W 085.29508 | Hartford City | Blackford |
| $93 \mathrm{E}-3$ | N 40.47115 | W 085.45500 | Upland | Grant |
| $94 \mathrm{E}-3$ | N 39.78147 | W 085.14852 | Milton | Wayne |
| $95 \mathrm{E}-2$ | N 39.78807 | W 085.15885 | Milton | Wayne |
| 96 A-1 | N 39.74610 | W 085.18735 | Bentonville | Fayette |
| $96 \mathrm{C}-2$ | N 39.74610 | W 085.18735 | Bentonville | Fayette |
| $97 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | N 39.75875 | W 085.25815 | Bentonville | Fayette |
| 98 E-4 | N 40.01417 | W 085.40000 | New Castle | Henry |
| $99 \mathrm{E}-3$ | N 39.60323 | W 084.87140 | Liberty | Union |
| 99 G-3 | N 39.60323 | W 084.87140 | Liberty | Union |
| 101 A-1 | N 40.19745 | W 084.86183 | Union City | Randolph |
| $102 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | N 40.20342 | W 084.95630 | Winchester | Randolph |
| $103 \mathrm{C}-1$ | N 39.24195 | W 086.56600 | Bloomington | Monroe |
| $104 \mathrm{~F}-4$ | N 38.76487 | W 086.57853 | Mitchell | Lawrence |
| $105 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | N 38.67768 | W 086.89850 | Loogootee | Martin |
| $105 \mathrm{~K}-4$ | N 38.67768 | W 086.89850 | Loogootee | Martin |
| 106 A-1 | N 38.66230 | W 086.95095 | Cannelburg | Daviess |
| $107 \mathrm{C}-1$ | N 38.49293 | W 087.30573 | Petersburg | Pike |
| $108 \mathrm{C}-1$ | N 38.45258 | W 087.29508 | Willisville | Pike |
| $109 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 38.25170 | W 087.53410 | Fort Branch | Gibson |
| $110 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | N 38.09208 | W 087.59508 | Darmstadt | Vanderburgh |
| $111 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | N 38.19442 | W 087.78283 | Poseyville | Posey |
| $113 \mathrm{~B}-2$ | N 38.20110 | W 087.77780 | Poseyville | Posey |
| $113 \mathrm{E}-2$ | N 38.20110 | W 087.77780 | Poseyville | Posey |
| $114 \mathrm{~F}-3$ | N 40.19990 | W 087.07383 | Wingate | Montgomery |
| 116 A-1 | N 40.08292 | W 087.24822 | Veedersburg | Fountain |
| $117 \mathrm{~N}-7$ | N 39.98230 | W 087.24302 | Kingman | Fountain |
| $119 \mathrm{C}-1$ | N 39.74553 | W 087.25920 | Rockville | Parke |
| $120 \mathrm{D}-1$ | N 39.44080 | W 087.12565 | Prairie City | Clay |
| $121 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 39.41475 | W 087.15493 | Prairie City | Clay |
| 122 A-1 | N 39.38698 | W 087.27763 | Riley | Vigo |
| 123 A-1 | N 39.36475 | W 087.30502 | Riley | Vigo |
| $124 \mathrm{C}-1$ | N 39.20808 | W 087.39408 | Farmersburg | Sullivan |

Table 3.2 continued

| 126 B-1 | N 38.86130 | W 087.44358 | Oaktown | Knox |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 128 C-2 | N 40.09372 | W 086.90425 | Crawfordsville | Montgomery |
| 129 D-2 | N 39.75167 | W 086.87467 | Bainbridge | Putnam |
| $130 \mathrm{C}-2$ | N 39.56182 | W 086.81330 | Cloverdale | Putnam |
| 131 D-1 | N 39.46290 | W 086.77755 | Cloverdale | Owen |
| $132 \mathrm{C}-1$ | N 39.20592 | W 086.85548 | Freedom | Owen |
| 134 B-1 | N 38.90387 | W 086.92720 | Scotland | Green |
| $135 \mathrm{~F}-2$ | N 38.36815 | W 086.94225 | Jasper | Dubois |
| $136 \mathrm{~F}-2$ | N 38.32128 | W 086.94358 | Huntingburg | Dubois |
| $137 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 38.18507 | W 086.99177 | Dale | Spencer |
| 137 B-1 | N 38.18507 | W 086.99177 | Dale | Spencer |
| 138 C-2 | N 38.14598 | W 086.81605 | St Meinrad | Spencer |
| 139 D-2 | N 38.21225 | W 086.73608 | Siberia | Perry |
| 140 B-1 | N 40.74955 | W 085.80962 | Wabash | Wabash |
| 141 B-2 | N 40.73915 | W 085.74463 | Wabash | Wabash |
| $142 \mathrm{E}-2$ | N 40.73718 | W 085.53127 | Mt. Edna | Huntington |
| 143 C-1 | N 40.74217 | W 085.25655 | Bluffton | Wells |
| 144 D-2 | N 40.83105 | W 085.22252 | Uniondale | Wells |
| 145 A-1 | N 41.06098 | W 085.61470 | South Whitley | Whitley |
| $146 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | N 41.08325 | W 085.41317 | Columbia City | Whitley |
| $147 \mathrm{C}-1$ | N 41.67445 | W 084.82850 | York | Steuben |
| 148 D-2 | N 41.66560 | W 084.97020 | Angola | Steuben |
| 149 B-1 | N 41.60707 | W 084.95347 | Angola | Steuben |
| 150 B-3 | N 41.64147 | W 085.26250 | Brushy Prairie | Lagrange |
| $152 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | N 40.48882 | W 086.33325 | Burlington | Howard |
| 154 B-2 | N 40.36760 | W 086.16502 | Sharpsville | Tipton |
| 155 A-1 | N 40.30328 | W 086.08532 | Tipton | Tipton |
| 156 A-1 | N 40.30565 | W 085.81825 | Elwood | Madison |
| 157 A-1 | N 40.28483 | W 085.63820 | Alexandria | Madison |
| 158 A-1 | N 40.13432 | W 085.51670 | Daleville | Delaware |
| 159 A-1 | N 40.06892 | W 085.40708 | Springport | Henry |
| 160 A-1 | N 39.77547 | W 085.44742 | Mays | Rush |
| 161 A-1 | N 39.60178 | W 085.49995 | Rushville | Rush |
| 163 B-1 | N 39.50458 | W 085.80985 | Shelbyville | Shelby |
| 164 B-2 | N 39.49183 | W 085.97295 | Franklin | Johnson |
| 165 C-2 | N 39.54817 | W 086.14408 | Whiteland | Johnson |
| 166 A-1 | N 39.66050 | W 086.36810 | Mooresville | Hendricks |
| 167 A-1 | N 39.98503 | W 086.39375 | Whitestown | Boone |
| 168 B-1 | N 40.04177 | W 086.34562 | Gadsden | Boone |
| R2T21 A-1 | NA | NA | NA | NA |

### 3.3 Genotyping the recombinants.

SSR markers and insertion/deletion markers (InDel) were identified and designed based on resequencing data of the two parental lines. Marker_176kb was a dominant marker that could only be amplified from the donor line. Only the markers with a unique hit at the Rps11 region were used for fine mapping. Kompetitive allele specific PCR (KASP) makers were also used to identify and genotype the recombinants from the 2017 mapping population. All markers used in this study are listed in Table 3.3.

Table 3.3 List of primers and sequences used for mapping and expression analysis.

| Primer Name | Primer Sequences |
| :---: | :---: |
| SSR-07-286F | AAAAATCAGCACCCATCGAC |
| SSR-07-286R | AGCCCTGGCCTTATTTTGTT |
| SSR-07-295F | CTCTCCTTTCATTCCCCACA |
| SSR-07-295R | TTCTTGGAGCTTCGGAGGTA |
| InDel-626F | GAACTCCACTTAATCATCTCAC |
| InDel-626R | TTCACTCCGTCCTCGGCGGCG |
| InDel-43F | ATTTCCTAATTAAGTGAAAGTTTGAAATGTTATATTA |
| InDel-43R | GATTTATCACACTATCAAAGTGTATGAC |
| SSR-300F | TCGCAATATTGGCTACGATG |
| SSR-300R | CTGAAAACAAAATAAAAGAGAACAAA |
| Marker176F | CTCTGTCCCCACCTCTCC |
| Marker176R | CATGGTCAGTTTGATAGC |
| InDel-327F | TAAGTGATTCGTTTGAGTCCT |
| InDel-327R | TATGGTGTGGCTATGGAGATTG |
| InDel-5.92F | GCATCAACACTTGGCGCAAGC |
| InDel-5.92R | GGATAATGCGATAATTGTTCTAGC |
| InDel-6.04F | AAATATAGCACCCTTTAGAG |
| InDel-6.04R | AGCCTCACTCTCCACAT |
| SSR-320F | TTTAACTGAAAATACTCCGGCA |
| SSR-320R | TCATAATTTAAGAGACCAAACCGA |
| qRT-PCR-F | TGTGAACATTCGTAGTTGTC |
| qRT-PCR-R | TTCCACTGACTCACAAAAAG |
| GmActin11F | CGGTGGTTCTATCTTGGCATC |
| GmActin11R | GTCTTTCGCTTCAATAACCCTA |

### 3.4 Long and short read genome sequencing

Long-read data was generated using the Pacific BioSciences (Menlo Park, CA, USA) Sequel platform at Corteva Agriscience ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (Johnston, IA, USA). Eight SMRT cells were performed with $10-\mathrm{hr}$ movies and v6 chemistry. Raw subreads were filtered to a minimum of 12 kb generating $77 \times$ genome coverage. The raw subread N 50 length was 28.9 kb . Linked short-read data were generated by sequencing 10X Genomics (Pleasanton, California) Chromium libraries at Corteva Agriscience ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ on the Illumina (San Diego, California) HiSeq2500 platform in a PE151 configuration. The coverage depth and mean molecule length for the Chromium library were $45.2 \times$ and 93.8 kb , respectively.

### 3.5 Genome assembly and sequence polishing

Canu (Koren et al., 2017) v1.8 (https://github.com/marbl/canu) was used to self-correct the raw subreads and to assemble the corrected reads into contigs. The following changes were made to the default parameters: correctedErrorRate $=0.065$, corMhapSensitivity=normal, and ovlMerDistinct $=0.99$. A minimum contig length of 30 kb was applied. Additional sequence polishing was performed by aligning raw PacBio subreads to the contig assembly using pbmm 2 v0.12.0 (https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/pbmm2) and applying the Arrow algorithm from $\begin{array}{cccc}\text { the } & \text { Genomic } & \text { Consensus } & \text { package }\end{array}$ (https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/GenomicConsensus) to identify and correct remaining consensus errors in the contigs. These tools were acquired from pbbioconda (https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/pbbioconda). The consensus sequence accuracy was further enhanced by complementing the long read contig assembly with Chromium linked shortreads. Chromium datasets were aligned to contigs using Long Ranger v2.2.2. The sequence assembly polishing tool Pilon (Walker et al., 2014) v1.22 (https://github.com/broadinstitute/pilon) was used to correct individual base errors and small indels from the Chromium data aligned to the contigs using the "--fix bases -minmq 30 " parameters.

### 3.6 Creating genome maps

Genome maps were generated in the Bionano Saphyr platform at Corteva Agriscience ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ using the Direct Label and Stain (DLS) approach (Ou et al., 2020). Nuclear DNA was isolated from leaf
tissue using a modified version of the Bionano Prep ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Plant Tissue DNA Isolation protocol (https://Bionanogenomics.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/30068-Bionano-Prep-Plant-Tissue-DNA-Isolation-Protocol.pdf) that did not include a gradient centrifugation step. DLE-1-labeled molecule data were filtered to create a dataset with a molecule N50 of 441 kb and $267 \times$ coverage. This dataset was assembled via the Bionano Genomics Access software platform (Solve3.2.2_08222018) with the configuration file optArguments_nonhaplotype_noES_noCut_DLE1_saphyr.xml. The resulting genome maps were filtered to remove coverage and length outliers. The final genome map assembly consisted of 45 maps with a genome map N 50 of 26.7 Mb and a total map length of 985 Mb .

### 3.7 Hybrid scaffolding of genome maps with sequence contigs.

Hybrid scaffolds were generated from the polished contigs and the Bionano genome maps using the Bionano Genomics Access software (Solve3.3_10252018) and the DLE-1 configuration file hybridScaffold_DLE1_config.xml. In addition to auto-conflict resolution performed by the software, manual curation was performed to resolve overlapping and embedded contigs by providing additional "Conflict resolutions" and re-running the hybrid scaffolding. In the final product, the assembly had 43 hybrid scaffolds (Scaffold N50 $=26.4 \mathrm{Mb}$, Total scaffold length= 978.1 Mb ) with 229 leftover contigs that were not scaffolded with a combined length of 21.3 Mb .

### 3.8 Building chromosome-scale pseudomolecules

A reference-based approach was feasible to create chromosome-scale pseudomolecules using the Glycine max Wm82.a2.v1 reference assembly (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/), because only an average of 2.15 scaffolds per chromosome needing to be placed. To map hybrid scaffolds to the reference, each scaffold was chunked into 100-bp fragments and then aligned to the reference genome using minimap2 (Li, 2018) v2.10 (https://github.com/lh3/minimap2). Then, a custom script was used to determine the chromosome position and orientation for each scaffold based on the alignment of each "chunked scaffold cloud". All scaffolds were able to be placed using this method. Leftover unscaffolded contigs were concatenated with 100-bp N -gaps and assigned to Chr00.

### 3.9 NLR gene annotation and expression analysis

NLR genes were annotated using NLR-Annotator(Steuernagel et al., 2020). RNA samples were extracted from mixed stem tissues from seedlings of each key recombinants using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Cat No. 74904, Qiagen) and were treated with RNase-Free DNase Set (Cat No. 79254, Qiagen) to remove DNA. RNA-seq was performed by Purdue Genomic Core Facility (https://www.purdue.edu/hla/sites/genomics/). RNA-seq data were mapped to the genome of the donor line using STAR (Dobin et al., 2013) and expression was calculated based on the number of reads mapped to each NLR gene.

### 3.10 Plasmid Construction and Transformation

To make the over-expression construct for the Rps11 candidate gene R6, the CDS of R6 was synthesized by Genscript as 3 fragments and assembled with AtUbi3 promoter and Gateway ATT sites by homologous recombination in yeast to make a Gateway entry vector (Muller et al., 2012). This was recombined into a Gateway destination vector by LR reaction using Gateway Technology with Clonase II (25-0749, Invitrogen) for transformation into Ochrobactrum. Ochrobactrummediated soybean embryonic axis transformation was done as previously described (US20180216123A1; WO2020/005933A1; WO2020/092494A1). Mature dry seeds of soybean cultivar 93 Y 21 were disinfected using chlorine gas and imbibed on semi-solid medium containing $5 \mathrm{~g} / 1$ sucrose and $6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ agar at room temperature in the dark. After an overnight incubation, the seed was soaked in distilled water for an additional 3-4 hrs at room temperature in the dark. Intact embryonic axis explants were isolated and transferred to the deep plate with 15 mL of Ochrobactrum haywardense H1-8 suspension (OD 0.5 at 600 nm ) in infection medium composed of 1/10X Gamborg B5 basal medium, $30 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ sucrose, 20 mM MES, $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ GA3, $1.67 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ BAP, $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ acetosyringone and 1 mM dithiothreitol in pH 5.4. The plates were sealed with parafilm ("Parafilm M" VWR Cat\#52858), then sonicated (Sonicator-VWR model 50T) for 30 seconds. After sonication, embryonic axis explants were transferred to a single layer of autoclaved sterile filter paper (VWR\#415/Catalog \# 28320-020). The plates were sealed with Micropore tape (Catalog \# 1530-0, 3M, St. Paul, MN)) and incubated under dim light ( $5-10 \mu \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{m} 2 / \mathrm{s}$, cool white fluorescent lamps) for 16 hrs at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days.

After co-cultivation, the base of each embryonic axis was embedded in shoot induction medium (R7100, PhytoTech Labs) containing $30 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ sucrose, $6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ agar and $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ spectinomycin (S742, PhytoTech Labs) as a selectable agent and $500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ cefotaxime (GoldBio, ST Louis, MO, USA) in pH 5.7 . Shoot induction was carried out at $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a photoperiod of 18 hrs and a light intensity of $40-70 \mu \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{m} 2 / \mathrm{s}$. After 4-6 weeks in selection medium, the spectinomycin-resistant shoots were cut and transferred to $1 / 2$ strength MS rooting medium (M404, PhytoTech Labs) containing $15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ sucrose, agar $6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}, 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ spectinomycin and $250 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ cefotaxime for further shoot and root elongations.

Marker-free transgenic soybean plants were generated by the Cre-lox site-specific recombination system using heat shock treatment. For heat shock treatment of soybean, $2-4 \mathrm{~cm}$ T0 plantlets with roots in $100 \times 25 \mathrm{~mm}$ Petri dishes on spectinomycin free-rooting medium were transferred into a Percival incubator (Percival Scientific, Perry, IA, USA) at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 70 \%$ humidity for 2 hrs in the dark. After the heat shock treatment, T0 plantlets were transferred to moistened Berger BM2 soil (Berger, Saint-Modeste, QC, Canada), and kept enclosed in clear plastic tray boxes in a Percival incubator at $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a 16 hr photoperiod at $250-350 \mu \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{m} 2 / \mathrm{s}$. Leaf punch samples were collected for molecular analyses from newer growth 2 weeks after acclimatization of T0 events. Hardened plantlets were potted in 2-gallon pots containing moistened SunGro 702 and grown to maturity for harvest in a greenhouse. The presence of the construct in the transgenic plants was confirmed by PCR with primers specific to the cloning vector and expression analysis of R6 in the transgenic plants by qPCR.

### 3.11 Data access

All the Pacbio raw sequence data, the genome assembly, Illumina short-reads sequencing data and RNA-seq data from this article have been deposited in National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) database under BioProject PRJNA718574.

### 3.12 Material availability

Novel biological materials described in this publication may be available to the academic community and other not-for-profit institutions solely for non-commercial research purposes upon
acceptance and signing of a material transfer agreement between the author's institution and the requestor. In some cases, such materials may originally contain genetic elements described in the manuscript that were obtained from a third party(s), and the authors may not be able to provide materials including third party genetic elements to the requestor because of certain third-party contractual restrictions placed on the author's institution. In such cases, the requester will be required to obtain such materials directly from the third party. The authors and authors' institution do not make any express or implied permission(s) to the requester to make, use, sell, offer for sale, or import third party proprietary materials. Obtaining any such permission(s) will be the sole responsibility of the requestor. In order to protect Corteva Agriscience ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ proprietary germplasm, such germplasm will not be made available except at the discretion of Corteva Agriscience ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ and then only in accordance with all applicable governmental regulations. Plant germplasm and transgenic material will not be made available except at the discretion of the owner and then only in accordance with all applicable governmental regulations.

## CHAPTER 4. RESULTS

### 4.1 Rps11 shows broad-spectrum resistance.

In an effort to identify novel sources of resistance to $P$. sojae, we identified a soybean landrace, PI 594527, possessing broad-spectrum resistance (Figure 4.1). A single Rps locus, Rps11, had been mapped onto chromosome 7 using a population derived from a cross between PI 594527 and Williams (rps) in our previous study (Ping et al., 2016).


Figure 4.1 Resistance spectrum of PI 594527.

Table 4.1 Resistance spectrum of Rpsll locus to 14 P. sojae isolate based on inoculation of a progeny population.

| Genotype | Race1 | Race4 | Race7 | Race25 | Race3 | OH001 | OHC2S1 | OH003 | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN1 } \\ 2004.03 .01 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN1 } \\ 2004.01 .01 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN1 } \\ 2002.01 .05 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN1 } \\ 2002.05 .01 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN1 } \\ 2005.07 .02 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN1 } \\ \text { 2002.05.05 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rps11 | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| rps11 | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| rps11 | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| rps11 | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| rps11 | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| rps11 | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| rps11 | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| rps11 | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| rps11 | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| rps11 | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| rps11 | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| rps11 | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| rps11 | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| rps11 | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Rps11 | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R |
| Rps11 | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R |
| Rps11 | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R |
| Rps11 | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R |
| Rps11 | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R |
| Rps11 | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R |
| Rps11 | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R |
| Rps11 | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R |
| Rps11 | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R |
| Rps11 | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R |
| Rps11 | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R |
| Rps11 | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R |
| Rps11 | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R |
| Rps11 | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R | R |

To confirm that the Rpsll locus is solely responsible for the broad-spectrum resistance, we inoculated a subpopulation, including 14 progeny lines with Rps11/Rps11 genotype (PI 594527) and 14 lines with rpsll/rps11 genotype (Williams), using 14 isolates of $P$. sojae. We observed perfect co-segregations between the presence/absence of Rpsll and the phenotype after inoculation, suggesting that Rps11 alone is responsible for the resistance in the donor line (Table 4.1). To further explore the resistance spectrum of Rpsll, we inoculated a progeny line with Rpsll using 158 additional isolates collected from all Indiana counties. We found that Rpsll was resistant to 127 out of the 158 of these isolates (Figure 4.2 and Table 4.2). We also compared the resistance spectrum of Rps11 with two novel Rps loci, RpsUN1 and RpsUN2, previous identified in our lab. It shows that the spectrum of RpsUN1, RpsUN2 and Rps11 is significantly higher than the control line Williams (rps) $\left(P=8.6 \times 10^{-46}, 3.8 \times 10^{-51}\right.$ and $5.4 \times 10^{-63}$, respectively) (Figure 4.3). The spectrum of Rps11 is also significantly higher than that of RpsUN1 and RpsUN2 $\left(P=3.6 \times 10^{-}\right.$ ${ }^{6}, 0.006$, respectively) (Figure 4.3). Taken together, our results demonstrate that Rps 11 possesses a broad resistance spectrum to $P$. sojae and shows excellent potential in managing PRSR. Therefore, cloning of the resistant gene underlying Rpsll will facilitate its deployment in soybean cultivars.


Figure 4.2 Distrabution of the 158 isolates resistant or susceptible to Rps11.
Green dots represent isolates that Rps11 is resistant to, orange dots represents isolates that Rps11 partially resistant to, red dots represent isolates that Rpsll are susceptible to.


Figure 4.3 Resistance spectrum of RpsUN1, RpsUN2 and Rps11.
Red asterisks indicate significance level ( $P<0.01$ ).

Table 4.2 Resistance spectrum of Rps11 to 158 P. sojae isolates collected from Indiana.

| Isolate ID | Williams (Control) |  | RIL (Rps11) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dead/Total | Dead rate | D/T | Dead Rate |
| $1 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 10/11 | 91\% | 9/10 | 90\% |
| $2 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 12/12 | 100\% | 1/9 | 11\% |
| $3 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 9/11 | 82\% | 3/11 | 27\% |
| $4 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 9/9 | 100\% | 8/9 | 89\% |
| $5 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 11/12 | 92\% | 10/12 | 83\% |
| $6 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 9/9 | 100\% | 1/11 | 9\% |
| $7 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 10/10 | 100\% | 0/6 | 0\% |
| 8 A-1 | 9/11 | 82\% | 1/12 | 8\% |
| $9 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 8/11 | 73\% | 4/8 | 50\% |
| $9 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 7/10 | 70\% | 6/8 | 75\% |
| $10 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 7/8 | 88\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| $11 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 11/12 | 92\% | 11/11 | 100\% |
| $12 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 9/9 | 100\% | 0/9 | 0\% |
| 14 A-1 | 9/10 | 90\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| 15 A-1 | 12/12 | 100\% | 10/12 | 83\% |
| $16 \mathrm{C}-3$ | 11/12 | 92\% | 5/11 | 45\% |
| 16 b C-2 | 4/10 | 40\% | 0/10 | 0\% |
| 16b C-2 | 6/10 | 60\% | 0/9 | 0\% |
| 16 b H-5 | 11/11 | 100\% | 0/12 | 0\% |
| 17 A-1 | 10/10 | 100\% | 0/10 | 0\% |
| $18 \mathrm{H}-3$ | 11/11 | 100\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| 19 A-1 | 12/12 | 100\% | 1/11 | 9\% |
| $20 \mathrm{~B}-2$ | 10/11 | 91\% | 0/12 | 0\% |
| $21 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 10/10 | 100\% | 0/12 | 0\% |
| $22 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 12/12 | 100\% | 0/12 | 0\% |
| $25 \mathrm{C}-2$ | 10/13 | 77\% | 0/12 | 0\% |
| 29 A-2 | 11/12 | 92\% | 0/9 | 0\% |
| $29 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 5/10 | 50\% | 0/12 | 0\% |
| $30 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 9/10 | 90\% | 0/12 | 0\% |
| $32 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 11/12 | 92\% | 0/12 | 0\% |
| $33 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 8/10 | 80\% | 0/9 | 0\% |
| $35 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 11/11 | 100\% | 0/10 | 0\% |
| $35 \mathrm{~F}-3$ | 11/11 | 100\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| 36 A-1 | 0/12 | 0\% | 0/10 | 0\% |
| 36 A-1 | 4/9 | 44\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| $36 \mathrm{~B}-2$ | 10/10 | 100\% | 12/12 | 100\% |
| $37 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 11/11 | 100\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| $38 \mathrm{G}-4$ | 9/9 | 100\% | 9/10 | 90\% |
| $39 \mathrm{~B}-2$ | 12/12 | 100\% | 0/10 | 0\% |

Table 4.2 Continued

| 40 G-4 | 10/10 | 100\% | 9/9 | 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $42 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 9/9 | 100\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| 43 A-1 | 11/11 | 100\% | 0/10 | 0\% |
| $43 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 9/9 | 100\% | 0/7 | 0\% |
| $44 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 8/8 | 100\% | 9/9 | 100\% |
| $45 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 9/10 | 90\% | 8/8 | 100\% |
| $46 \mathrm{C}-2$ | 11/11 | 100\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| $47 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 11/11 | 100\% | 0/12 | 0\% |
| 48 A-1 | 11/11 | 100\% | 12/12 | 100\% |
| $48 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 8/9 | 89\% | 5/9 | 56\% |
| 49 A-1 | 11/12 | 92\% | 0/9 | 0\% |
| $50 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 11/11 | 100\% | 3/10 | 30\% |
| $51 \mathrm{M}-4$ | 9/11 | 82\% | 0/10 | 0\% |
| $52 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 7/11 | 64\% | 0/9 | 0\% |
| $52 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 11/11 | 100\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| $54 \mathrm{~F}-4$ | 10/10 | 100\% | 2/11 | 18\% |
| $55 \mathrm{~A}-3$ | 10/10 | 100\% | 0/9 | 0\% |
| $56 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 12/12 | 100\% | 0/9 | 0\% |
| $57 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 12/12 | 100\% | 0/9 | 0\% |
| 58 J-4 | 8/11 | 73\% | 0/10 | 0\% |
| $58 \mathrm{~L}-5$ | 9/10 | 90\% | 0/9 | 0\% |
| 59 A-1 | 11/11 | 100\% | 9/10 | 90\% |
| 61 A-1 | 7/7 | 100\% | 9/9 | 100\% |
| $62 \mathrm{~B}-2$ | 9/9 | 100\% | 0/8 | 0\% |
| $65 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 9/9 | 100\% | 0/10 | 0\% |
| $67 \mathrm{~F}-3$ | 10/10 | 100\% | 0/10 | 0\% |
| $69 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 11/11 | 100\% | 0/10 | 0\% |
| $70 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 8/11 | 73\% | 10/10 | 100\% |
| $70 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 12/12 | 100\% | 12/12 | 100\% |
| 71 D-1 | 9/9 | 100\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| $72 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 12/12 | 100\% | 3/11 | 27\% |
| 73 E-2 | 3/9 | 33\% | 0/7 | 0\% |
| $73 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 11/11 | 100\% | 0/12 | 0\% |
| 75 E-3 | 7/9 | 78\% | 0/8 | 0\% |
| 77 F-4 | 9/9 | 100\% | 0/10 | 0\% |
| 79 A-1 | 9/10 | 90\% | 0/12 | 0\% |
| $82 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 10/11 | 91\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| 85 A-1 | 12/12 | 100\% | 1/9 | 11\% |
| $85 \mathrm{~B}-2$ | 9/10 | 90\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| 86 A-1 | 10/11 | 91\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| $87 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 8/10 | 80\% | 0/12 | 0\% |
| 89 G-2 | 4/12 | 33\% | 2/10 | 20\% |

Table 4.2 continued

| 89 I-3 | 10/11 | 91\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90 B-2 | 12/12 | 100\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| $91 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 8/12 | 67\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| $91 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 11/11 | 100\% | 2/11 | 18\% |
| $92 \mathrm{C}-2$ | 10/10 | 100\% | 9/11 | 82\% |
| $93 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 9/12 | 75\% | 9/10 | 90\% |
| $94 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 10/10 | 100\% | 9/9 | 100\% |
| $95 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 12/12 | 100\% | 8/8 | 100\% |
| $96 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 11/11 | 100\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| $96 \mathrm{C}-2$ | 9/12 | 75\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| $97 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 10/11 | 91\% | 8/8 | 100\% |
| $98 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 9/11 | 82\% | 10/10 | 100\% |
| $99 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 5/7 | 71\% | 0/10 | 0\% |
| 99 G-3 | 11/11 | 100\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| $101 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 8/10 | 80\% | 0/10 | 0\% |
| $102 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 10/12 | 83\% | 0/10 | 0\% |
| $103 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 7/9 | 78\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| $104 \mathrm{~F}-4$ | 12/12 | 100\% | 8/9 | 89\% |
| 105 A-1 | 7/8 | 88\% | 0/6 | 0\% |
| $105 \mathrm{~K}-4$ | 12/12 | 100\% | 12/12 | 100\% |
| 106 A-1 | 10/10 | 100\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| $107 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 8/9 | 89\% | 0/12 | 0\% |
| $108 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 9/11 | 82\% | 0/6 | 0\% |
| $109 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 8/10 | 80\% | 10/10 | 100\% |
| $110 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 9/12 | 75\% | 13/13 | 100\% |
| $111 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 10/11 | 91\% | 0/10 | 0\% |
| $113 \mathrm{~B}-2$ | 9/12 | 75\% | 0/12 | 0\% |
| $113 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 8/12 | 67\% | 0/14 | 0\% |
| 114 F-3 | 11/11 | 100\% | 0/14 | 0\% |
| 116 A-1 | 9/10 | 90\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| $117 \mathrm{~N}-7$ | 12/13 | 92\% | 0/12 | 0\% |
| $119 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 9/9 | 100\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| $120 \mathrm{D}-1$ | 6/8 | 75\% | 0/9 | 0\% |
| $121 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 8/9 | 89\% | 0/10 | 0\% |
| $122 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 9/9 | 100\% | 2/8 | 25\% |
| 123 A-1 | 11/12 | 92\% | 0/11 | 0\% |
| $124 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 9/10 | 90\% | 0/9 | 0\% |
| 126 B-1 | 12/12 | 100\% | 0/9 | 0\% |
| 128 C-2 | 7/9 | 78\% | 0/6 | 0\% |
| 129 D-2 | 8/10 | 80\% | 5/6 | 83\% |
| $130 \mathrm{C}-2$ | 9/12 | 75\% | 5/10 | 50\% |
| $131 \mathrm{D}-1$ | 10/11 | 91\% | 0/8 | 0\% |

Table 4.2 continued

| 132 C-1 | $8 / 8$ | $100 \%$ | $0 / 10$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 134 B-1 | $10 / 11$ | $91 \%$ | $9 / 9$ | $100 \%$ |
| 135 F-2 | $7 / 8$ | $88 \%$ | $0 / 11$ | $0 \%$ |
| 136 F-2 | $7 / 8$ | $88 \%$ | $1 / 11$ | $9 \%$ |
| 137 B-1 | $7 / 10$ | $70 \%$ | $0 / 11$ | $0 \%$ |
| 137 B-1 | $11 / 12$ | $92 \%$ | $2 / 12$ | $17 \%$ |
| 138 C-2 | $12 / 12$ | $100 \%$ | $0 / 9$ | $0 \%$ |
| 139 D-2 | $10 / 11$ | $91 \%$ | $0 / 11$ | $0 \%$ |
| 140 B-1 | $10 / 10$ | $100 \%$ | $0 / 10$ | $0 \%$ |
| 141 B-2 | $10 / 11$ | $91 \%$ | $2 / 11$ | $18 \%$ |
| 142 E-2 | $10 / 10$ | $100 \%$ | $0 / 8$ | $0 \%$ |
| 143 C-1 | $9 / 11$ | $82 \%$ | $7 / 7$ | $100 \%$ |
| 144 D-2 | $10 / 10$ | $100 \%$ | $0 / 12$ | $0 \%$ |
| 145 A-1 | $12 / 12$ | $100 \%$ | $0 / 12$ | $0 \%$ |
| 146 B-1 | $10 / 11$ | $91 \%$ | $0 / 7$ | $0 \%$ |
| 147 C-1 | $10 / 10$ | $100 \%$ | $2 / 11$ | $18 \%$ |
| 148 D-2 | $10 / 10$ | $100 \%$ | $0 / 9$ | $0 \%$ |
| 149 B-1 | $11 / 11$ | $100 \%$ | $0 / 11$ | $0 \%$ |
| 150 B-3 | $11 / 11$ | $100 \%$ | $0 / 12$ | $0 \%$ |
| 152 A-1 | $10 / 10$ | $100 \%$ | $0 / 12$ | $0 \%$ |
| 154 B-2 | $9 / 9$ | $100 \%$ | $0 / 11$ | $0 \%$ |
| 155 A-1 | $10 / 10$ | $100 \%$ | $1 / 11$ | $9 \%$ |
| 156 A-1 | $9 / 9$ | $100 \%$ | $10 / 11$ | $91 \%$ |
| 157 A-1 | $11 / 12$ | $92 \%$ | $0 / 9$ | $0 \%$ |
| 158 A-1 | $10 / 11$ | $91 \%$ | $8 / 9$ | $89 \%$ |
| 159 A-1 | $10 / 10$ | $100 \%$ | $1 / 8$ | $13 \%$ |
| 160 A-1 | $11 / 11$ | $100 \%$ | $0 / 11$ | $0 \%$ |
| 161 A-1 | $8 / 8$ | $100 \%$ | $0 / 12$ | $0 \%$ |
| 163 B-1 | $9 / 9$ | $100 \%$ | $0 / 9$ | $0 \%$ |
| 164 B-2 | $11 / 11$ | $100 \%$ | $0 / 11$ | $0 \%$ |
| 165 C-2 | $10 / 10$ | $100 \%$ | $0 / 9$ | $0 \%$ |
| 166 A-1 | $11 / 11$ | $100 \%$ | $0 / 9$ | $0 \%$ |
| 167 A-1 | $9 / 9$ | $100 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 168 B-1 | $10 / 10$ | $100 \%$ | $00 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| R2T21 A-1 | $10 / 10$ |  |  | $0 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $00 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

### 4.2 Genome sequencing of PI 594527 and NLR gene annotation.

Based on the responses of 209 F2:3 families derived from a cross between PI 594527 and a susceptible variety Williams to $P$. sojae Race 1, Rps 11 was initially mapped to a $348-\mathrm{kb}$ genomic region on chromosome 7 , with 12 sequencing gaps of unknown sizes according to the Williams 82 reference genome assembly v2.0 (www.soybase.org). In order to determine the correct genome structure and the copy number of NLR genes in the mapping region in the two parental lines, we performed whole genome sequencing and de novo assembly for PI 594527. The genome was built with 34 kb PacBio reads which were assembled into 424 contigs with a contig N50 of 13.8 Mb and further polished with Chromium 10X data. The contigs were scaffolded with 45 BioNano maps into 43 hybrid scaffolds with a scaffold N 50 of 26.4 Mb , essentially 1-2 scaffolds per chromosome. Then, we performed NLR gene annotation across the entire genome and identified 512 NLR genes in total (Figure 4.4, Table 4.3, and Table 4.4).


Figure 4.4 Physical distributuions of NLR genes across the PI 594527 genome.
Number of NLR genes was counted in $1-\mathrm{Mb}$ sliding windows with $100-\mathrm{kb}$ steps. y axis is the number of NLR genes and $x$ axis is the soybean chromosomes. The arrow points the position of the NLR gene cluster in the Rps 11 region.

Table 4.3 Number of NLR genes on each chromosome.

| Chromosome ID | Length (bp) | No. of NLR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chr01 | 58479646 | 32 |
| Chr02 | 51823354 | 18 |
| Chr03 | 46994370 | 58 |
| Chr04 | 52535076 | 6 |
| Chr05 | 44312942 | 3 |
| Chr06 | 50373303 | 45 |
| Chr07 | 45788982 | 16 |
| Chr08 | 48806881 | 30 |
| Chr09 | 50310076 | 22 |
| Chr10 | 53640677 | 11 |
| Chr11 | 39637742 | 12 |
| Chr12 | 42723935 | 22 |
| Chr13 | 46929662 | 28 |
| Chr14 | 51027704 | 17 |
| Chr15 | 53394395 | 36 |
| Chr16 | 37902597 | 58 |
| Chr17 | 42197827 | 2 |
| Chr18 | 59935911 | 49 |
| Chr19 | 50705441 | 28 |
| Chr20 | 49315660 | 19 |
| Total | 976836181 | 512 |

Table 4.4 List of NLR genes across entire genome in the Rps11 donor line.

| Chromosome | Start | End | NLR ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chr01 | 1018243 | 1020772 | Chr01_NLR_1 |
| Chr01 | 1028735 | 1032332 | Chr01_NLR_2 |
| Chr01 | 1155718 | 1158247 | Chr01_NLR_3 |
| Chr01 | 1205536 | 1208569 | Chr01_NLR_32 |
| Chr01 | 3108328 | 3109752 | Chr01_NLR_4 |
| Chr01 | 3346470 | 3350153 | Chr01_NLR_5 |
| Chr01 | 3402501 | 3406211 | Chr01_NLR_6 |
| Chr01 | 3429226 | 3433313 | Chr01_NLR_7 |
| Chr01 | 3457895 | 3461060 | Chr01_NLR_8 |
| Chr01 | 3475540 | 3479598 | Chr01_NLR_9 |
| Chr01 | 3667231 | 3669484 | Chr01_NLR_10 |
| Chr01 | 3721305 | 3728439 | Chr01_NLR_11 |
| Chr01 | 3753256 | 3756121 | Chr01_NLR_31 |
| Chr01 | 4101324 | 4105346 | Chr01_NLR_12 |
| Chr01 | 4170801 | 4178689 | Chr01_NLR_13 |
| Chr01 | 5428123 | 5431064 | Chr01_NLR_30 |
| Chr01 | 6938035 | 6943111 | Chr01_NLR_14 |
| Chr01 | 7105590 | 7106438 | Chr01_NLR_15 |
| Chr01 | 10181969 | 10184537 | Chr01_NLR_16 |
| Chr01 | 11671567 | 11673783 | Chr01_NLR_17 |
| Chr01 | 13489864 | 13499459 | Chr01_NLR_29 |
| Chr01 | 38589414 | 38594185 | Chr01_NLR_28 |
| Chr01 | 39249408 | 39252531 | Chr01_NLR_18 |
| Chr01 | 39269138 | 39273150 | Chr01_NLR_19 |
| Chr01 | 45032137 | 45035928 | Chr01_NLR_27 |
| Chr01 | 45111318 | 45119410 | Chr01_NLR_26 |
| Chr01 | 45318880 | 45323686 | Chr01_NLR_20 |
| Chr01 | 45628458 | 45631884 | Chr01_NLR_25 |
| Chr01 | 50211917 | 50213723 | Chr01_NLR_21 |
| Chr01 | 50271665 | 50275918 | Chr01_NLR_22 |
| Chr01 | 52519285 | 52521826 | Chr01_NLR_23 |
| Chr01 | 53523755 | 53526335 | Chr01_NLR_24 |
| Chr02 | 2314819 | 2317423 | Chr02_NLR_18 |
| Chr02 | 2681939 | 2686763 | Chr02_NLR_17 |
| Chr02 | 2735020 | 2737510 | Chr02_NLR_16 |
| Chr02 | 2956476 | 2959676 | Chr02_NLR_1 |
| Chr02 | 3068461 | 3069006 | Chr02_NLR_15 |
| Chr02 | 3837003 | 3838822 | Chr02_NLR_2 |
| Chr02 | 6583035 | 6589230 | Chr02_NLR_3 |
| Chr02 | 6591196 | 6593970 | Chr02_NLR_14 |

Table 4.4 continued

| Chr02 | 10602852 | 10604713 | Chr02_NLR_13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chr02 | 10607147 | 10609574 | Chr02_NLR_4 |
| Chr02 | 10832025 | 10833422 | Chr02_NLR_5 |
| Chr02 | 12936214 | 12939798 | Chr02_NLR_6 |
| Chr02 | 35383398 | 35385830 | Chr02_NLR_12 |
| Chr02 | 44251441 | 44258749 | Chr02_NLR_11 |
| Chr02 | 45820920 | 45832428 | Chr02_NLR_7 |
| Chr02 | 48551783 | 48555285 | Chr02_NLR_8 |
| Chr02 | 49799087 | 49803294 | Chr02_NLR_9 |
| Chr02 | 49818472 | 49822579 | Chr02_NLR_10 |
| Chr03 | 3930708 | 3934092 | Chr03_NLR_58 |
| Chr03 | 3956375 | 3959744 | Chr03_NLR_57 |
| Chr03 | 4090213 | 4093576 | Chr03_NLR_56 |
| Chr03 | 4113203 | 4114943 | Chr03_NLR_55 |
| Chr03 | 4140643 | 4144005 | Chr03_NLR_54 |
| Chr03 | 4182585 | 4185870 | Chr03_NLR_53 |
| Chr03 | 4284140 | 4286212 | Chr03_NLR_52 |
| Chr03 | 4337323 | 4340740 | Chr03_NLR_51 |
| Chr03 | 4356115 | 4369096 | Chr03 NLR 50 |
| Chr03 | 4393941 | 4397316 | Chr03_NLR_49 |
| Chr03 | 4472889 | 4474961 | Chr03_NLR_48 |
| Chr03 | 4501961 | 4505342 | Chr03_NLR_47 |
| Chr03 | 4513721 | 4517102 | Chr03_NLR_46 |
| Chr03 | 4531439 | 4534760 | Chr03_NLR_45 |
| Chr03 | 4797133 | 4800508 | Chr03_NLR_1 |
| Chr03 | 4823707 | 4827085 | Chr03_NLR_2 |
| Chr03 | 4887729 | 4892596 | Chr03_NLR_3 |
| Chr03 | 4943437 | 4946812 | Chr03_NLR_4 |
| Chr03 | 5115680 | 5118146 | Chr03_NLR_5 |
| Chr03 | 5141852 | 5145263 | Chr03_NLR_6 |
| Chr03 | 5189490 | 5192859 | Chr03_NLR_7 |
| Chr03 | 5206807 | 5208003 | Chr03_NLR_8 |
| Chr03 | 5688001 | 5690673 | Chr03_NLR_44 |
| Chr03 | 5792617 | 5795980 | Chr03_NLR_9 |
| Chr03 | 5809948 | 5811232 | Chr03_NLR_10 |
| Chr03 | 5846170 | 5849416 | Chr03_NLR_11 |
| Chr03 | 5860616 | 5863933 | Chr03_NLR_12 |
| Chr03 | 5877802 | 5881161 | Chr03_NLR_13 |
| Chr03 | 5899792 | 5902182 | Chr03_NLR_14 |
| Chr03 | 5921218 | 5924647 | Chr03_NLR_15 |
| Chr03 | 5936482 | 5939783 | Chr03_NLR_16 |
| Chr03 | 5974597 | 5977840 | Chr03_NLR_17 |

Table 4.4 continued

| Chr03 | 6128247 | 6133997 | Chr03_NLR_43 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chr03 | 6238667 | 6242327 | Chr03_NLR_18 |
| Chr03 | 6264949 | 6271338 | Chr03_NLR_42 |
| Chr03 | 6278264 | 6280484 | Chr03_NLR_41 |
| Chr03 | 6294071 | 6300428 | Chr03_NLR_40 |
| Chr03 | 6311699 | 6313272 | Chr03_NLR_39 |
| Chr03 | 6354860 | 6363248 | Chr03_NLR_38 |
| Chr03 | 6383992 | 6385955 | Chr03_NLR_37 |
| Chr03 | 7041854 | 7042733 | Chr03_NLR_19 |
| Chr03 | 7078849 | 7079728 | Chr03_NLR_36 |
| Chr03 | 7227958 | 7228837 | Chr03_NLR_20 |
| Chr03 | 7303058 | 7303619 | Chr03_NLR_35 |
| Chr03 | 18585749 | 18588665 | Chr03_NLR_34 |
| Chr03 | 20228389 | 20231818 | Chr03_NLR_33 |
| Chr03 | 20298719 | 20303653 | Chr03_NLR_21 |
| Chr03 | 20812492 | 20816980 | Chr03_NLR_32 |
| Chr03 | 21309219 | 21309653 | Chr03_NLR_22 |
| Chr03 | 26634900 | 26635179 | Chr03_NLR_23 |
| Chr03 | 27408074 | 27408640 | Chr03_NLR_31 |
| Chr03 | 27472235 | 27476310 | Chr03_NLR_24 |
| Chr03 | 27480237 | 27482624 | Chr03_NLR_25 |
| Chr03 | 29139630 | 29141430 | Chr03_NLR_30 |
| Chr03 | 29149303 | 29150734 | Chr03_NLR_29 |
| Chr03 | 36362249 | 36365017 | Chr03_NLR_28 |
| Chr03 | 36473066 | 36475271 | Chr03_NLR_27 |
| Chr03 | 36577956 | 36580353 | Chr03_NLR_26 |
| Chr04 | 12326557 | 12326962 | Chr04_NLR_1 |
| Chr04 | 15980406 | 15982526 | Chr04_NLR_2 |
| Chr04 | 16531386 | 16532809 | Chr04_NLR_6 |
| Chr04 | 18782329 | 18783164 | Chr04_NLR_5 |
| Chr04 | 20598925 | 20601307 | Chr04_NLR_3 |
| Chr04 | 45221421 | 45221743 | Chr04_NLR_4 |
| Chr05 | 655197 | 657864 | Chr05_NLR_1 |
| Chr05 | 4246763 | 4250008 | Chr05_NLR_2 |
| Chr05 | 37736806 | 37739602 | Chr05_NLR_3 |
| Chr06 | 11988495 | 11991066 | Chr06_NLR_1 |
| Chr06 | 14029540 | 14031946 | Chr06_NLR_45 |
| Chr06 | 19374375 | 19377248 | Chr06_NLR_2 |
| Chr06 | 19383583 | 19384912 | Chr06_NLR_3 |
| Chr06 | 37896220 | 37899094 | Chr06_NLR_44 |
| Chr06 | 42089645 | 42092978 | Chr06_NLR_4 |
| Chr06 | 42577878 | 42581025 | Chr06_NLR_43 |

Table 4.4 continued

| Chr06 | 42599307 | 42601070 | Chr06_NLR_42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chr06 | 42610088 | 42613773 | Chr06_NLR_41 |
| Chr06 | 43380806 | 43383929 | Chr06_NLR_40 |
| Chr06 | 43391983 | 43399958 | Chr06_NLR_39 |
| Chr06 | 43461638 | 43464744 | Chr06 NLR 38 |
| Chr06 | 43492892 | 43496074 | Chr06_NLR_37 |
| Chr06 | 43503552 | 43504828 | Chr06_NLR_36 |
| Chr06 | 43557763 | 43560898 | Chr06_NLR_35 |
| Chr06 | 43593291 | 43595720 | Chr06_NLR_5 |
| Chr06 | 43609826 | 43610506 | Chr06_NLR_6 |
| Chr06 | 43822768 | 43825912 | Chr06_NLR_34 |
| Chr06 | 43863310 | 43866454 | Chr06_NLR_33 |
| Chr06 | 44121485 | 44124597 | Chr06_NLR_7 |
| Chr06 | 44136215 | 44144088 | Chr06_NLR_8 |
| Chr06 | 44168499 | 44172160 | Chr06_NLR_9 |
| Chr06 | 44194575 | 44197591 | Chr06_NLR_10 |
| Chr06 | 44203838 | 44207073 | Chr06 NLR 11 |
| Chr06 | 44258853 | 44262803 | Chr06_NLR_12 |
| Chr06 | 44282926 | 44285919 | Chr06 NLR 13 |
| Chr06 | 44314947 | 44318545 | Chr06_NLR_14 |
| Chr06 | 44580513 | 44582148 | Chr06_NLR_15 |
| Chr06 | 44587025 | 44589525 | Chr06_NLR_32 |
| Chr06 | 44590414 | 44591771 | Chr06_NLR_31 |
| Chr06 | 44650664 | 44651340 | Chr06_NLR_16 |
| Chr06 | 44687452 | 44688999 | Chr06_NLR_17 |
| Chr06 | 44752046 | 44756131 | Chr06_NLR_18 |
| Chr06 | 44756632 | 44759135 | Chr06_NLR_30 |
| Chr06 | 44760565 | 44762104 | Chr06_NLR_29 |
| Chr06 | 45616008 | 45620432 | Chr06_NLR_28 |
| Chr06 | 46465764 | 46469223 | Chr06_NLR_27 |
| Chr06 | 48822781 | 48826136 | Chr06_NLR_19 |
| Chr06 | 48924327 | 48926754 | Chr06_NLR_26 |
| Chr06 | 48931594 | 48935711 | Chr06_NLR_25 |
| Chr06 | 48939995 | 48941924 | Chr06_NLR_20 |
| Chr06 | 49392722 | 49395009 | Chr06_NLR_24 |
| Chr06 | 49559933 | 49561939 | Chr06_NLR_23 |
| Chr06 | 49593109 | 49596220 | Chr06_NLR_21 |
| Chr06 | 49846999 | 49848968 | Chr06_NLR_22 |
| Chr07 | 575635 | 580634 | Chr07_NLR_16 |
| Chr07 | 3037671 | 3041520 | Chr07_NLR_1 |
| Chr07 | 5532547 | 5537035 | Chr07_NLR_15(R1) |
| Chr07 | 5568238 | 5572804 | Chr07_NLR_14(R2) |

Table 4.4 continued

| Chr07 | 5605573 | 5610003 | Chr07 NLR 13(R3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chr07 | 5648442 | 5652964 | Chr07_NLR_12(R4) |
| Chr07 | 5698247 | 5702803 | Chr07_NLR_2(R5) |
| Chr07 | 5737137 | 5741668 | Chr07_NLR_3(R6) |
| Chr07 | 5782086 | 5786645 | Chr07_NLR_4(R7) |
| Chr07 | 5816684 | 5821388 | Chr07_NLR_5(R8) |
| Chr07 | 5846404 | 5850961 | Chr07_NLR_6(R9) |
| Chr07 | 5874676 | 5886104 | Chr07_NLR_7(R10) |
| Chr07 | 5932950 | 5937508 | Chr07_NLR_8(R11) |
| Chr07 | 5974753 | 5986619 | Chr07_NLR_9(R12) |
| Chr07 | 6200569 | 6206829 | Chr07_NLR_11 |
| Chr07 | 14511580 | 14514560 | Chr07_NLR_10 |
| Chr08 | 9499111 | 9501898 | Chr08_NLR_30 |
| Chr08 | 11718607 | 11720233 | Chr08_NLR_29 |
| Chr08 | 15408755 | 15410819 | Chr08_NLR_1 |
| Chr08 | 15587862 | 15590980 | Chr08_NLR_2 |
| Chr08 | 21721011 | 21724281 | Chr08_NLR_3 |
| Chr08 | 23086954 | 23088019 | Chr08_NLR_4 |
| Chr08 | 23624236 | 23626717 | Chr08_NLR_28 |
| Chr08 | 33232220 | 33232832 | Chr08_NLR_27 |
| Chr08 | 41262599 | 41263486 | Chr08_NLR_26 |
| Chr08 | 41787851 | 41792602 | Chr08_NLR_25 |
| Chr08 | 42808320 | 42811413 | Chr08_NLR_24 |
| Chr08 | 42862126 | 42865264 | Chr08_NLR_23 |
| Chr08 | 43030810 | 43035774 | Chr08_NLR_22 |
| Chr08 | 43218113 | 43219004 | Chr08_NLR_21 |
| Chr08 | 43229056 | 43231690 | Chr08_NLR_20 |
| Chr08 | 43270256 | 43270964 | Chr08_NLR_5 |
| Chr08 | 43489752 | 43490193 | Chr08_NLR_19 |
| Chr08 | 43851062 | 43851852 | Chr08_NLR_18 |
| Chr08 | 44073574 | 44076921 | Chr08_NLR_6 |
| Chr08 | 44538408 | 44540731 | Chr08_NLR_17 |
| Chr08 | 44560840 | 44562834 | Chr08_NLR_16 |
| Chr08 | 44574248 | 44576795 | Chr08_NLR_15 |
| Chr08 | 44596979 | 44598973 | Chr08_NLR_14 |
| Chr08 | 44611899 | 44614455 | Chr08_NLR_13 |
| Chr08 | 44729505 | 44732079 | Chr08_NLR_12 |
| Chr08 | 44741100 | 44743659 | Chr08_NLR_11 |
| Chr08 | 44761318 | 44763856 | Chr08_NLR_10 |
| Chr08 | 45069363 | 45071937 | Chr08_NLR_9 |
| Chr08 | 45557169 | 45564646 | Chr08 NLR 8 |
| Chr08 | 46826848 | 46829182 | Chr08_NLR_7 |

Table 4.4 continued

| Chr09 | 1664357 | 1667201 | Chr09 NLR 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chr09 | 1685051 | 1687889 | Chr09_NLR_21 |
| Chr09 | 3488082 | 3490219 | Chr09_NLR_20 |
| Chr09 | 5121063 | 5126222 | Chr09_NLR_19 |
| Chr09 | 5132852 | 5135160 | Chr09_NLR_18 |
| Chr09 | 5178947 | 5183424 | Chr09_NLR_1 |
| Chr09 | 5187662 | 5191629 | Chr09_NLR_2 |
| Chr09 | 5932668 | 5936323 | Chr09_NLR_17 |
| Chr09 | 7746329 | 7748278 | Chr09 NLR 3 |
| Chr09 | 8246465 | 8249461 | Chr09 NLR 4 |
| Chr09 | 8732309 | 8734557 | Chr09 NLR 5 |
| Chr09 | 12227037 | 12229572 | Chr09 NLR 16 |
| Chr09 | 39321069 | 39324284 | Chr09_NLR_6 |
| Chr09 | 39381914 | 39382573 | Chr09_NLR_7 |
| Chr09 | 39645058 | 39647509 | Chr09_NLR_15 |
| Chr09 | 43350673 | 43356393 | Chr09_NLR_14 |
| Chr09 | 44087511 | 44090841 | Chr09_NLR_13 |
| Chr09 | 44095685 | 44098223 | Chr09_NLR_12 |
| Chr09 | 44248184 | 44251257 | Chr09_NLR_8 |
| Chr09 | 44297213 | 44298897 | Chr09_NLR_9 |
| Chr09 | 47818943 | 47821304 | Chr09_NLR_11 |
| Chr09 | 50151815 | 50154466 | Chr09_NLR_10 |
| Chr 10 | 5653748 | 5655800 | Chr10_NLR_1 |
| Chr 10 | 8449827 | 8450405 | Chr10_NLR_11 |
| Chr 10 | 10224206 | 10230814 | Chr10_NLR_2 |
| Chr10 | 10254152 | 10255595 | Chr10_NLR_10 |
| Chr10 | 17483998 | 17491238 | Chr10_NLR_3 |
| Chr10 | 17507105 | 17508071 | Chr10_NLR_4 |
| Chr 10 | 23026533 | 23027326 | Chr10_NLR_9 |
| Chr10 | 32722976 | 32725983 | Chr10_NLR_5 |
| Chr 10 | 43827450 | 43830396 | Chr10_NLR_6 |
| Chr 10 | 43836750 | 43839705 | Chr10_NLR_7 |
| Chr 10 | 44876514 | 44880495 | Chr10_NLR_8 |
| Chr 11 | 2542567 | 2546354 | Chr11_NLR_12 |
| Chr 11 | 5358965 | 5361512 | Chr11_NLR_11 |
| Chr 11 | 14324247 | 14328618 | Chr11_NLR_10 |
| Chr 11 | 15579667 | 15584507 | Chr11_NLR_1 |
| Chr 11 | 18327280 | 18329679 | Chr11_NLR_9 |
| Chr 11 | 18558704 | 18562605 | Chr11_NLR_8 |
| Chr 11 | 18930094 | 18930304 | Chr11_NLR_7 |
| Chr 11 | 19437285 | 19443806 | Chr11_NLR_2 |
| Chr 11 | 19442243 | 19443806 | Chr11_NLR_3 |

Table 4.4 continued

| Chr 11 | 19582824 | 19584643 | Chr11_NLR_4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chr 11 | 20180848 | 20184987 | Chr11_NLR_6 |
| Chr 11 | 35429448 | 35431792 | Chr11_NLR_5 |
| Chr 12 | 863768 | 866390 | Chr12_NLR_22 |
| Chr 12 | 1971747 | 1975444 | Chr12_NLR_1 |
| Chr 12 | 6352712 | 6355154 | Chr12_NLR_2 |
| Chr 12 | 13851350 | 13854204 | Chr12_NLR_3 |
| Chr 12 | 15043240 | 15046062 | Chr12_NLR_21 |
| Chr 12 | 15057697 | 15060656 | Chr12_NLR_20 |
| Chr 12 | 15078837 | 15081682 | Chr12_NLR_4 |
| Chr 12 | 15088192 | 15088746 | Chr12_NLR_19 |
| Chr 12 | 15096325 | 15099214 | Chr12_NLR_18 |
| Chr 12 | 15220789 | 15223665 | Chr12_NLR_17 |
| Chr 12 | 16280331 | 16283178 | Chr12_NLR_16 |
| Chr 12 | 16629657 | 16632781 | Chr12_NLR_15 |
| Chr 12 | 16785201 | 16788384 | Chr12_NLR_14 |
| Chr 12 | 17692710 | 17694367 | Chr12_NLR_5 |
| Chr 12 | 33866793 | 33869580 | Chr12_NLR_13 |
| Chr 12 | 39792405 | 39796698 | Chr12_NLR_6 |
| Chr 12 | 40437016 | 40439383 | Chr12_NLR_12 |
| Chr 12 | 42159629 | 42161873 | Chr12_NLR_11 |
| Chr 12 | 42440942 | 42444124 | Chr12_NLR_7 |
| Chr 12 | 42482624 | 42486279 | Chr12_NLR_10 |
| Chr 12 | 42491409 | 42495643 | Chr12_NLR_8 |
| Chr 12 | 42518426 | 42521135 | Chr12_NLR_9 |
| Chr 13 | 6984488 | 6986586 | Chr13_NLR_1 |
| Chr 13 | 17881337 | 17885328 | Chr13_NLR_2 |
| Chr 13 | 17912334 | 17915115 | Chr13_NLR_3 |
| Chr 13 | 18581083 | 18584299 | Chr13_NLR_4 |
| Chr 13 | 18959267 | 18962364 | Chr13_NLR_5 |
| Chr 13 | 19065359 | 19067005 | Chr13_NLR_28 |
| Chr 13 | 24311336 | 24312967 | Chr13_NLR_6 |
| Chr 13 | 24319731 | 24320587 | Chr13_NLR_27 |
| Chr 13 | 24903170 | 24903609 | Chr13_NLR_26 |
| Chr 13 | 30854565 | 30857796 | Chr13_NLR_25 |
| Chr 13 | 31152218 | 31156250 | Chr13_NLR_7 |
| Chr 13 | 31181253 | 31191119 | Chr13_NLR_8 |
| Chr 13 | 31198396 | 31202453 | Chr13_NLR_9 |
| Chr 13 | 31364517 | 31367793 | Chr13_NLR_10 |
| Chr 13 | 31369960 | 31373751 | Chr13_NLR_11 |
| Chr 13 | 31387380 | 31390884 | Chr13_NLR_12 |
| Chr 13 | 31488757 | 31493851 | Chr13_NLR_24 |

Table 4.4 continued

| Chr13 | 31587868 | 31589176 | Chr13_NLR_13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chr 13 | 31590980 | 31594184 | Chr13_NLR_14 |
| Chr 13 | 31610516 | 31613780 | Chr13_NLR_23 |
| Chr 13 | 31674131 | 31677434 | Chr13_NLR_15 |
| Chr 13 | 31700584 | 31703741 | Chr13_NLR_22 |
| Chr 13 | 31705054 | 31706511 | Chr13_NLR_21 |
| Chr 13 | 31711039 | 31714348 | Chr13_NLR_16 |
| Chr 13 | 31743906 | 31747284 | Chr13_NLR_20 |
| Chr13 | 31796683 | 31800060 | Chr13 NLR 17 |
| Chr 13 | 37417686 | 37421079 | Chr13 NLR 18 |
| Chr13 | 37432745 | 37436902 | Chr13 NLR 19 |
| Chr 14 | 673609 | 677931 | Chr14 NLR 17 |
| Chr 14 | 2284057 | 2284979 | Chr14_NLR_1 |
| Chr 14 | 3890663 | 3895736 | Chr14_NLR_16 |
| Chr 14 | 6810943 | 6815569 | Chr14_NLR_2 |
| Chr 14 | 20354037 | 20359468 | Chr14_NLR_15 |
| Chr 14 | 29038674 | 29044844 | Chr14_NLR_14 |
| Chr 14 | 43679196 | 43679856 | Chr14_NLR_13 |
| Chr 14 | 47095870 | 47102831 | Chr14_NLR_3 |
| Chr 14 | 48378315 | 48380727 | Chr14_NLR_12 |
| Chr 14 | 48914189 | 48918247 | Chr14_NLR_4 |
| Chr 14 | 48931023 | 48934698 | Chr14_NLR_5 |
| Chr 14 | 48951932 | 48957368 | Chr14_NLR_6 |
| Chr 14 | 48974366 | 48980101 | Chr14_NLR_7 |
| Chr 14 | 49010712 | 49015977 | Chr14_NLR_11 |
| Chr 14 | 49028923 | 49034411 | Chr14_NLR_10 |
| Chr 14 | 49180248 | 49185319 | Chr14_NLR_8 |
| Chr14 | 49202538 | 49206464 | Chr14_NLR_9 |
| Chr15 | 2021636 | 2024853 | Chr15_NLR_36 |
| Chr 15 | 5478551 | 5480957 | Chr15_NLR_35 |
| Chr 15 | 9936867 | 9939200 | Chr15_NLR_1 |
| Chr 15 | 10049226 | 10052067 | Chr15_NLR_34 |
| Chr 15 | 10059234 | 10062081 | Chr15_NLR_33 |
| Chr 15 | 12652234 | 12656021 | Chr15_NLR_2 |
| Chr 15 | 12661227 | 12665112 | Chr15_NLR_3 |
| Chr 15 | 13704450 | 13709762 | Chr15_NLR_4 |
| Chr 15 | 13973230 | 13976501 | Chr15_NLR_32 |
| Chr 15 | 14180667 | 14182340 | Chr15_NLR_31 |
| Chr 15 | 14979058 | 14982479 | Chr15_NLR_30 |
| Chr 15 | 17235990 | 17236643 | Chr15_NLR_5 |
| Chr 15 | 18285541 | 18287598 | Chr15_NLR_6 |
| Chr 15 | 18590564 | 18592354 | Chr15_NLR_29 |

Table 4.4 continued

| Chr15 | 19294391 | 19296734 | Chr15_NLR_7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chr 15 | 19354355 | 19357205 | Chr15_NLR_28 |
| Chr 15 | 42737399 | 42742409 | Chr15_NLR_8 |
| Chr 15 | 42899470 | 42902803 | Chr15_NLR_9 |
| Chr 15 | 44590127 | 44591904 | Chr15_NLR_27 |
| Chr 15 | 44656207 | 44659033 | Chr15_NLR_10 |
| Chr 15 | 44665191 | 44668230 | Chr15_NLR_11 |
| Chr 15 | 44674389 | 44677215 | Chr15_NLR_12 |
| Chr 15 | 44766231 | 44768119 | Chr15_NLR_13 |
| Chr15 | 44848528 | 44851408 | Chr15_NLR_14 |
| Chr15 | 44953099 | 44955904 | Chr15_NLR_15 |
| Chr15 | 44989601 | 44992429 | Chr15_NLR_16 |
| Chr15 | 45092856 | 45095814 | Chr15_NLR_17 |
| Chr15 | 45176628 | 45179463 | Chr15_NLR_26 |
| Chr15 | 45270344 | 45271893 | Chr15_NLR_25 |
| Chr 15 | 45291210 | 45293268 | Chr15_NLR_18 |
| Chr15 | 45297225 | 45300088 | Chr15 NLR 19 |
| Chr 15 | 45316132 | 45319036 | Chr15_NLR_24 |
| Chr 15 | 45904754 | 45909003 | Chr15 NLR 23 |
| Chr 15 | 48664646 | 48671589 | Chr15_NLR_22 |
| Chr 15 | 48720027 | 48722970 | Chr15_NLR_21 |
| Chr 15 | 48762810 | 48765463 | Chr15_NLR_20 |
| Chr16 | 493765 | 496916 | Chr16_NLR_58 |
| Chr16 | 2906589 | 2913285 | Chr16_NLR_1 |
| Chr16 | 3089227 | 3094852 | Chr16_NLR_57 |
| Chr16 | 8134130 | 8137343 | Chr16_NLR_56 |
| Chr16 | 8466583 | 8468739 | Chr16_NLR_55 |
| Chr16 | 10000338 | 10002893 | Chr16_NLR_54 |
| Chr16 | 10015641 | 10018050 | Chr16_NLR_53 |
| Chr16 | 10164533 | 10167124 | Chr16_NLR_2 |
| Chr16 | 10311063 | 10314508 | Chr16_NLR_52 |
| Chr16 | 10460023 | 10463249 | Chr16_NLR_51 |
| Chr16 | 10494043 | 10497093 | Chr16_NLR_50 |
| Chr16 | 10600576 | 10603954 | Chr16_NLR_49 |
| Chr16 | 21839575 | 21839779 | Chr16_NLR_3 |
| Chr16 | 24147712 | 24148058 | Chr16_NLR_48 |
| Chr16 | 26914284 | 26915226 | Chr16_NLR_47 |
| Chr16 | 26957011 | 26958873 | Chr16_NLR_4 |
| Chr16 | 28443093 | 28446102 | Chr16_NLR_5 |
| Chr16 | 28469764 | 28473534 | Chr16_NLR_46 |
| Chr16 | 28488672 | 28491819 | Chr16_NLR_6 |
| Chr16 | 28526208 | 28530128 | Chr16_NLR_45 |

Table 4.4 continued

| Chr16 | 28535488 | 28540255 | Chr16_NLR_44 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chr16 | 29823950 | 29832367 | Chr16_NLR_43 |
| Chr16 | 29866590 | 29869589 | Chr16_NLR_42 |
| Chr16 | 29873108 | 29877738 | Chr16_NLR_41 |
| Chr16 | 29892052 | 29893623 | Chr16_NLR_40 |
| Chr16 | 29913207 | 29919677 | Chr16_NLR_39 |
| Chr16 | 29942008 | 29947274 | Chr16_NLR_38 |
| Chr16 | 29985870 | 29986526 | Chr16_NLR_7 |
| Chr16 | 29995984 | 30000154 | Chr16_NLR_37 |
| Chr16 | 30013191 | 30016592 | Chr16_NLR_36 |
| Chr16 | 30030099 | 30033126 | Chr16_NLR_35 |
| Chr16 | 31356970 | 31360468 | Chr16_NLR_34 |
| Chr16 | 31401021 | 31404078 | Chr16_NLR_8 |
| Chr16 | 32532682 | 32535821 | Chr16_NLR_9 |
| Chr16 | 32537558 | 32550451 | Chr16_NLR_10 |
| Chr16 | 32559448 | 32570210 | Chr16_NLR_11 |
| Chr16 | 32575467 | 32580611 | Chr16_NLR_12 |
| Chr16 | 32582667 | 32585512 | Chr16_NLR_13 |
| Chr16 | 32586997 | 32588880 | Chr16_NLR_14 |
| Chr16 | 36064092 | 36067149 | Chr16_NLR_15 |
| Chr16 | 37020266 | 37023300 | Chr16_NLR_16 |
| Chr16 | 37033924 | 37036952 | Chr16_NLR_17 |
| Chr16 | 37049094 | 37050958 | Chr16_NLR_18 |
| Chr16 | 37078112 | 37081371 | Chr16_NLR_19 |
| Chr16 | 37137817 | 37142148 | Chr16_NLR_33 |
| Chr16 | 37235288 | 37238304 | Chr16_NLR_32 |
| Chr16 | 37241524 | 37244598 | Chr16_NLR_31 |
| Chr16 | 37248795 | 37251800 | Chr16_NLR_30 |
| Chr16 | 37254543 | 37257612 | Chr16_NLR_29 |
| Chr16 | 37261902 | 37264973 | Chr16_NLR_28 |
| Chr16 | 37279909 | 37281277 | Chr16_NLR_27 |
| Chr16 | 37292504 | 37295512 | Chr16_NLR_26 |
| Chr16 | 37299247 | 37305637 | Chr16_NLR_25 |
| Chr16 | 37318417 | 37321876 | Chr16_NLR_24 |
| Chr16 | 37323990 | 37327765 | Chr16_NLR_23 |
| Chr16 | 37334887 | 37337967 | Chr16_NLR_22 |
| Chr16 | 37340600 | 37341779 | Chr16_NLR_21 |
| Chr16 | 37348654 | 37354069 | Chr16_NLR_20 |
| Chr17 | 20522337 | 20527359 | Chr17_NLR_2 |
| Chr17 | 31347748 | 31349596 | Chr17_NLR_1 |
| Chr 18 | 7342824 | 7344921 | Chr18_NLR_1 |
| Chr18 | 7889361 | 7891554 | Chr18_NLR_49 |

Table 4.4 continued

| Chr18 | 7910752 | 7913227 | Chr18_NLR_48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chr18 | 7921859 | 7922883 | Chr18_NLR_47 |
| Chr 18 | 7928246 | 7930799 | Chr18_NLR_46 |
| Chr 18 | 7957312 | 7959874 | Chr18_NLR_45 |
| Chr18 | 7977415 | 7979821 | Chr18 NLR 44 |
| Chr 18 | 8022920 | 8025120 | Chr18_NLR_43 |
| Chr 18 | 8033902 | 8036459 | Chr18_NLR_42 |
| Chr 18 | 8233968 | 8236170 | Chr18_NLR_2 |
| Chr18 | 8260277 | 8262737 | Chr18 NLR 3 |
| Chr18 | 8269885 | 8274572 | Chr18 NLR 4 |
| Chr18 | 8280681 | 8281026 | Chr18 NLR 5 |
| Chr18 | 8305564 | 8306827 | Chr18 NLR 6 |
| Chr 18 | 8310849 | 8313333 | Chr18_NLR_7 |
| Chr 18 | 8333328 | 8334814 | Chr18_NLR_8 |
| Chr 18 | 8333328 | 8338334 | Chr18_NLR_9 |
| Chr 18 | 8342661 | 8345144 | Chr18_NLR_10 |
| Chr 18 | 8877895 | 8880462 | Chr18_NLR_11 |
| Chr18 | 8890029 | 8892597 | Chr18_NLR_12 |
| Chr18 | 8957974 | 8960557 | Chr18 NLR 13 |
| Chr 18 | 8964856 | 8967442 | Chr18_NLR_14 |
| Chr 18 | 9001127 | 9003677 | Chr18_NLR_15 |
| Chr 18 | 9008232 | 9010084 | Chr18_NLR_16 |
| Chr 18 | 9053102 | 9055011 | Chr18_NLR_17 |
| Chr 18 | 9073235 | 9075146 | Chr18_NLR_18 |
| Chr 18 | 10360331 | 10362918 | Chr18_NLR_41 |
| Chr 18 | 10399186 | 10402592 | Chr18_NLR_40 |
| Chr 18 | 11195972 | 11198489 | Chr18_NLR_19 |
| Chr 18 | 11209589 | 11212476 | Chr18_NLR_20 |
| Chr18 | 13808494 | 13811653 | Chr18_NLR_21 |
| Chr18 | 14094676 | 14097711 | Chr18_NLR_39 |
| Chr18 | 14310604 | 14311049 | Chr18_NLR_22 |
| Chr18 | 47343047 | 47345609 | Chr18_NLR_23 |
| Chr18 | 52795319 | 52797631 | Chr18_NLR_24 |
| Chr 18 | 52996804 | 53004716 | Chr18_NLR_25 |
| Chr 18 | 53082056 | 53089911 | Chr18_NLR_26 |
| Chr 18 | 53157519 | 53164584 | Chr18_NLR_27 |
| Chr 18 | 53223770 | 53229987 | Chr18_NLR_28 |
| Chr18 | 53331648 | 53340069 | Chr18_NLR_29 |
| Chr 18 | 57293643 | 57297270 | Chr18_NLR_30 |
| Chr 18 | 58062843 | 58064997 | Chr18_NLR_31 |
| Chr18 | 58065962 | 58070382 | Chr18_NLR_32 |
| Chr 18 | 58179322 | 58181449 | Chr18_NLR_38 |

Table 4.4 continued

| Chr18 | 58189906 | 58192009 | Chr18_NLR_37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chr18 | 58207090 | 58209259 | Chr18_NLR_36 |
| Chr 18 | 58342210 | 58344649 | Chr18_NLR_35 |
| Chr 18 | 58644139 | 58646674 | Chr18_NLR_33 |
| Chr 18 | 58647822 | 58650539 | Chr18_NLR_34 |
| Chr 19 | 2551179 | 2554564 | Chr19_NLR_28 |
| Chr 19 | 6327382 | 6332201 | Chr19_NLR_27 |
| Chr 19 | 9243997 | 9246091 | Chr19_NLR_1 |
| Chr19 | 9334424 | 9337524 | Chr19 NLR 26 |
| Chr19 | 9375900 | 9379354 | Chr19 NLR 25 |
| Chr19 | 30472973 | 30475388 | Chr19 NLR 2 |
| Chr19 | 30509432 | 30515052 | Chr19 NLR 3 |
| Chr 19 | 36191718 | 36194493 | Chr19_NLR_4 |
| Chr 19 | 36695540 | 36698342 | Chr19_NLR_24 |
| Chr 19 | 39155746 | 39156670 | Chr19_NLR_5 |
| Chr 19 | 39409447 | 39411937 | Chr19_NLR_23 |
| Chr19 | 39423087 | 39424992 | Chr19_NLR_22 |
| Chr19 | 39437795 | 39440285 | Chr19_NLR_21 |
| Chr 19 | 39553652 | 39555956 | Chr19_NLR_6 |
| Chr 19 | 39565519 | 39567655 | Chr19_NLR_7 |
| Chr 19 | 39575181 | 39577599 | Chr19_NLR_8 |
| Chr 19 | 39587274 | 39592035 | Chr19_NLR_9 |
| Chr 19 | 39607519 | 39610024 | Chr19_NLR_10 |
| Chr 19 | 39731711 | 39734129 | Chr19_NLR_20 |
| Chr 19 | 39738178 | 39738964 | Chr19_NLR_19 |
| Chr 19 | 39747565 | 39750058 | Chr19_NLR_18 |
| Chr 19 | 39824643 | 39826107 | Chr19_NLR_17 |
| Chr 19 | 39954477 | 39956892 | Chr19_NLR_11 |
| Chr 19 | 39967395 | 39969810 | Chr19_NLR_12 |
| Chr 19 | 39981296 | 39983711 | Chr19_NLR_13 |
| Chr 19 | 39995227 | 39997714 | Chr19_NLR_14 |
| Chr 19 | 40016924 | 40019342 | Chr19_NLR_15 |
| Chr 19 | 40044020 | 40046408 | Chr19_NLR_16 |
| Chr20 | 2082969 | 2086781 | Chr20_NLR_19 |
| Chr20 | 7141725 | 7142697 | Chr20_NLR_1 |
| Chr20 | 7366848 | 7369665 | Chr20_NLR_18 |
| Chr20 | 7374248 | 7375104 | Chr20_NLR_2 |
| Chr20 | 7785340 | 7787890 | Chr20_NLR_17 |
| Chr20 | 7847194 | 7852073 | Chr20_NLR_3 |
| Chr20 | 8669833 | 8670974 | Chr20_NLR_4 |
| Chr20 | 8776246 | 8779717 | Chr20_NLR_5 |
| Chr20 | 8795261 | 8798732 | Chr20_NLR_6 |

Table 4.4 continued

| Chr20 | 9335103 | 9336259 | Chr20_NLR_16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chr20 | 9691034 | 9694907 | Chr20_NLR_7 |
| Chr20 | 10901516 | 10903273 | Chr20_NLR_8 |
| Chr20 | 10955542 | 10958938 | Chr20_NLR_9 |
| Chr20 | 12669762 | 12681675 | Chr20_NLR_10 |
| Chr20 | 19828433 | 19831986 | Chr20_NLR_11 |
| Chr20 | 35755519 | 35757603 | Chr20_NLR_12 |
| Chr20 | 44620356 | 44624430 | Chr20_NLR_13 |
| Chr20 | 44637360 | 44639559 | Chr20_NLR_14 |
| Chr20 | 45670091 | 45672685 | Chr20_NLR_15 |

There are 12 NLR genes annotated in the Rpsll region in the Rps 11 donor line. Among the twelve NLR genes, R2, R3, R5 and R8 are truncated with 2 to 4 exons lost at the $3^{\prime}$ end; there is a 1.4 kb insertion in the first exon of R10, resulting in disruption of the NBS domain; The remaining seven NLR genes (R1, R4, R6, R7, R9, R11, and R12) are intact (Figure 4.5a), but only five (R1, R4, R6, R9, R12) are expressed based on the RNA-seq analysis (Figure 4.5b).

In order to figure out the correct genome structure and copy number of NLR genes in the susceptible parent, Williams, we obtained a sequence contig covering the Rpsll corresponding region from Williams 82 assembly v3.0 (Chu et al., 2021), which was assumed to be the same as Williams at this region. Sequence comparison between the Williams 82 assembly v2.0 and v3.0 reveals a number of assembly errors in the rps 11 region in the Williams 82 assembly v2.0 (Figure 4.6). We then annotated the NLR genes in the Rpsll corresponding region and identified only 8 NLR genes, dubbed r1 to r8, which have the similar gene models as R1-R12 in PI 594527 (Figure 4.7).


Figure 4.5 Gene models and expression pattern of the NLR genes in the Rps 11 region.
a, Gene models and alignments among twelve NBS-LRR genes in PI 594527. Black boxes represent exons of each NBS-LRR gene. Light-blue shades represent alignments.
b, Expression profile of the twelve NBS-LRR genes in the Rps 11 region. Y axis is the expression level (FPKM) based on RNA-seq data. The error bars represent standard errors.


Figure 4.6 Sequence comparison between Williams 82 assembly v2.0 and assembly v3.0.
Black boxes represent NBS-LRR genes and grey shades represent syntenic blocks between two versions. Red lines/dots represent sequencing gaps in the assembly v2.0.

## Williams82



Figure 4.7 Gene models of the 8 NLR genes in the Rpsll corresponding region in Williams 82. Black boxes represent exons of each NBS-LRR gene. Light-blue shades represent alignments.

### 4.3 Fine mapping of the Rps11 locus

Sequence comparison reveals only a small proportion of the Rpsll region shared between PI 594527 and Williams 82 as syntenic blocks (Figure 4.8), which allowed us to design markers for fine mapping. To determine whether a single copy or a combination of multiple copies of the five expressed NLR genes was responsible for the broad resistance, we screened a total of 17,050 progenies using the boundary markers, SSR_286 and SSR_320, and identified 43 recombinants for finer mapping. We inoculated each recombinant with isolate Race 1 and designed additional markers within the NLR gene cluster at the syntenic blocks shared by the two parental lines. We eventually delimited Rpsll to a 151-kb genome interval defined by Marker176 and InDel327, harboring 4 NLR genes (R5, R6, R7 and R8, Figure 4.9). Interestingly, R6 was the only expressed NLR gene within the final mapping interval, suggesting it was the best candidate responsible for the resistances (Figure 4.9). Furthermore, we examined the expression of the NLR genes in 9 key recombinants with different combinations of NLR genes. Recombinants with R1 expressed alone or a combination of R1 and R4 expressed together were susceptible, suggesting R1 and R4 do not confer resistance (Figure 4.10). Meanwhile, recombinants with a combination of R9 and R12 expressed together were also susceptible, which ruled out R9 and R12 (Figure 4.10). Only the recombinants with R6 expressed were resistant (Figure 4.10), further supporting R6 alone as responsible for the resistance. Then, we examined the expression pattern of R6 in different tissues and found it is also expressed in other tissues (Figure 4.11). The genomic DNA of R6, excluding
its regulatory sequences, is $14.1-\mathrm{kb}$, but it possesses a $13.1-\mathrm{kb} 5^{\prime}$ untranslated region (UTR) as determined by 5 ' Rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) (Figure 4.12) and a $0.5-\mathrm{kb} 3$ 'UTR, totaling 27.7 kb (Figure 4.13). R6 is predicted to encode an NLR protein of 2,463 amino acids (Figure 4.13).


Figure 4.8 Comparison of the NLR gene clusters between Williams 82 and PI 594527.
Black boxes represent NLR genes and light-blue shades represent syntenic blocks between two genomes.


Figure 4.9 Fine mapping of the Rpsll locus.
a, Physical position of the Rpsll locus on chromosome 7.
b, Physical position of the markers used for fine mapping.
c, Genotype and phenotype of the recombinants. The numbers in parentheses at left side are the number of recombinants with same haplotype. Green bars represent heterozygous genotype. Orange bars represent Williams genotype. Phenotype (survival rate after inoculation) of each recombinant type are shown at right side. H means heterozygous, S means susceptible. Numbers under each dashed line are the number of recombinants at each marker.
d, NLR gene cluster in the Rpsll region. Green bars represent expressed NLR genes. Grey bars represent non-expressed NLR genes.


Figure 4.10 Detection of the expression of the twelve NLR genes in each key recombinant.
The phenotype of each recombinant is labeled. Y axis is expression level (FPKM) based on RNAseq data.


Figure 4.11 Expression profile of Rps11 in different tissues.
The error bars represent standard errors.


Figure $4.125^{\prime}$ Rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) performed for the 5 expressed NLR genes in PI594527.
$x$ axis represents the distance upstream of the first exon. Brown bars represents the 5' RACE reads mapped to each NLR gene. Red lines/shades show the promoter regions sharing sequence similarity. Arrows at the right side indicate the direction of the NLR genes.


Figure 4.13 Gene model of Rpsll (R6).
The vertical Arrow indicates the transcription start region (TSR). Orange color indicates the region encoding the NBS domain; Light-blue color indicates the regions encoding the LRR domains; Grey color indicates the region without a domain detected. Open boxes represent UTRs.

### 4.4 Functional validation of the Rps11 candidate gene R6

To validate the function of R6, its CDS was synthesized and assembled with the ubiquitin promoter. We introduced the construct (pUbi:CDS-Rps11) into the soybean cultivar 93Y21, which is susceptible to $P$. sojae Races 25, 31 and $\mathrm{OH} 12108-06-03$. $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ plants with a single copy of the insertion were obtained and advanced to the $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ generation. The expression level of R6 in the homozygous $T_{2}$ transgenic lines was confirmed to be high and was not detected in non-transgenic lines (Figure 4.14). Segregating $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ seedling families were inoculated with Race 25, 31 and OH12108-06-03. As exemplified in Figure 4.15, resistance to these three isolates in homozygous transgenic families were significantly increased compared to non-transgenic families (Figure 4.16), indicating R6 confers resistance to multiple isolates. Furthermore, we observed strong correlations between the expression levels of R6 and the resistance to all three isolates in $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ families (Figure 4.17). These results demonstrate that R6 is responsible for the broad-spectrum resistance to $P$. sojae underlying Rps11.


Figure 4.14 Relative expression of the transgene (R6) in T2 families The error bars represent standard errors.


Figure 4.15 Photographic illustration of the resistance in two independent transgenic events. In each transgenic event, three homozygous T 2 families, a non-transgenic line (Control), and a F5 RIL (Rpsl1/Rpsll) were inoculated. Scale bars $=2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.


Figure 4.16 Statistics of the resistance test of homozygous T2 families compared with non-transgenic lines (Control). The Y-axis is the survival rate after inoculation. The error bars represent standard errors.

Race 25
$\mathrm{r}=0.76, P=1.4 \times 10^{-8}$


Race 31
$r=0.88, P=3.3 \times 10^{-14}$



Figure 4.17 Correlation between the expression of R6 (Rps11) and the survival rate after inoculation in T2 population.
$x$ axes are relative expression of R6, and y axes are survival rate after inoculation with Race 25 (left), Race 31 (middle), Race 06-03 (right).

### 4.5 Evolutionary history of Rps11 and the NLR genes cluster in PI 594527

One of the most noticeable and unique features of the NLR genes within the Rps11 region is their giant size. All intact NLR genes in this region have a CDS longer than 7 kb and a protein containing more than 2,000 amino acids (Figure 4.5a and Figure 4.7), larger than $97 \%$ of the soybean NLR genes and $99 \%$ of the NLR genes from 10 other plant species examined (Figure 4.18). Interestingly, all of these giant NLR genes clustered into the same clade on the phylogenetic tree (Figure 4.19), suggesting a single origin, which was echoed by the conserved gene models shared among all of the giant NLR genes (Figure 4.5a and Figure 4.7). To understand how the giant NLR genes reached this size, we compared the protein sequence of R6 with a typically sized NLR gene from the closest neighboring branch and found that the NBS domain was conserved between the giant NLR gene and the typically sized NLR gene (Figure 4.20). However, several rounds of tandem duplication were identified within the LRR region (Figure 4.20), suggesting the giant size of these NLR genes mainly resulted from tandem duplication of the LRR domains. Given that the NLR genes from both the Rpsll region and its whole genome duplication (WGD) region (Chr16.R1) have similar sizes and gene models (Figure 4.21), the LRR tandem duplications must have occurred before the whole genome duplication ~13 million years ago (Doyle and Egan, 2010; Gill et al., 2009; Innes et al., 2008; Schmutz et al., 2010).


Figure 4.18 Distribution of CDS length in soybean genome and 10 representative plant species 10 plant species are Rice, Maize, Cotton, Common bean, Medicago, Apple, Peach, Banana, Tomato, Cucumber.


Figure 4.19 Phylogenetic tree of all the NLR genes in PI 594527 built using the conserved NBARC domain region.


Figure 4.20 Comparison of protein sequences between a giant NLR gene and a typical NLR gene.
Lines represent the alignments between two protein sequences. Orange color highlights the NBARC domain region. Light-blue color highlights the LRR domain regions.


Figure 4.21Gene model of Chr16.R1, the NLR in the WGD region of Rps11

The similar sizes and conserved gene models of the giant NLR genes suggested they were originally derived from a single gene. Given that only one NLR gene was found in its WGD region and the homologous region in common bean (Schmutz et al., 2014), this NLR gene cluster must have been formed after the WGD. To understand how this copy number variation evolved, we blasted the genome sequence from the Rpsll region against itself, which revealed several segmental duplication events. First, R1-R2 were formed from a duplication of R3-R4, and then an inverted duplication event occurred to produce R11-R12 (Figure 4.22, D1), which was further supported by their relationship on the phylogenetic tree, where R1, R3 and R12 were grouped together, and R2, R4 and R11 were grouped together (Figure 4.23, D1). Second, R8 and R10 were formed by duplications of R7 (Figure 4.22, D2); Therefore, R7, R8 and R10 were grouped into the same clade on the phylogenetic tree (Figure 4.23, D2). All the NLR genes are likely derived from R5, because R5 is the closest to Chr16.R1 from the WGD region (Figure 4.13). In addition, the phylogenetic tree also revealed that R9 and R6 were derived from R11 and R3 respectively (Figure 4.23, D3 and D4). To summarize, the giant size of the NLR genes in the Rps 11 region stems from tandem duplications that occurred in the LRR domain of the original NLR gene prior to the WGD; The NLR gene cluster is mainly a result of segmental inversions and tandem duplication events after the WGD (Figure 4.24).


Figure 4.22 Dot plot of sequence comparison within the Rps11 region in PI 594527.
Light-green color highlights the segmental duplication of R1-R2, R3-R4 and R11-R12 (Duplication 1, D1). Light-orange color highlights the segmental duplication of R7, R8 and R10 (Duplication 2, D2).


Figure 4.23 Phylogenetic relationship of all the NLR genes underlying Rps11 and its WGD region.

Chr16.R1 is the NLR gene from Rpsll's whole-genome duplication region, constructed using transcript sequence. Background colors highlight the groups produced by each duplication event.


Figure 4.24 Illustration of the evolutionary history of the giant NLR gene cluster in the Rps11 region.
Green boxes represent NLR genes and black boxes represent predicted non-NLR genes.

### 4.6 Complex diversification of the NLR gene cluster across 30 soybean genomes

To explore the diversification of the NLR gene cluster in the Rpsl 1/ "rpsll" regions, we annotated the NLR genes for 28 additional soybean genomes (Liu et al., 2020; Shen et al., 2019; Shen et al., 2018; Xie et al., 2019). We identified a total of 316 NLR genes in the regions corresponding to Rpsll across the 30 genomes (Table 4.5); Surprisingly, we observed drastic structural and copy number variations, ranging from 5 copies in SoyL09 to 23 copies in SoyC10. To examine if any of these genomes also carry Rps11(R6), we blasted the genome sequence from the Rps11 donor line against all other genomes. Interestingly, none of them carried R6 in its entirety, with only SoyC03.R6 sharing high sequence similarity with R6 in the first $\sim 10 \mathrm{~kb}$ from the 5 'end while being highly divergent from R6 in the remaining $\sim 4 \mathrm{~kb}$ at the 3 ' end (Figure 4.25).

Table 4.5 Information of NLR genes in the Rps11 corresponding region across 30 soybean genomes.

| Chromosome | Start | End | NLR ID | Strand | Expression | TSR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chr07 | 5524572 | 5537604 | PI594527.R1 | - | 9.58 | yes |
| Chr07 | 5568113 | 5573308 | PI594527.R2 | - | 0.03 | no |
| Chr07 | 5600488 | 5610572 | PI594527.R3 | - | 0.14 | yes |
| Chr07 | 5638345 | 5653547 | P1594527.R4 | - | 37.89 | yes |
| Chr07 | 5697682 | 5707265 | P1594527.R5 | + | 0.42 | no |
| Chr07 | 5736565 | 5750658 | PI594527.R6 | + | 15.39 | yes |
| Chr07 | 5781515 | 5795000 | P1594527.R7 | + | 0.01 | no |
| Chr07 | 5816113 | 5826412 | PI594527.R8 | + | 0.02 | no |
| Chr07 | 5845991 | 5859637 | PI594527.R9 | + | 9.69 | yes |
| Chr07 | 5879422 | 5895822 | PI594527.R10 | + | 0.11 | no |
| Chr07 | 5932310 | 5946431 | PI594527.R11 | + | 0.16 | yes |
| Chr07 | 5974185 | 5986739 | PI594527.R12 | + | 4.04 | yes |
| GWHACEK00000007 | 5544398 | 5556747 | SoyC01.R1 | - | 13.83 | yes |
| GWHACEK00000007 | 5580474 | 5594087 | SoyC01.R2 | - | 3.80 | yes |
| GWHACEK00000007 | 5632196 | 5645868 | SoyC01.R3 | - | 17.61 | yes |
| GWHACEK00000007 | 5696751 | 5710922 | SoyC01.R4 | + | 1.28 | no |
| GWHACEK00000007 | 5741132 | 5746369 | SoyC01.R5 | + | 0.22 | no |
| GWHACEK00000007 | 5774498 | 5787019 | SoyC01.R6 | + | 11.94 | yes |
| GWHACEK00000007 | 5807317 | 5824380 | SoyC01.R7 | + | 16.84 | yes |
| GWHACEK00000007 | 5841733 | 5852009 | SoyC01.R8 | + | 0.19 | no |
| GWHACEK00000007 | 5869634 | 5884394 | SoyC01.R9 | + | 3.59 | yes |
| GWHACEK00000007 | 5908884 | 5922083 | SoyC01.R10 | + | 5.77 | yes |
| GWHACEK00000007 | 5946523 | 5959565 | SoyC01.R11 | + | 0.86 | yes |

Table 4.5 continued

| GWHACEK00000007 | 5983746 | 5995843 | SoyC01.R12 | + | 1.55 | yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GWHACEK00000007 | 6023644 | 6040696 | SoyC01.R13 | + | 17.32 | yes |
| GWHACEK00000007 | 6053718 | 6068702 | SoyC01.R14 | + | 0.00 | o |
| GWHACEK00000007 | 6112665 | 6125929 | SoyC01.R15 | + | 1.09 | yes |
| GWHACEK00000007 | 6141814 | 6154111 | SoyC01.R16 | + | 0.28 | yes |
| GWHACEK00000007 | 6177299 | 6190296 | SoyC01.R17 | + | 4.96 | yes |
| GWHACEL00000007 | 5540642 | 5552939 | SoyC02.R1 | - | 4.31 | yes |
| GWHACEL00000007 | 5578572 | 5583810 | SoyC02.R2 | - | 0.08 | no |
| GWHACEL00000007 | 5621396 | 5635102 | SoyC02.R3 | - | 27.95 | yes |
| GWHACEL00000007 | 5685595 | 5699099 | SoyC02.R4 | + | 0.75 | no |
| GWHACEL00000007 | 5737718 | 5747999 | SoyC02.R5 | + | 0.18 | no |
| GWHACEL00000007 | 5767540 | 5786535 | SoyC02.R6 | + | 8.33 | yes |
| GWHACEL00000007 | 5802306 | 5815661 | SoyC02.R7 | + | 0.71 | yes |
| GWHACEL00000007 | 5835363 | 5850378 | SoyC02.R8 | + | 0.41 | o |
| GWHACEL00000007 | 5884946 | 5898365 | SoyC02.R9 | + | 2.07 | yes |
| GWHACEL00000007 | 5923537 | 5933269 | SoyC02.R10 | + | 1.38 | no |
| GWHACEL00000007 | 5992102 | 6005104 | SoyC02.R11 | + | 21.71 | yes |
| GWHACEL00000007 | 6027465 | 6040960 | SoyC02.R12 | + | 5.51 | yes |
| GWHACEM00000007 | 5631789 | 5644891 | SoyC03.R1 | - | 5.81 | yes |
| GWHACEM00000007 | 5672790 | 5677985 | SoyC03.R2 | - | 0.09 | no |
| GWHACEM00000007 | 5709965 | 5723277 | SoyC03.R3 | - | 12.20 | yes |
| GWHACEM00000007 | 5755537 | 5770590 | SoyC03.R4 | - | 19.01 | yes |
| GWHACEM00000007 | 5824887 | 5830161 | SoyC03.R5 | + | 0.34 | no |
| GWHACEM00000007 | 5859389 | 5873334 | SoyC03.R6 | + | 14.95 | yes |
| GWHACEM00000007 | 5893427 | 5903711 | SoyC03.R7 | + | 0.07 | no |
| GWHACEM00000007 | 5923109 | 5936937 | SoyC03.R8 | + | 10.04 | no |
| GWHACEM00000007 | 5956282 | 5971323 | SoyC03.R9 | + | 0.16 | no |
| GWHACEM00000007 | 6004594 | 6020960 | SoyC03.R10 | + | 2.40 | yes |
| GWHACEM00000007 | 6042567 | 6055123 | SoyC03.R11 | + | 1.59 | yes |
| GWHACEM00000007 | 6066036 | 6079773 | SoyC03.R12 | + | 9.22 | yes |
| GWHACEM00000007 | 6108338 | 6125249 | SoyC03.R13 | + | 2.19 | yes |
| GWHACEM00000007 | 6149355 | 6159844 | SoyC03.R14 | + | 0.61 | no |
| GWHACEN00000007 | 5575068 | 5588755 | SoyC04.R1 | - | 3.91 | yes |
| GWHACEN00000007 | 5603799 | 5617851 | SoyC04.R2 | - | 5.36 | yes |
| GWHACEN00000007 | 5698035 | 5712042 | SoyC04.R3 | + | 3.43 | no |
| GWHACEN00000007 | 5741526 | 5758215 | SoyC04.R4 | + | 0.64 | no |
| GWHACEN00000007 | 5768316 | 5781953 | SoyC04.R5 | + | 5.96 | yes |
| GWHACEN00000007 | 5811011 | 5824734 | SoyC04.R6 | + | 3.67 | yes |
| GWHACEN00000007 | 5854684 | 5864979 | SoyC04.R7 | + | 0.59 | no |
| GWHACEN00000007 | 5889129 | 5902277 | SoyC04.R8 | + | 3.96 | no |
| GWHACEO00000007 | 5584980 | 5598387 | SoyC05.R1 | - | 38.54 | yes |
| GWHACEO00000007 | 5652691 | 5662453 | SoyC05.R2 | + | 0.67 | no |

Table 4.5 continued

| GWHACEO00000007 | 5668792 | 5674035 | SoyC05.R3 | + | 0.45 | no |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GWHACEO00000007 | 5698787 | 5711090 | SoyC05.R4 | + | 2.13 | yes |
| GWHACEO00000007 | 5734500 | 5749552 | SoyC05.R5 | + | 0.64 | o |
| GWHACEO00000007 | 5793605 | 5807611 | SoyC05.R6 | + | 4.61 | yes |
| GWHACEO00000007 | 5826686 | 5843168 | SoyC05.R7 | + | 0.22 | no |
| GWHACEO00000007 | 5850293 | 5864562 | SoyC05.R8 | + | 1.67 | yes |
| GWHACEO00000007 | 5890586 | 5903252 | SoyC05.R9 | + | 1.11 | yes |
| GWHACEO00000007 | 5911795 | 5925543 | SoyC05.R10 | + | 10.94 | yes |
| GWHACEO00000007 | 5945281 | 5961703 | SoyC05.R11 | + | 0.54 | no |
| GWHACEO00000007 | 6018188 | 6035253 | SoyC05.R12 | + | 2.36 | yes |
| GWHACEO00000007 | 6059152 | 6070506 | SoyC05.R13 | + | 0.56 | no |
| GWHACEP00000007 | 5526536 | 5539004 | SoyC06.R1 | - | 22.49 | no |
| GWHACEP00000007 | 5562805 | 5576343 | SoyC06.R2 | - | 8.40 | yes |
| GWHACEP00000007 | 5605594 | 5617604 | SoyC06.R3 | - | 4.15 | no |
| GWHACEP00000007 | 5625508 | 5637841 | SoyC06.R4 | - | 4.89 | yes |
| GWHACEP00000007 | 5661936 | 5667181 | SoyC06.R5 | - | 0.32 | no |
| GWHACEP00000007 | 5673529 | 5683245 | SoyC06.R6 | - | 3.99 | no |
| GWHACEP00000007 | 5736662 | 5746687 | SoyC06.R7 | + | 2.88 | yes |
| GWHACEP00000007 | 5766158 | 5781173 | SoyC06.R8 | + | 0.66 | no |
| GWHACEP00000007 | 5813616 | 5827218 | SoyC06.R9 | + | 1.28 | yes |
| GWHACEP00000007 | 5851943 | 5864245 | SoyC06.R10 | + | 2.73 | yes |
| GWHACEP00000007 | 5916061 | 5926613 | SoyC06.R11 | + | 1.75 | no |
| GWHACEQ00000007 | 5517496 | 5531215 | SoyC07.R1 | - | 11.24 | yes |
| GWHACEQ00000007 | 5572209 | 5586580 | SoyC07.R2 | - | 1.64 | yes |
| GWHACEQ00000007 | 5625367 | 5634239 | SoyC07.R3 | - | 3.71 | yes |
| GWHACEQ00000007 | 5666364 | 5679509 | SoyC07.R4 | - | 20.45 | yes |
| GWHACEQ00000007 | 5730484 | 5744658 | SoyC07.R5 | + | 1.06 | O |
| GWHACEQ00000007 | 5774843 | 5780081 | SoyC07.R6 | + | 0.12 | no |
| GWHACEQ00000007 | 5808248 | 5820801 | SoyC07.R7 | + | 8.33 | yes |
| GWHACEQ00000007 | 5841097 | 5858045 | SoyC07.R8 | + | 1.43 | yes |
| GWHACEQ00000007 | 5875346 | 5885617 | SoyC07.R9 | + | 0.06 | no |
| GWHACEQ00000007 | 5903219 | 5916755 | SoyC07.R10 | + | 8.72 | yes |
| GWHACEQ00000007 | 5941215 | 5953421 | SoyC07.R11 | + | 0.33 | yes |
| GWHACEQ00000007 | 5981351 | 5998298 | SoyC07.R12 | + | 2.15 | yes |
| GWHACEQ00000007 | 6011311 | 6026287 | SoyC07.R13 | + | 0.01 | no |
| GWHACEQ00000007 | 6070250 | 6083489 | SoyC07.R14 | + | 1.81 | yes |
| GWHACEQ00000007 | 6099374 | 6111673 | SoyC07.R15 | + | 1.53 | yes |
| GWHACEQ00000007 | 6136910 | 6145537 | SoyC07.R16 | + | 1.09 | yes |
| GWHACER00000007 | 5514579 | 5528260 | SoyC08.R1 | - | 1.64 | yes |
| GWHACER00000007 | 5543334 | 5557370 | SoyC08.R2 | - | 13.82 | yes |
| GWHACER00000007 | 5637497 | 5651511 | SoyC08.R3 | + | 1.13 | no |
| GWHACER00000007 | 5680963 | 5697557 | SoyC08.R4 | + | 0.01 | no |

Table 4.5 continued

| GWHACER00000007 | 5707594 | 5721155 | SoyC08.R5 | + | 12.49 | yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GWHACER00000007 | 5750207 | 5763918 | SoyC08.R6 | $+$ | 6.00 | yes |
| GWHACER00000007 | 5793878 | 5804170 | SoyC08.R7 | $+$ | 0.01 | no |
| GWHACER00000007 | 5828314 | 5841465 | SoyC08.R8 | $+$ | 11.12 | no |
| GWHACES00000007 | 5497707 | 5511463 | SoyC09.R1 | - | 0.66 | yes |
| GWHACES00000007 | 5526287 | 5540378 | SoyC09.R2 | - | 8.34 | yes |
| GWHACES00000007 | 5569188 | 5582479 | SoyC09.R3 | - | 11.66 | yes |
| GWHACES00000007 | 5662579 | 5676624 | SoyC09.R4 | $+$ | 0.72 | no |
| GWHACES00000007 | 5706371 | 5723060 | SoyC09.R5 | $+$ | 0.29 | no |
| GWHACES00000007 | 5733184 | 5746782 | SoyC09.R6 | $+$ | 10.49 | yes |
| GWHACES00000007 | 5775863 | 5789577 | SoyC09.R7 | $+$ | 2.66 | yes |
| GWHACES00000007 | 5825451 | 5839186 | SoyC09.R8 | - | 0.46 | no |
| GWHACES00000007 | 5877849 | 5888182 | SoyC09.R9 | $+$ | 0.24 | no |
| GWHACES00000007 | 5912297 | 5925459 | SoyC09.R10 | $+$ | 5.91 | no |
| GWHACET00000007 | 5559384 | 5571684 | SoyC10.R1 | - | 2.35 | yes |
| GWHACET00000007 | 5597313 | 5602517 | SoyC10.R2 | - | 0.19 | no |
| GWHACET00000007 | 5639942 | 5653344 | SoyC10.R3 | - | 6.87 | yes |
| GWHACET00000007 | 5749481 | 5763123 | SoyC10.R4 | $+$ | 13.12 | yes |
| GWHACET00000007 | 5800396 | 5805634 | SoyC10.R5 | $+$ | 0.40 | no |
| GWHACET00000007 | 5833797 | 5846333 | SoyC10.R6 | $+$ | 6.43 | yes |
| GWHACET00000007 | 5873549 | 5890543 | SoyC10.R7 | $+$ | 1.61 | yes |
| GWHACET00000007 | 5907825 | 5918111 | SoyC10.R8 | $+$ | 0.07 | no |
| GWHACET00000007 | 5938223 | 5951650 | SoyC10.R9 | $+$ | 1.04 | yes |
| GWHACET00000007 | 5975841 | 5988947 | SoyC10.R10 | $+$ | 0.78 | yes |
| GWHACET00000007 | 6013340 | 6025208 | SoyC10.R11 | + | 0.14 | yes |
| GWHACET00000007 | 6103823 | 6116199 | SoyC10.R12 | - | 0.12 | yes |
| GWHACET00000007 | 6140540 | 6153745 | SoyC10.R13 | - | 0.66 | yes |
| GWHACET00000007 | 6232788 | 6249840 | SoyC10.R14 | + | 2.51 | no |
| GWHACET00000007 | 6267219 | 6277518 | SoyC10.R15 | $+$ | 0.13 | no |
| GWHACET00000007 | 6295150 | 6308687 | SoyC10.R16 | $+$ | 7.68 | yes |
| GWHACET00000007 | 6333205 | 6346405 | SoyC10.R17 | + | 3.18 | yes |
| GWHACET00000007 | 6370829 | 6383260 | SoyC10.R18 | $+$ | 0.36 | yes |
| GWHACET00000007 | 6410847 | 6427896 | SoyC10.R19 | $+$ | 2.61 | yes |
| GWHACET00000007 | 6440933 | 6455910 | SoyC10.R20 | $+$ | 0.00 | no |
| GWHACET00000007 | 6499886 | 6513124 | SoyC10.R21 | $+$ | 0.81 | yes |
| GWHACET00000007 | 6529013 | 6541312 | SoyC10.R22 | $+$ | 0.72 | yes |
| GWHACET00000007 | 6564498 | 6577494 | SoyC10.R23 | $+$ | 1.41 | yes |
| GWHACEU00000007 | 5728714 | 5741014 | SoyC11.R1 | - | 1.54 | yes |
| GWHACEU00000007 | 5766645 | 5771883 | SoyC11.R2 | - | 0.13 | no |
| GWHACEU00000007 | 5809483 | 5823190 | SoyC11.R3 | - | 20.10 | yes |
| GWHACEU00000007 | 5873609 | 5887784 | SoyC11.R4 | $+$ | 0.74 | no |
| GWHACEU00000007 | 5917957 | 5923195 | SoyC11.R5 | + | 0.10 | no |

Table 4.5 continued

| GWHACEU00000007 | 5951357 | 5963894 | SoyC11.R6 | + | 6.64 | yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GWHACEU00000007 | 5991109 | 6008079 | SoyC11.R7 | + | 0.50 | yes |
| GWHACEU00000007 | 6025319 | 6035572 | SoyC11.R8 | + | 0.01 | no |
| GWHACEU00000007 | 6053129 | 6066610 | SoyC11.R9 | + | 4.70 | yes |
| GWHACEU00000007 | 6091135 | 6104276 | SoyC11.R10 | + | 1.15 | yes |
| GWHACEU00000007 | 6128738 | 6137765 | SoyC11.R11 | + | 0.06 | yes |
| GWHACEU00000007 | 6168417 | 6185419 | SoyC11.R12 | + | 0.52 | yes |
| GWHACEU00000007 | 6202699 | 6212984 | SoyC11.R13 | + | 0.01 | no |
| GWHACEU00000007 | 6230618 | 6244155 | SoyC11.R14 | + | 7.67 | yes |
| GWHACEU00000007 | 6268673 | 6281873 | SoyC11.R15 | + | 5.19 | yes |
| GWHACEU00000007 | 6306305 | 6318617 | SoyC11.R16 | + | 0.32 | yes |
| GWHACEU00000007 | 6346325 | 6363371 | SoyC11.R17 | + | 0.87 | yes |
| GWHACEU00000007 | 6376407 | 6391382 | SoyC11.R18 | + | 0.00 | no |
| GWHACEU00000007 | 6435357 | 6448595 | SoyC11.R19 | + | 0.47 | yes |
| GWHACEU00000007 | 6464482 | 6476781 | SoyC11.R20 | + | 0.28 | no |
| GWHACEU00000007 | 6499965 | 6512959 | SoyC11.R21 | + | 0.50 | yes |
| GWHACEV00000007 | 2887648 | 2900925 | SoyC12.R1 | - | 4.44 | yes |
| GWHACEV00000007 | 2925340 | 2938919 | SoyC12.R2 | - | 10.01 | yes |
| GWHACEV00000007 | 2967666 | 2977313 | SoyC12.R3 | - | 0.00 | no |
| GWHACEV00000007 | 2988250 | 3000572 | SoyC12.R4 | - | 6.46 | yes |
| GWHACEV00000007 | 3024676 | 3029919 | SoyC12.R5 | - | 1.71 | no |
| GWHACEV00000007 | 3036279 | 3046017 | SoyC12.R6 | - | 0.90 | no |
| GWHACEV00000007 | 3099556 | 3109608 | SoyC12.R7 | + | 2.13 | yes |
| GWHACEV00000007 | 3129088 | 3144107 | SoyC12.R8 | + | 0.66 | no |
| GWHACEV00000007 | 3179301 | 3196195 | SoyC12.R9 | + | 0.45 | yes |
| GWHACEV00000007 | 3220314 | 3230672 | SoyC12.R10 | + | 0.40 | no |
| GWHACEW00000007 | 5527016 | 5540702 | SoyC13.R1 | - | 2.02 | yes |
| GWHACEW00000007 | 5555815 | 5569856 | SoyC13.R2 | - | 16.26 | yes |
| GWHACEW00000007 | 5650034 | 5664072 | SoyC13.R3 | + | 1.22 | no |
| GWHACEW00000007 | 5693574 | 5710248 | SoyC13.R4 | + | 0.26 | no |
| GWHACEW00000007 | 5720350 | 5733976 | SoyC13.R5 | + | 16.55 | yes |
| GWHACEW00000007 | 5763027 | 5776738 | SoyC13.R6 | + | 5.00 | yes |
| GWHACEW00000007 | 5806706 | 5817011 | SoyC13.R7 | + | 0.17 | no |
| GWHACEW00000007 | 5841158 | 5854314 | SoyC13.8 | + | 11.44 | no |
| GWHACEX00000007 | 5518259 | 5531522 | SoyC14.R1 | - | 2.33 | yes |
| GWHACEX00000007 | 5555948 | 5569367 | SoyC14.R2 | - | 2.22 | yes |
| GWHACEX00000007 | 5598846 | 5610847 | SoyC14.R3 | - | 0.23 | no |
| GWHACEX00000007 | 5618803 | 5631121 | SoyC14.R4 | - | 6.01 | yes |
| GWHACEX00000007 | 5643183 | 5653527 | SoyC14.R5 | - | 7.62 | no |
| GWHACEX00000007 | 5677648 | 5694547 | SoyC14.R6 | - | 1.52 | yes |
| GWHACEX00000007 | 5729726 | 5744745 | SoyC14.R7 | - | 0.02 | no |
| GWHACEX00000007 | 5764214 | 5774257 | SoyC14.R8 | - | 14.81 | yes |

Table 4.5 continued

| GWHACEX00000007 | 5827769 | 5837498 | SoyC14.R9 | + | 0.22 | no |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GWHACEX00000007 | 5843852 | 5861213 | SoyC14.R10 | + | 0.15 | no |
| GWHACEB00000007 | 5516144 | 5529824 | SoyL01.R1 | - | 1.73 | yes |
| GWHACEB00000007 | 5544854 | 5558906 | SoyL01.R2 | - | 16.79 | yes |
| GWHACEB00000007 | 5638983 | 5652997 | SoyL01.R3 | + | 2.17 | no |
| GWHACEB00000007 | 5682411 | 5698991 | SoyL01.R4 | + | 0.15 | no |
| GWHACEB00000007 | 5709035 | 5722565 | SoyL01.R5 | + | 6.73 | no |
| GWHACEB00000007 | 5751354 | 5764930 | SoyL01.R6 | + | 3.68 | yes |
| GWHACEB00000007 | 5794683 | 5811315 | SoyL01.R7 | + | 0.17 | no |
| GWHACEB00000007 | 5821324 | 5834848 | SoyL01.R8 | + | 10.29 | yes |
| GWHACEB00000007 | 5863621 | 5877210 | SoyL01.R9 | + | 4.14 | yes |
| GWHACEB00000007 | 5906904 | 5917167 | SoyL01.R10 | + | 0.19 | no |
| GWHACEB00000007 | 5941311 | 5954453 | SoyL01.R11 | + | 10.78 | no |
| GWHACEC00000007 | 5586419 | 5600586 | SoyL02.R1 | - | 20.63 | yes |
| GWHACEC00000007 | 5655134 | 5668543 | SoyL02.R2 | + | 1.73 | no |
| GWHACEC00000007 | 5689544 | 5703511 | SoyL02.R3 | + | 1.22 | yes |
| GWHACEC00000007 | 5722901 | 5737879 | SoyL02.R4 | + | 0.30 | no |
| GWHACEC00000007 | 5771302 | 5787654 | SoyL02.R5 | + | 1.85 | yes |
| GWHACEC00000007 | 5809368 | 5822857 | SoyL02.R6 | + | 0.33 | no |
| GWHACEC00000007 | 5856079 | 5869462 | SoyL02.R7 | + | 0.96 | yes |
| GWHACED00000007 | 5583480 | 5597039 | SoyL03.R1 | - | 6.02 | yes |
| GWHACED00000007 | 5629556 | 5644661 | SoyL03.R2 | - | 21.54 | yes |
| GWHACED00000007 | 5698812 | 5708695 | SoyL03.R3 | + | 0.84 | no |
| GWHACED00000007 | 5720307 | 5737592 | SoyL03.R4 | + | 0.65 | no |
| GWHACED00000007 | 5749468 | 5763180 | SoyL03.R5 | + | 16.69 | yes |
| GWHACED00000007 | 5787462 | 5800590 | SoyL03.R6 | + | 19.32 | yes |
| GWHACED00000007 | 5828269 | 5841006 | SoyL03.R7 | + | 6.17 | yes |
| GWHACEE00000007 | 5498063 | 5510524 | SoyL04.R1 | - | 2.78 | yes |
| GWHACEE00000007 | 5534243 | 5547774 | SoyL04.R2 | - | 0.06 | yes |
| GWHACEE00000007 | 5591870 | 5604023 | SoyL04.R3 | + | 1.63 | no |
| GWHACEE00000007 | 5650168 | 5660475 | SoyL04.R4 | + | 0.31 | no |
| GWHACEE00000007 | 5684866 | 5697007 | SoyL04.R5 | + | 1.12 | yes |
| GWHACEE00000007 | 5722933 | 5736802 | SoyL04.R6 | + | 0.26 | yes |
| GWHACEE00000007 | 5763063 | 5776025 | SoyL04.R7 | + | 0.91 | yes |
| GWHACEE00000007 | 5797669 | 5812695 | SoyL04.R8 | + | 0.29 | no |
| GWHACEE00000007 | 5852037 | 5865363 | SoyL04.R9 | + | 2.11 | yes |
| GWHACEE00000007 | 5895125 | 5912024 | SoyL04.R10 | + | 1.05 | yes |
| GWHACEF00000007 | 5612701 | 5624996 | SoyL05.R1 | - | 4.10 | yes |
| GWHACEF00000007 | 5650652 | 5656129 | SoyL05.R2 | - | 0.21 | yes |
| GWHACEF00000007 | 5693473 | 5707181 | SoyL05.R3 | - | 39.66 | yes |
| GWHACEF00000007 | 5757623 | 5767368 | SoyL05.R4 | + | 0.90 | no |
| GWHACEF00000007 | 5773634 | 5778865 | SoyL05.R5 | + | 0.14 | no |

Table 4.5 continued

| GWHACEF00000007 | 5830160 | 5843140 | SoyL05.R6 | + | 16.94 | yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GWHACEF00000007 | 5865493 | 5878992 | SoyL05.R7 | + | 7.17 | yes |
| GWHACEG00000007 | 5552819 | 5566115 | SoyL06.R1 | - | 7.55 | yes |
| GWHACEG00000007 | 5590551 | 5604132 | SoyL06.R2 | - | 3.70 | yes |
| GWHACEG00000007 | 5633499 | 5645522 | SoyL06.R3 | - | 0.92 | no |
| GWHACEG00000007 | 5653490 | 5665823 | SoyL06.R4 | - | 14.39 | no |
| GWHACEG00000007 | 5689948 | 5695191 | SoyL06.R5 | - | 0.08 | no |
| GWHACEG00000007 | 5701553 | 5711300 | SoyL06.R6 | - | 0.59 | no |
| GWHACEG00000007 | 5764879 | 5774932 | SoyL06.R7 | + | 26.63 | yes |
| GWHACEG00000007 | 5794421 | 5809451 | SoyL06.R8 | + | 0.64 | no |
| GWHACEG00000007 | 5844669 | 5861583 | SoyL06.R9 | + | 4.11 | yes |
| GWHACEG00000007 | 5885731 | 5896094 | SoyL06.R10 | + | 1.01 | no |
| GWHACEH00000007 | 5581385 | 5594677 | SoyL07.R1 | - | 3.37 | yes |
| GWHACEH00000007 | 5619111 | 5632691 | SoyL07.R2 | - | 2.68 | yes |
| GWHACEH00000007 | 5662058 | 5674081 | SoyL07.R3 | - | 0.57 | no |
| GWHACEH00000007 | 5682049 | 5694384 | SoyL07.R4 | - | 12.88 | yes |
| GWHACEH00000007 | 5740965 | 5757880 | SoyL07.R5 | - | 2.08 | yes |
| GWHACEH00000007 | 5793104 | 5808130 | SoyL07.R6 | - | 0.39 | no |
| GWHACEH00000007 | 5827618 | 5837672 | SoyL07.R7 | - | 19.76 | no |
| GWHACEH00000007 | 5891244 | 5900989 | SoyL07.R8 | + | 0.29 | no |
| GWHACEH00000007 | 5907350 | 5912593 | SoyL07.R9 | + | 0.05 | no |
| GWHACEI00000007 | 5539358 | 5552658 | SoyL08.R1 | - | 6.57 | yes |
| GWHACEI00000007 | 5577099 | 5590681 | SoyL08.R2 | - | 3.19 | no |
| GWHACEI00000007 | 5620059 | 5632082 | SoyL08.R3 | - | 0.83 | no |
| GWHACEI00000007 | 5640050 | 5652387 | SoyL08.R4 | - | 13.25 | yes |
| GWHACEI00000007 | 5698972 | 5715887 | SoyL08.R5 | - | 5.35 | yes |
| GWHACEI00000007 | 5751118 | 5766144 | SoyL08.R6 | - | 0.28 | no |
| GWHACEI00000007 | 5785632 | 5795687 | SoyL08.R7 | - | 23.29 | yes |
| GWHACEI00000007 | 5849276 | 5859024 | SoyL08.R8 | + | 0.53 | no |
| GWHACEI00000007 | 5865392 | 5870635 | SoyL08.R9 | + | 0.04 | no |
| GWHACEJ00000007 | 5546928 | 5560620 | SoyL09.R1 | - | 2.55 | yes |
| GWHACEJ00000007 | 5575798 | 5590135 | SoyL09.R2 | - | 17.95 | yes |
| GWHACEJ00000007 | 5670055 | 5684092 | SoyL09.R3 | + | 0.91 | no |
| GWHACEJ00000007 | 5713590 | 5723904 | SoyL09.R4 | + | 0.18 | no |
| GWHACEJ00000007 | 5748058 | 5761218 | SoyL09.R5 | + | 8.54 | no |
| GWHACDY00000007 | 5506580 | 5519846 | SoyW01.R1 | - | 7.76 | no |
| GWHACDY00000007 | 5552390 | 5567052 | SoyW01.R2 | - | 3.09 | yes |
| GWHACDY00000007 | 5605711 | 5620748 | SoyW01.R3 | - | 0.23 | no |
| GWHACDY00000007 | 5652798 | 5663993 | SoyW01.R4 | - | 0.19 | no |
| GWHACDY00000007 | 5711183 | 5724983 | SoyW01.R5 | + | 13.22 | yes |
| GWHACDY00000007 | 5749791 | 5762391 | SoyW01.R6 | + | 2.66 | yes |
| GWHACDY00000007 | 5790528 | 5808931 | SoyW01.R7 | + | 5.60 | yes |

Table 4.5 continued

| GWHACDZ00000007 | 6745129 | 6757588 | SoyW02.R1 | - | 1.91 | yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GWHACDZ00000007 | 6777241 | 6790598 | SoyW02.R2 | - | 1.08 | yes |
| GWHACDZ00000007 | 6814978 | 6828697 | SoyW02.R3 | - | 14.13 | yes |
| GWHACDZ00000007 | 6875651 | 6889696 | SoyW02.R4 | + | 0.61 | no |
| GWHACDZ00000007 | 6932488 | 6948419 | SoyW02.R5 | + | 3.83 | yes |
| GWHACDZ00000007 | 6977089 | 6990574 | SoyW02.R6 | + | 3.89 | yes |
| GWHACEA00000007 | 2949730 | 2962040 | SoyW03.R1 | - | 5.22 | yes |
| GWHACEA00000007 | 2992734 | 3006262 | SoyW03.R2 | - | 0.23 | yes |
| GWHACEA00000007 | 3046348 | 3059866 | SoyW03.R3 | - | 0.83 | yes |
| GWHACEA00000007 | 3085433 | 3095885 | SoyW03.R4 | - | 6.12 | yes |
| GWHACEA00000007 | 3107656 | 3124240 | SoyW03.R5 | - | 2.12 | no |
| GWHACEA00000007 | 3142093 | 3159019 | SoyW03.R6 | - | 0.44 | yes |
| GWHACEA00000007 | 3184924 | 3190159 | SoyW03.R7 | - | 2.75 | no |
| GWHACEA00000007 | 3222552 | 3235890 | SoyW03.R8 | - | 0.69 | no |
| GWHACEA00000007 | 3302232 | 3314531 | SoyW03.R9 | + | 79.78 | yes |
| GWHACEA00000007 | 3365030 | 3376806 | SoyW03.R10 | + | 2.74 | no |
| Chr07 | 5627901 | 5640373 | W05.R1 | - | 16.49 | yes |
| Chr07 | 5664158 | 5677694 | W05.R2 | - | 9.89 | yes |
| Chr07 | 5721832 | 5733992 | W05.R3 | + | 2.81 | no |
| Chr07 | 5780227 | 5790544 | W05.R4 | + | 0.26 | no |
| Chr07 | 5814984 | 5827135 | W05.R5 | + | 14.39 | yes |
| Chr07 | 5853198 | 5867107 | W05.R6 | + | 32.52 | yes |
| Chr07 | 5893478 | 5906473 | W05.R7 | + | 21.49 | yes |
| Chr07 | 5928147 | 5943192 | W05.R8 | + | 0.78 | no |
| Chr07 | 5982577 | 5995909 | W05.R9 | + | 1.53 | no |
| Chr07 | 6025675 | 6042586 | W05.R10 | + | 5.69 | yes |
| chr7 | 5530236 | 5543928 | Wms82.R1 | - | 5.08 | yes |
| chr7 | 5558994 | 5573049 | Wms82.R2 | - | 22.37 | yes |
| chr7 | 5653256 | 5667279 | Wms82.R3 | + | 0.87 | no |
| chr7 | 5696765 | 5713468 | Wms82.R4 | + | 0.06 | no |
| chr7 | 5723574 | 5737210 | Wms82.R5 | + | 3.93 | yes |
| chr7 | 5766276 | 5780002 | Wms82.R6 | + | 11.29 | yes |
| chr7 | 5809978 | 5820276 | Wms82.R7 | + | 0.05 | no |
| chr7 | 5844431 | 5857585 | Wms82.R8 | + | 5.24 | no |
| GWHAAEV00000007.1 | 5569122 | 5583289 | ZH13.v2.R1 | - | 13.83 | yes |
| GWHHAAEV00000007.1 | 5839204 | 5852602 | ZH13.v2.R7 | + | 0.86 | yes |
| GWHAAEV0000000007.1 | 5637865 | 5651291 | ZH13.v2.R2 | + | 0.92 | no |
| GWHAAEV00000007.1 | 5672587 | 5686283 | ZH13.v2.R3 | + | 1.66 | yes |
| GWHAAEV00000007.1 | 5754298 | 5770774 | ZH13.v2.R4 | + | 0.52 | no |
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Figure 4.25 Sequence comparisons between PI 594527 and 29 additional soybean genome in the Rps11/ "rpsll" region
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Furthermore, we constructed a phylogenetic tree using the SNPs from the Rpsll region and identified four major haplotype groups with high sequence similarity within but not between groups (Figure 4.26). Group I included SoyC06, SoyC12, SoyL06, SoyL07, SoyL08 and SoyC14; There was an inversion event within this group: R5-R6-R7-R8-R9-R10 in SoyC12 and SoyL06 was inverted from R10-R9-R8-R7-R6-R5 in SoyL07, SoyL08 and SoyC14 (Figure 4.26). In Group II, SoyC03 shared the highest sequence similarity with the Rps11 donor line; SoyL04 and ZH13 share exactly the same haplotype with W05 and L02, respectively (Figure 4.26). Group III included SoyC07, SoyC01, SoyC11, SoyC10, SoyC02 and SoyL05. Several inversions and segmental duplications involving 2 to 5 NLR genes resulted in this group having the highest copy number (Figure 4.26). A deletion, potentially induced by unequal recombination, was detected between SoyC01 and SoyC07 (Box 1, Figure 4.26). Group IV shared a similar haplotype with the reference genome, Williams 82, with a tandem duplication event in SoyL01 induced by unequal recombination (Box 2, Figure 4.26). Taken together, our analyses revealed the complexity of the

NLR gene cluster across diverse soybean genomes and suggested that Rpll (R6) was unique to PI 594527. Cloning of Rps 11 will enable more precise selection of Rps 11 for cultivar improvement.


Figure 4.26 Diversification of the NBS-LRR gene cluster across 30 diverse soybean genomes.
The phylogenetic tree on the left side was built using SNPs data with the Rps 11 region. Orange color highlights the four major haplotype groups. Each black box represents an NBS-LRR gene. Grey shades represent syntenic blocks among genomes. Light-blue highlight inversion events. Green highlight tandem duplication events. Light-red highlight potential unequal recombination events. Box 1 and Box 2 exemplify a deletion and a duplication event induced by unequal recombination, respectively. The name of each genome was labeled at right side of each cluster and the numbers in parentheses are the total copy number of NBS-LRR genes in each genome at the Rpsll corresponding region.

In addition to the structural and copy number variations, we also observed drastic variations in the expression levels of the NLR genes. While $97.8 \%$ ( 45 out of 46 ) NLR genes in the WGD region are expressed, only $59.8 \%$ ( 189 out of 316) of the NLR genes in the Rpsll region are expressed (Table 4.5). To explain the expression differences of the NLR genes between the Rps 11 region and its WGD region and the variations within the Rpsll region, we performed 5' Rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) for the 5 expressed NLR genes in the Rpsl1 region and Chr16.R1 in the WGD region in the Rpsll donor line. We identified two independent transcription start regions (TSR), dubbed TSR1 and TSR2, at $\sim 4 \mathrm{~kb}$ and $\sim 2.5 \mathrm{~kb}$ upstream of Chr16.R1 (Figure 4.27a), both of which were found in every NLR gene in the WGD regions in all 29 genomes. Interestingly, only TSR2 was found in each of the 5 NLR genes in the Rps11 region while TSR1 was completely absent, which might explain the significantly higher expression level of the NLR genes in the WGD region compared to those from the Rpsll region (Figure 4.27b). Furthermore, we observed that the expression levels of NLR genes in the Rps11 region were significantly associated with the presence/absence of TSR2 (Figure 4.27c), indicating that loss of TSR2 might be responsible for the expression variations of NLR genes within the Rpsll region. By comparing the sequence of the Rpsll donor line to the other genomes, we found that the Rps11 promoter region shares high similarity with segments of the promoter regions of W05.R5 and W05.R6, indicating that an ancient unequal recombination event may have brought portions of R5 and R6 together to form the extremely long promoter region of Rpsll (Figure 4.27d).


Figure 4.27 Analysis of the transcription start region of the NLR genes.
a, 5' Rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) for the 5 expressed NBS-LRR genes (R1, R4, R6, R9 and R12) as well as the NBS-LRR gene at the WGD region (Chr16.R1). x axis represents the distance to the first exon. Brow bars represents the 5 ' RACE reads mapped to each gene.
b, Comparison of the expression levels of NBS-LRR genes from Rps11 region (Chr07) and its WGD region (Chr16). Red asterisks indicate the significance.
c, Comparison of the expression levels of NBS-LRR with TSR2 (TSR ${ }^{+}$) and that without TSR2 (TSR2-) at the Rps11 region. Red asterisks indicate the significance.
d, Sequence comparison of the promoter regions of Rps11 and that of W05.r5 and W05.r6. Lightblue shades represent syntenic blocks.

## CHAPTER 5. DISCUSSION

### 5.1 Significance of cloning the Rps11 locus

In this study, we demonstrate that Rps11 (R6), a $\sim 27.7-\mathrm{kb}$ NLR gene, confers broad spectrum resistance to P. sojae. Although Gao et al. claimed to have cloned Rpsl-k (Gao and Bhattacharyya, 2008; Gao et al., 2005), neither of the two NLR genes they reported were found in any versions of the genome assembly of Williams 82, which carries Rpsl-k. Furthermore, the sequence contig used in their study was found to be an incorrect assembly of sequences from different chromosomes (Figure 5.1). The similarity between the two NLR genes identified by Gao et al. and the two closest NLR genes found in Williams 82 v 3.0 is only around $95 \%$ (Figure 5.1). Therefore, the gene(s) underlying Rpsl-k still remain unclear and Rps11 is most likely the first gene cloned to confer resistance to $P$. sojae. PacBio sequencing was also shown to be much more powerful for assembling NLR gene clusters compared to short-read sequencing.

In addition, we found the NLR gene cluster was mainly formed by inversions and segmental tandem duplications after the WGD, some of which were induced by unequal recombination among different NLR genes. Unequal recombination has been proposed as a mechanism for creating novel resistance specificities (Hammond-Kosack and Jones, 1997; Hulbert, 1997; McHale et al., 2006; Parniske et al., 1997; Pryor and Ellis, 1993; Richter et al., 1995), but high frequencies of unequal recombination also put the resistant gene at high risk of disruption. Precise isolation of the NLR gene underlying resistance will significantly accelerate its application in breeding programs towards effective management of PRSR.


Figure 5.1 Sequence comparison between the Rps1-k contig and the Williams 82 genome.
Black bars represent genome sequence of chromosome 3 (top), Rpsl-k contig (middle) used for cloning, and chromosome 5 (bottom). Red bars represent NBS-LRR genes Light-blue shades represent alignments among different sequences.

### 5.2 Possible mechanisms underlying the broad resistance spectrum of Rps11

Sequence analyses suggested the giant size of Rpsll is mainly a result of LRR tandem duplications which occurred before the whole genome duplication event in $\sim 13$ million years ago. The LRR domains in NLR genes are involved in determining the plant's ability to recognize specific pathogen effectors (McHale et al., 2006). Whether the tandem duplications of the LRR domains found in Rps11 are responsible for its broad resistance spectrum remains to be tested. Two possible mechanisms might explain the broad resistance spectrum of Rps11. First, the effector recognized by Rpsll could be a conserved effector shared by a high proportion of the $P$. sojae population. Second, it is possible that Rpsll recognize multiple effectors from different $P$. sojae isolates, which combine together responsible for the broad resistance spectrum of Rps11.

In this study, we have screened the Rpsll locus with 158 isolates collected from fields across Indiana and identify 31 isolates that can defeat Rpsl1. Given that these isolates distributed across Indiana evenly, they might show high diversity. It would be interesting to sequence these isolates as well as a set of representative isolates that are not able to defeat Rpsll to perform a genomewide association study. If a single major association signal were detected, it is more likely that

Rpsll can recognize a single conserved effector from P. sojae. If multiple association signals were detected, it is more likely that Rps1l can recognize different effectors. Sequence comparisons between the two categories of the isolates can help to isolate the effector gene(s) in $P$. sojae interacting with Rps11. One limitation of this genome-wide association study would be the small population size as well as the narrow genetic diversity of the tested isolates in this study since they were all collected from Indiana. Dorrance et al. has collected 213 unique isolates across eleven States across US (Dorrance et al., 2016), it would be more powerful if these isolates could be tested on Rpsll and sequenced for identification of effector gene(s) interacting with Rps1l.

### 5.3 Plant disease resistance not involving NLR genes

Besides disease resistance involving NLR genes, plants also have other different kinds of defense strategies when they are attacked by their own pathogens. In general, these resistances depend on either structural characteristics that can avoid the pathogens from entering and spreading in the plant cell and tissues, or biochemical reactions with products that can inhibit or kill the pathogens (Jones and Dangl, 2006). Most of the physical barriers are preexist. For example, the waxes on the surfaces of some plant leaves or stem can prevent pathogens from entering the plants. Some plants have very thick wall of epidermal cells which also important barriers to pathogens.

On contrast, biochemical defenses can be either preexist before infection or induced by pathogens. Some plants can produce one or more inhibitory compounds in young leaves, fruits, or seeds, for example phenolic compounds like tannins, or fatty acid-like compounds like dienes, and these compounds were proposed to play important roles in plant resistance. However, some toxic substances were produced by plants only when they are attacked by pathogens. Those pathogens can induce the expression of genes in plants to produce compounds that reduce the damage caused by the pathogens. All the resistances discussed above are nonspecific to a certain pathogen. They can prevent attacks from different pathogens. Transmembrane pattern recognition receptors of some plants, however, can recognize a specific pathogen by recognizing molecular patterns in the pathogen such as flagellin, which can trigger resistance reaction in plants.

Besides these natural resistance in plants. Engineered resistance is also very important, especially for crops (Salomon and Sessa, 2012). In addition to resistance genes, plants also have susceptibility
genes (S gene) that can facilitate the proliferation of pathogens. Genome editing on these susceptibility genes (for example using CRISPR/CAS9 to knock out these S genes) can also improve resistance of plants. Another good example of engineered resistance is pathogen-derived resistance. Transgenic over-expression of viral RNA can produce double strand RNA and eventually trigger RNAi to prevent the infection of virial pathogens (Voinnet, 2001).

### 5.4 Factors affecting durability of a $R$ gene

Factors from both R gene side and pathogen side will affect the durability of a R gene. R genes with narrower resistance spectrum might be overcome faster because the pathogen isolates in a field changed over time due to quick evolution or long-distance spread. On contrast, R genes with wide resistance spectrum might interact with core effectors in the pathogen which shared by most isolates of the pathogens. Therefore, the duration of these R genes might be longer. R genes located at R gene enriched region (several copies of R gene at same locus) might be overcome faster because these R genes are easier to loss function due to mutations caused by unequal recombination. On contrast, R genes located at genome region with low mutation and recombination will be more stable. R genes with partners during recognition might be overcome faster because once their partner genes mutated, the recognition will also fail. Mutations on these partners will cause the loss of resistance of these R genes.

In order to maximize the durability of a R gene, we first need to select R genes with broad resistance spectrum, which means the R gene carries resistance to multiple strains of a pathogen. Therefore, it will not be easily defeated by a single strain. In addition, combination of different R genes will also dramatically increase the durability of resistance because it is less likely all the R genes are defeated simultaneously. We also need to regularly check the sequence of the R gene after deployment to make sure no mutations in the R gene occur.

From the pathogen side, it is very important to understand the virulence gene interacting with the R gene. So, we can check the diversity of the pathogen population in the field before deployment of the R gene to see if any pathogen strains carry virulence genes to the R gene. In addition, combining R gene with other means of disease control, such as chemical management, will also increase durability.
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## (d) Publications

1. Mohsen Mohammadi, Alencar Xavier, Travis Beckett, Savannah Beyer, Liyang Chen, Habte Chikssa, Valerie Cross, Fabiana Freitas Moreira, Elizabeth French, Rupesh Gaire, Stefanie Griebel, Miguel Angel Lopez, Samuel Prather, Blake Russell, Weidong Wang. "Identification, deployment, and transferability of quantitative trait loci from genome-wide association studies in plants." Current Plant Biology (2020): 100145.
2. Stephen A. Swarm, Lianjun Sun, Xutong Wang, Weidong Wang, Patrick J. Brown, Jianxin Ma, and Randall L. Nelson. "Genetic dissection of domestication-related traits in soybean through genotyping-by-sequencing of two interspecific mapping populations." Theoretical and Applied Genetics (2019): 1-15.
3. Chin Jian Yang, Luis Fernando Samayoa, Peter J. Bradbury, Bode A. Olukolu, Wei Xue, Alessandra M. York, Michael R. Tuholski, Weidong Wang, Lora L. Daskalska, Michael A. Neumeyer, Jose de Jesus Sanchez-Gonzalez, Maria Cinta Romay, Jeffrey C. Glaubitz, Qi Sun, Edward S. Buckler, James B. Holland, John F. Doebley. "The genetic architecture of teosinte catalyzed and constrained maize domestication." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (2019): 201820997.
4. Dajian Zhang\#, Lianjun Sun\#, Shuai Li ${ }^{\#}$, Weidong Wang ${ }^{\#}$, Yanhua Ding\#, Stephen A Swarm, Linghong Li, Xutong Wang, Xuemin Tang, Zhifang Zhang, Zhixi Tian, Patrick J Brown, Chunmei Cai, Randall L Nelson, Jianxin Ma. "Elevation of soybean seed oil content through selection for seed coat shininess" Nature Plant (2018) (\#These authors contribute equally to the paper)
5. Dajian Zhang, Meixia Zhao, Shuai Li, Lianjun Sun, Weidong Wang, Chunmei Cai, Emily C. Dierking, and Jianxin Ma. "Plasticity and innovation of regulatory mechanisms underlying seed oil content mediated by duplicated genes in the palaeopolyploid soybean." The Plant Journal (2017).
6. Linghong Li, Feng Lin, Weidong Wang, Jieqing Ping, Joshua C. Fitzgerald, Meixia Zhao, Shuai Li, Lianjun Sun, Chunmei Cai, and Jianxin Ma. "Fine mapping and candidate gene analysis of two loci conferring resistance to Phytophthora sojae in soybean." Theoretical and Applied Genetics 129, no. 12 (2016): 2379-2386.
7. Yingjie Xiao, Hao Tong, Xiaohong Yang...(many authors) Weidong Wang, Debo Zheng, Jianbing Yan. Genome-wide dissection of the maize ear genetic architecture using multiple populations. New Phytologist, 2016, DOI: 10.1111/nph. 13814
8. Hui Li ${ }^{\#}$, Zhiyu Peng\#, Xiaohong Yang\#, Weidong Wang\#, Junjie Fu\#, Jianhua Wang\#, Yinjia Han, Yuchao Chai, Tingting Guo, Ning Yang, Jie Liu, Marilyn L. Warburton, Yanbing Cheng, Xiaomin Hao, Pan Zhang, Jinyang Zhao, Yunjun Liu, Guoying Wang, Jiansheng Li, Jianbing Yan. Genome-wide association study dissects the genetic architecture of oil biosynthesis in maize kernels. Nature Genetics, 2013, 45: 43-50 ( \#These authors contribute equally to the paper)
9. Junjie Fu, Yanbing Cheng, Jingjing Linghu, Xiaohong Yang, Lin Kang, Zuxin Zhang, Bo Wang, Zhiyu Peng, Jie Zhang, Lihong Zhai, Changmin Dai, Cheng He, Jiabao Xu, Weidong Wang, Xiangru Li, Jun Zheng, Li Chen, Longhai Luo, Junjie Liu, Xiaoju Qian, Jianbing Yan, Jun Wang, Guoying Wang. RNA sequencing reveals the complex regulatory network in maize kernel. Nature Communication, 2013, 4
10. Shutu Xu, Dalong Zhang, Ye Cai, Yi Zhou, Shah Trushar, Qing Li, Zhigang Li, Weidong Wang, Jiansheng Li, Xiaohong Yang, Jianbing Yan. Dissecting tocopherols content in maize (Zea mays L.), using two segregating populations and high density SNP markers. BMC Plant Biology 2012, 12:201

## (e) Conference Poster Presentations

Weidong Wang, Ping Jieqing, Fitzgerald Joshua C, et al. Identification and molecular mapping of Rps11, a novel gene conferring resistance to Phytophthora sojae in soybean. PAG XXVI, January 13-17, 2018, San Diego, CA, USA

Weidong Wang, Ping Jieqing, Fitzgerald Joshua C, et al. Identification and molecular mapping of Rps11, a novel gene conferring resistance to Phytophthora sojae in soybean. PAG XXV, January 14-18, 2017, San Diego, CA, USA

Weidong Wang, Ping Jieqing, Fitzgerald Joshua C, et al. Identification and molecular mapping of Rpsl1, a novel gene conferring resistance to Phytophthora sojae in soybean. Soy2016 16th Biennial Conference of the Molecular and Cellular Biology of the Soybean, poster presentation, Columbus, OH, U.S.

Weidong Wang, Irina Makarevitch, Amanda J Waters, Nathan M Springer. Allele Specific Responses to Salt and UV in Maize. 2015 Maize Genetics Conference, poster presentation, St. Charles, IL, U.S.

Weidong Wang, Yan Li, Yingyu Liu, Jiansheng Li, Xiaohong Yang, Jianbing Yan. Identification of expression regulatory hotspots in developing maize kernels. 2013 Maize Genetics Conference, poster presentation, St. Charles, IL, U.S.

## (f) Academic Services

Serve as reviewer for Crop Journal, Theoretical and Applied Genetics, Molecular Breeding and The Plant Journal.

## (g) Teaching Experience

Teaching Assistant in AGRY 320 Genetics
Teaching Assistant in AGRY 320 Genetics
2019 Spring

Teaching Assistant in AGRY320 Genetics

2018 Spring
2017 Spring


[^0]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Transcriptional Start Region.

